1	
2	NOTICE TO READER
3	
4	THE FOLLOWING IS A ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF THE
5	PROCEEDINGS HELD JANUARY 20, 2022. THIS IS NOT A
6	CERTIFIED VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT, NOR IS IT INTENDED
7	TO BE SO. THIS IS MERELY A WRITTEN COPY OF
8	COMMUNICATION ACCESS PROVIDED VIA CAPTIONING. IT
9	SHOULD ONLY BE USED AS AN UNEDITED GUIDE FOR THE
10	READER. THIS ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT MAY NOT BE
11	REPRODUCED OR DISTRIBUTED IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM
12	WITHOUT THE EXPRESS WRITTEN CONSENT OF NEESONS.
13	
14	
15	BARBARA WAND SEMINAR
16	PROFESSIONAL ETHICS, STANDARDS
17	AND CONDUCT
18	THURSDAY, JANUARY 20, 2022
19	
20	
21	
22	BARRY GANG: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE, ON
23	THIS CHILLY ONTARIO DAY. I'M BARRY GANG, DEPUTY
24	REGISTRAR AND DIRECTOR OF PROFESSIONAL AFFAIRS AT
25	THE COLLEGE OF PSYCHOLOGISTS IN ONTARIO.

1	THE BARBARA WAND SEMINARS IN
2	PROFESSIONAL ETHICS, STANDARDS, AND CONDUCT WERE
3	STARTED YEARS AGO TO CELEBRATE THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF
4	BARBARA WAND IN ONTARIO, THE "BARBARA WAND" AS MANY
5	HAVE COME TO CALL THE EVENT. THIS EVENT WAS
6	ESTABLISHED IN DECEMBER 1991, ABOUT 30 YEARS AGO TO
7	HONOUR DR. WAND.
8	DR. WAND WAS THE REGISTRAR OF THE
9	COLLEGE'S PRECURSOR, THE ONTARIO BOARD OF EXAMINERS
10	IN PSYCHOLOGY, FROM 1976 TO 1991. THE SEMINAR
11	WHICH, WAS THEN AN ANNUAL EVENT, WAS NAMED IN
12	HONOUR OF HER CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE REGULATION OF
13	THE PROFESSION.
14	THE BARBARA WAND IS NORMALLY A TWICE
15	YEARLY EVENT, NORMALLY IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE
16	PROVINCE AS WELL AS ONLINE. UNFORTUNATELY AS WE
17	ALL KNOW, THINGS HAVEN'T BEEN NORMAL FOR A COUPLE
18	OF YEARS NOW, AND WE WILL PROBABLY HAVE TO STOP
19	USING THAT WORD IN THE WAY WE USUALLY DO IT AFTER
20	THE PANDEMIC.
21	WE HOPE TO START MEETING MEMBERS IN
22	PERSON AGAIN AS SOON AS WE CAN IN DIFFERENT PARTS
23	OF THE PROVINCE, AND WE WILL KEEP HOPING FOR THE
24	NEXT ONE.
25	WITH ABOUT 2,000 DIFFERENT DEVICES

1	CONNECTING TO THE SEMINAR TODAY, IT'S CERTAIN THAT
2	THERE WILL BE A FEW GLITCHES, AND SOME OF YOU WILL
3	NEED SOME PROFESSIONAL SUPPORT. AS YOU KNOW FROM
4	YOUR CONFIRMATION E-MAIL, TECHNICAL SUPPORT IS
5	AVAILABLE BY E-MAIL AT SUPPORT@SMPAV.CA. RATHER
6	THAN JOINING THE QUEUE TO HAVE YOUR QUESTIONS
7	ANSWERED, YOU MIGHT WANT TO LOOK AT SOLUTIONS FROM
8	COMMON PROBLEMS WE FOUND AT PAST EVENTS. YOU CAN
9	RELOAD THE WEBCAST, REFRESH YOUR BROWSER, AND WORST
10	CASE SCENARIO, ALL OF THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE
11	AVAILABLE ON OUR WEBSITE WITHIN ABOUT 10 DAYS.
12	WE HAVE LEARNED THE HARD WAY THAT
13	TAKING LIVE QUESTIONS THROUGHOUT A PRESENTATION
14	WITH SUCH A LARGE GROUP IS REALLY DIFFICULT, AND
15	WE'RE ASKING THAT YOU SEND IN ANY QUESTIONS YOU
16	HAVE DURING THE PRESENTATION BY USING THE Q&A
17	BUTTON AT THE BOTTOM OF THE SCREEN. PLEASE DON'T
18	TRY THE CHAT BUTTON, WE HAVE DISABLED IT. WE WILL
19	ANSWER AS MANY QUESTIONS WE HAVE TIME FOR, BUT IT
20	IS NOT LIKELY WE WILL GET THROUGH THEM ALL.
21	IF YOU HAVE UNANSWERED QUESTIONS AT THE
22	END OF THE PRESENTATION, PLEASE E-MAIL US AT
23	BWSQUESTIONS@CPO.ON.CA. YOU KNOW HOW TO FIND THE
24	RESOURCES FROM THE CONFIRMATION E-MAIL, BUT IF NOT,
25	PRESENTATION MATERIALS CAN BE FOUND ON YOUR

1	CONFIRMATION E-MAIL AND ON THE COLLEGE WEBSITE AT
2	THE BARBARA WAND SEMINAR.
3	YOU CAN GET CLOSED CAPTIONING BY
4	CLICKING THE BUTTON ON THE BOTTOM OF THE SCREEN.
5	WE WILL HAVE A TRANSCRIPT OF THE PRESENTATION AND
6	RECORDING OF THE SEMINAR IN ABOUT TWO WEEKS.
7	ANOTHER THING WE GET LOTS OF QUESTIONS
8	ABOUT IS CPD CREDITS. AS MANY OF YOU ALREADY KNOW,
9	YOU CAN CLAIM ONE CREDIT PER HOUR, SO A TOTAL OF
.0	THREE IF YOU LISTEN TO THE WHOLE SEMINAR, FOR
L1	ACKNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION, EITHER TODAY OR IF YOU'RE
_2	LISTENING TO THE ARCHIVED VERSION.
L3	IN ADDITION, YOU CAN GET AN EXTRA
L 4	CREDIT IN CATEGORY A8 NOW OR LATER OR EVEN IF YOU
L 5	JUST DISCUSS THE PRESENTATION WITH YOUR COLLEAGUES
L 6	BECAUSE OF THE RECOGNIZED VALUE OF PROFESSIONAL
L7	INTERACTION.
L 8	PLEASE SAVE YOUR DOCUMENTATION OF YOUR
L 9	PARTICIPATION TODAY FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE CPD
20	PROGRAM. YOU CAN EITHER USE YOUR E-MAIL
21	CONFIRMATION OR IF YOU ARE WATCHING WITH A GROUP,
22	ANY KIND OF CORRESPONDENCE ABOUT THE WATCHING OF
23	THIS TOGETHER.
24	TO MINIMIZE TRANSITIONS THIS MORNING,
25	WE WILL INTRODUCE ALL OF THE SPEAKERS NOW. THE

1	FIRST PERSON YOU WILL HEAR FROM IS DR. WANDA
2	TOWERS, THE PRESIDENT OF THE COLLEGE, WHO WILL
3	FORMALLY AND MORE FULLY START THE SEMINAR.
4	THEN WE WILL HEAR FROM RICK MORRIS,
5	REGISTRAR AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR. HIS TRICKY
6	ISSUES ARE THE FEATURE EVERYONE ALWAYS WANTS MORE
7	OF. HE HAS WORKED IN CHILDREN'S MENTAL HEALTH FOR
8	MANY YEARS BEFORE COMING TO THE COLLEGE BOTH IN
9	DIRECT SERVICE AND IN SENIOR CLINICAL AND
10	ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS. HE FREQUENTLY MAKES
11	PRESENTATIONS TO MEMBER AND NONMEMBER GROUPS TO
12	ONTARIO AND BEYOND ON A VARIETY OF PROFESSIONAL
13	PRACTICE TOPICS. HE'S THE FORMER CHAIR OF ACPRO,
14	THE ASSOCIATION OF CANADIAN PSYCHOLOGY REGULATORY
15	ORGANIZATIONS, WHICH IS OUR NATIONAL ORGANIZATION.
16	HE'S ALSO SERVED ON MANY COMMITTEES FOR THE
17	INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF STATE AND PROVINCIAL
18	PSYCHOLOGY BOARDS, ASPPB, AND IS A FELLOW OF THAT
19	ORGANIZATION.
20	HE'S ALSO THE RECIPIENT OF THE ONTARIO
21	PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION BARBARA WAND AWARD FOR
22	EXCELLENCE IN ETHIC AND STANDARDS.
23	THERE WILL BE A 10 MINUTE BREAK AFTER
24	RICK. I WILL BE UP AFTER THAT TALKING ABOUT
25	NAVIGATING ETHICAL CHALLENGES.

1	BEFORE I BECAME THE DIRECTOR A COUPLE
2	YEARS AGO, I WAS THE DIRECTOR OF INVESTIGATIONS AND
3	HEARINGS AND BEFORE THAT I WORKED PRIMARILY WITH
4	CHILDREN AND FAMILIES BOTH IN DIRECT SERVICE AND
5	MANAGEMENT.
6	FINALLY, LAST BUT NOT LEAST, ZIMRA
7	YETNIKOFF THE CURRENT DIRECTOR OF INVESTIGATION AND
8	HEARINGS WILL TALK ABOUT LESSONS LEARNED THROUGH
9	THE COMPLAINTS PROCESS. SHE OVERSEES THE
10	COMPLAINTS AND FITNESS TO PRACTICE PART OF THE
11	COLLEGE AND BEFORE THIS, SHE WAS AN INVESTIGATIONS
12	CASE MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBLE FOR INVESTIGATING CASES
13	OF MISCONDUCT, INCOMPETENCE AND INCAPACITY.
14	BEFORE JOINING THE COLLEGE IN 2009, SHE
15	WORKED AS LEGAL COUNCIL WITH THE SPECIAL
16	INVESTIGATIONS UNIT AT THE MINISTRY OF THE ATTORNEY
17	GENERAL OF ONTARIO.
18	SO NOW I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE DR. WANDA
19	TOWERS, PRESIDENT OF THE COLLEGE.
20	WANDA TOWERS: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE.
21	I'D LIKE TO START BY THANKING BARRY GANG FOR
22	ORGANIZING TODAY'S SEMINAR. I'M PLEASED THAT WE
23	ARE ALL HERE AND PRESENT AND, YOU KNOW, AS WE BEGIN
24	THE SEMINAR TODAY, IN MY ROLE AS PRESIDENT OF THE
25	COLLEGE COUNCIL, I'M SPEAKING ON BEHALF OF COUNCIL

1	WHO MADE A COMMITMENT IN 2021 TO BE A PARTICIPANT
2	IN SOME OF THE NECESSARY RECONCILIATION WORK WITH
3	INDIGENOUS PEOPLE THAT WE IDENTIFIED, AND IT IS A
4	SMALL STEP I HOPE WILL BE ONE OF MANY, BUT COUNCIL
5	BELIEVES IT IS IMPORTANT TO BEGIN THE PRACTICE OF
6	ACKNOWLEDGING THE HISTORY OF THE LANDS AND PEOPLE
7	ON WHICH THE COLLEGE IS LOCATED AND AT THE START OF
8	COUNCIL MEETING AND THIS BARBARA WAND SEMINAR.
9	SO TODAY I'M GOING TO DO THIS FOR THE
10	FIRST TIME AT THIS SEMINAR, AND I WANT TO RECOGNIZE
11	WITH APPRECIATION THE RELATIONSHIP THAT INDIGENOUS
12	PEOPLE HAVE HAD WITH THE LAND WHERE THE COLLEGE IS
13	LOCATED AND HONOUR THEM AS STEWARDS OF IT. THEY
14	HAVE LIVED, WORKED AND CARED FOR THE LAND ACROSS
15	TIME WITH THIS LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I WOULD LIKE
16	TO ACKNOWLEDGE WITH RESPECT THAT THE LAND ON WHICH
17	THE COLLEGE OFFICES ARE LOCATED IS THE TRADITIONAL
18	TERRITORY OF MANY NATIONS INCLUDING THE
19	MISSISSAUGAS OF THE CREDIT, THE ANISHINAABE, THE
20	CHIPPEWA, THE HAUDENOSAUNEE, AND THE WENDAT
21	PEOPLES.
22	THESE LANDS ARE NOW HOME TO MANY
23	DIVERSE FIRST NATION, INUIT AND MÉTIS PEOPLE. WE
24	ALSO RECOGNIZE THAT THE MEETING PLACE OF TORONTO
25	TRADITIONALLY KNOWN AS TKRONTO IS COVERED BY TREATY

1	13 OF THE MISSISSAUGAS OF THE CREDIT AND IS IN THE
2	LANDS OF THE DISH WITH ONE SPOON COVENANT.
3	AS A GROUP, WE'RE MEETING VIRTUALLY AND
4	THOSE OF YOU ATTENDING TODAY ARE LOCATED ACROSS THE
5	PROVINCE, SO I RECOGNIZE THAT EACH OF US MIGHT BE
6	MEETING FROM OTHER LANDS UPON WHICH THE INDIGENOUS
7	COMMUNITIES HAVE A TRADITIONAL KINSHIP.
8	I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO OFFER EACH OF YOU
9	A WARM WELCOME TO THE JANUARY 2022 BARBARA WAND
LO	SEMINAR. AND I HOPE THE WARMTH OF MY WELCOME OFF
11	SETS THE COLD AND BLUSTERY WINTER WEEK WE HAVE
12	EXPERIENCED AND THAT YOU ARE ALL SAFELY ENTRENCHED
L3	IN FRONT OF YOUR VERY FAMILIAR COMPUTER MONITORS TO
L 4	WATCH AND LEARN TODAY.
15	MANY OF YOU ARE JOINING TOGETHER IN
16	SOME MANNER WITH COLLEAGUES TO HEAR AND DISCUSS THE
L7	MATERIAL TODAY, WHICH IS A GREAT WAY TO LEARN.
18	AND I ENCOURAGE THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE
19	WATCHING ON YOUR OWN TO, YOU KNOW, FIND A COLLEAGUE
20	TO CHAT WITH. IN THE NEAR FUTURE, TO DISCUSS TAKE
21	AWAYS FOR TODAY'S SESSION.
22	THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME TO ATTEND
23	AND I ANTICIPATE IT WILL BE AN INTERESTING AND
24	INFORMATIVE MORNING.
2.5	WE HAVE COME TO VIEW BARBARA WAND

1	SEMINAR AS AN IMPORTANT CALENDAR EVENT FOR OUR
2	PROFESSION AND I'M CONFIDENT EACH OF YOU WILL
3	CONSIDER HOW TO APPLY WHAT YOU LEARNED TODAY IN
4	YOUR PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE PROVIDING THE MUCH
5	NEEDED PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES TO THE PUBLIC IN
6	ONTARIO. EACH MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WE SERVE
7	BENEFITS WHEN WE, AS PROFESSIONALS, LEARN HOW TO
8	NAVIGATE TRICKY PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE ISSUES AND
9	APPLY ETHICAL DECISION MAKING IN OUR WORK SETTINGS.
10	I'M SURE YOU'RE ALL LOOKING FORWARD AS
11	I AM TO HEARING OUR NEXT SPEAKER, DR. RICK MORRIS,
12	REGISTRAR AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AT THE COLLEGE
13	DISCUSS TRICKY ISSUES AS HE TACKLES QUESTIONS WE
14	ALL SEEM TO HAVE IN OUR PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE.
15	IT IS MY PLEASURE NOW TO TURN THE
16	MICROPHONE OVER TO HIM.
17	RICK MORRIS: THANKS, WANDA. AND GOOD
18	MORNING, EVERYONE.
19	I HOPE THAT EVERYONE HAS BEEN HEALTHY
20	AND THAT YOU AND YOUR FAMILIES ARE ALL KEEPING WELI
21	DURING THIS DIFFICULT TIME.
22	IN THE HANDOUT, THERE ARE 10 SCENARIOS
23	OF TRICKY ISSUES. IN PREPARING FOR TODAY, I
24	REVIEWED THE QUESTIONS THAT HAD COME TO OUR
25	PRACTICES BY SERVICE OVER THE PAST COUPLE OF

1	MONTHS, AND I TRIED TO CHOOSE THEMES WHICH APPEARED
2	WITH SOME FREQUENCY AND WHICH WERE SOMEWHAT GENERAL
3	IN NATURE, RATHER THAN SPECIFIC TO A PARTICULAR
4	CLIENT AREA OF PRACTICE OR WORK SETTING.
5	I'M NOT SURE IF THERE WILL BE TIME TO
6	DISCUSS ALL OF THOSE IN THE HANDOUT, BUT IF NOT, I
7	WILL POST A DISCUSSION OF WHATEVER WE DON'T GET TO
8	IN THE NEXT HEADLINES.
9	I PLAN TO LEAVE A BIT OF TIME AT THE
10	END OF MY PRESENTATION FOR A FEW QUESTIONS FROM THE
11	Q&A BOX AT THE BOTTOM OF YOUR SCREEN IF THERE ARE
12	ANY.
13	AS YOU KNOW, IF YOU HAVE ATTENDED ONE
14	OF MY TRICKY ISSUE PRESENTATIONS IN PERSON OR IN A
15	SMALL ZOOM GROUP, IT CERTAINLY WORKS BETTER AS AN
16	INTERACTIVE SESSION. UNFORTUNATELY, THE LAST
17	COUPLE OF BARBARA WAND SEMINARS HAD TO BE VIRTUAL
18	AND THE GROUP IS FAR TOO LARGE TO PERMIT MUCH, IF
19	ANY, INTERACTION.
20	SO TODAY I WANT TO TRY SOMETHING A
21	DIFFERENT.
22	WHILE THERE'S STILL MULTIPLE CHOICE AND
23	TRUE FALSE QUESTIONS, WE'RE GOING TO LET YOU USE
24	THE POLL FUNCTION TO ANSWER EACH QUESTION. WE WILL
25	THEN TAKE A LOOK AT THE POLL RESULTS AND DISCUSS

1	EACH SCENARIO BEFORE YOU MOVE ON TO THE NEXT.
2	ONCE THE POLL IS OPEN, PLEASE RESPOND
3	PROMPTLY AS WE WILL BE CLOSING THE POLL WHEN IT
4	LOOKS LIKE A LARGE PERCENTAGE OF YOU HAVE ANSWERED.
5	IF YOU'RE WATCHING IN A GROUP, THIS MEANS YOU'LL
6	NEED TO QUICKLY GET A CONSENSUS AMONG THE PEOPLE
7	YOU'RE WITH.
8	ONE MORE THING, IF THIS IS SET UP THE
9	WAY I HOPE IT IS, YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO CHOOSE MORE
LO	THAN ONE OF THE MULTIPLE CHOICE ANSWERS AS IN SOME
11	CASES, THERE MAY BE MORE THAN ONE OKAY RESPONSE.
12	SO WITH THAT, LET'S GET STARTED AND SEE
13	HOW THIS WORKS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
L 4	SO THE FIRST SCENARIO. YOU HAVE BEEN
15	SEEING A YOUNG (READS SLIDE).
16	LET'S LOOK AT THE OPTIONS. NEXT SLIDE
L7	PLEASE.
18	SO, SINCE YOU'RE SEEING THE CLIENT
19	BASED ON PARENT'S CONSENT(READS SLIDE).
20	THOSE WERE THE 5 CONSIDERATIONS I CAME
21	UP WITH. WHAT I WOULD NOW LIKE YOU TO DO WHEN WE
22	PUT THE POLL UP IS TO CHOOSE WHICH OF THE FIVE
23	OPTIONS, ALTHOUGH THEY CAN'T ALL BE RIGHT, YOU
24	THINK IS THE BEST OR THINGS YOU WOULD CONSIDER IN
2.5	LOOK AT THIS SITUATION?

1	THE POLL IS UP THERE. AND I'VE TRIED
2	TO PUT ENOUGH OF THE DESCRIPTION BESIDE EACH ONE SO
3	THAT YOU CAN SEE WHAT THEY ARE.
4	I ALSO WANT TO POINT OUT THAT YOU CAN,
5	WITH YOUR MOUSE, MOVE THE POLL OUT OF THE WAY OR UP
6	AND DOWN SO YOU CAN CONTINUE TO SEE THE COMPLETE
7	SLIDE. WE WILL JUST WAIT FOR A COUPLE MINUTES
8	UNTIL WE HAVE A MAJORITY OF PEOPLE VOTING.
9	WE'RE ABOUT TO MOVE TO THE RESULTS.
LO	THERE'S THE RESULTS. AS YOU CAN SEE,
11	THE MAJORITY OF YOU CHOOSE EITHER NUMBER TWO AND/OR
12	NUMBER THREE. THOSE TWO WOULD CERTAINLY BE THE
L3	OPTIONS OR THE CONSIDERATIONS THAT I MIGHT HAVE
L 4	ALSO CHOSEN.
15	THE FIRST ONE IN THIS SCENARIO, IF WE
L 6	CONSIDER THAT THE 15 YEAR OLD IS CAPABLE, THEN, AS
L7	WE SAID IN NUMBER THREE, THE THERAPY WOULD NOT
L8	NECESSARILY BE OVER JUST BECAUSE THE PARENTS WITH
19	DREW THEIR CONSENT. IF WE WERE TALKING ABOUT A
20	MUCH YOUNGER CHILD OR IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT AN
21	INCAPABLE ADOLESCENT, SOMEBODY YOU DEEM TO BE
22	INCAPABLE, THEN NUMBER ONE COULD READILY BE THE
23	ANSWER BECAUSE WE COULDN'T CONTINUE UNLESS WE HAD
24	THE CONSENT OF THE CAPABLE PERSON PROVIDING THAT.
2.5	AS YOU NOTED, NUMBER TWO IS CORRECT IN

1	THAT ALTHOUGH PHIPA DOES PROVIDE FOR PARENTS TO
2	VIEW THE FILE OR RECEIVE A COPY OF THE FILE OF
3	CHILDREN UNDER 16, IF THE CHILD DOESN'T WANT THAT,
4	THE WISHES OF THE CHILD PREVAIL.
5	AS I SAID BEFORE DISCUSSING NUMBER ONE,
6	CERTAINLY IF YOU JUDGE THE CHILD TO BE CAPABLE,
7	THEN HE CAN CONSENT FOR HIMSELF AND THERE'S NO AGE
8	ON CONSENT. SO EVEN THOUGH HE'S UNDER 16, THAT'S
9	FINE, IF YOU JUDGE HIM TO BE CAPABLE.
LO	NUMBER FOUR IS AN INTERESTING ONE.
11	THIS IS NOT ACTUALLY ACCURATE OR CORRECT IN TERMS
L2	OF THE 77 PEOPLE WHO CHOSE THIS ONE. ONCE YOU
L3	DETERMINE THAT THE ADOLESCENT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OR
L 4	HAS CONTROL OVER HIS INFORMATION, IT WILL RELATE TO
L5	ALL THE INFORMATION IN THE FILE IN THE SAME WAY AS
L 6	IF YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT AN ADULT AND SOME OF THE
L7	INFORMATION IN THE FILE WAS RECEIVED FROM WHEN THEY
L8	WERE A CHILD, IT WOULD CERTAINLY BE THAT ADULT
L 9	CLIENT'S CONTROL ADULT CLIENT WHO WOULD DECIDE
20	WHETHER OR NOT TO RELEASE OR NOT RELEASE THE
21	INFORMATION, REGARDLESS OF WHO CONSENTED WHEN THAT
22	ADULT CHILD ADULT INDIVIDUAL WAS THE CLIENT OR
23	WHEN THE INFORMATION WAS GATHERED.
24	AND THEN THE LAST ONE, FROM A PRACTICAL
2.5	POINT OF VIEW. IT MAY BE TRUE THAT THE CLIENT MAY

1	BE UNABLE TO PAY FOR YOUR SERVICES, BUT YOU HAVE TO
2	KEEP IN MIND THAT IF IT'S A CAPABLE INDIVIDUAL, WE
3	CAN'T JUST CANCEL THE THERAPY AND DROP HIM COLD
4	TURKEY, THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO TAKE STEPS TO
5	TERMINATE PROPERLY, REASONABLE NOTICE AND SOME OF
6	THOSE THINGS THAT ARE SET OUT IN THE PROFESSIONAL
7	MISCONDUCT REGULATION REGARDING THE TERMINATION OF
8	CLIENTS.
9	SO FOR THE MOST PART, THAT WAS ANSWERED
10	BY MOST OF YOU IN THE WAY THAT I WOULD HAVE
11	ANSWERED IT, AND THAT POLL FORMAT SEEMED TO WORK
12	REASONABLY WELL.
13	SO LET'S GO ON TO THE NEXT ONE.
14	CALLING THIS ONE MISSING PERSON
15	OBLIGATION.
16	THE POLICE ARE INVESTIGATING A MISSING
17	PERSON CASE(READS SLIDE SCENARIO 2).
18	SO LET'S SEE WHAT YOU THINK THERE.
19	THERE'S 3 OPTIONS. ONE, TWO AND/OR THREE. LET'S
20	VOTE ON THIS ONE.
21	THE RESULTS SHOULD BE COMING UP
22	MOMENTARILY. THERE THEY ARE, AND I CERTAINLY AGREE
23	WITH THE MAJORITY OF YOU, THAT OUR OBLIGATION WOULD
24	BE TO COMPLY WITH A PRODUCTION OF RECORDS ORDER OR
25	TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION SET OUT IN THE URGENT

1	DEMAND FOR RECORDS FORM PROVIDED BY THE OFFICER.
2	IT'S NOT APPROPRIATE TO PROVIDE THE
3	INFORMATION TO THE OFFICER JUST BECAUSE THEY ASKED
4	FOR IT. THERE ARE, AS NOTED IN TWO AND THREE,
5	THERE ARE LEGAL VEHICLES BY WHICH THE OFFICER CAN
6	OBTAIN, WHICH WOULD THEN REQUIRE YOU TO BREACH
7	CONFIDENTIALITY AND PROVIDE THE INFORMATION
8	SPECIFIED. AND THAT'S WHY IT'S NOT GOOD ENOUGH FOR
9	YOU TO JUST ACCEPT THE REQUEST OF THE OFFICER IN
10	PERSON. THERE'S NO AUTHORITY FOR YOU TO BREACH
11	CONFIDENTIALITY AND PROVIDE THE INFORMATION JUST
12	WITH THE VERBAL REQUEST.
13	BUT IF THERE'S A PRODUCTION OF RECORDS
14	ORDER, LIKE ANY KIND OF ANY TYPE OF A COURT
15	ORDER, THAT'S CERTAINLY SOMETHING ONE SHOULD COMPLY
16	WITH. AND AS WELL AND THIS IS THE NEW FORM THAT
17	CAME OUT IN THE MISSING PERSON ACT OF 2018, AN
18	OFFICER CAN PROVIDE AN URGENT DEMAND FOR RECORDS
19	FORM, AND THE OBLIGATION WOULD BE TO PROVIDE THE
20	INFORMATION SET OUT IN THAT FORM.
21	IF YOU WANT TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT
22	FORM, YOU CAN SEE IT ON THE MINISTRY WEBSITE IF YOU
23	GOOGLE URGENT DEMAND FOR RECORDS. IT WILL TAKE
24	YOU I BELIEVE THE FIRST THING YOU'LL SEE IS A
25	PDF OR THE ABILITY TO GET A PDF OF THAT FORM AND

1	YOU CAN SEE WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE.
2	OKAY. SO LET'S GO ON TO NUMBER 3.
3	AND THIS ONE RELATES TO THE DUTY OR
4	AUTHORITY TO OFFSET HARM. YOUR 20 SOMETHING YEAR
5	OLD CLIENT(READS SLIDE).
6	SO IF YOU HAVE GOT THE SCENARIO, WE CAN
7	GO ON TO POSSIBLE RESPONSES TO THIS SCENARIO. NEXT
8	SLIDE PLEASE.
9	SINCE YOUR CLIENT TOLD YOU ABOUT THIS,
LO	ASSUMING HE WAS PROTECTED BY
11	CONFIDENTIALITY(READS SLIDE).
12	SO LET'S BRING UP THE POLL. AS I SAY,
13	YOU CAN KEEP IT OFF TO THE SIDE SO YOU CAN CONTINUE
L 4	TO SEE THE FULL STATEMENTS. PLEASE INDICATE WHICH
15	OF THOSE YOU THINK APPLIES TO THIS SITUATION.
16	COUPLE MORE SECONDS.
L7	OKAY THE RESULTS ARE COMING UP. ALL
18	RIGHT SO WE HAVE OOPS. THE RESULTS ARE GONE.
19	CAN WE TRY THE RESULTS AGAIN?
20	THAT LOOKS DIFFERENT FROM THE FIRST
21	TIME. DO WE HAVE TO DO THE POLL AGAIN?
22	MAYBE WE HAVE TO. TECHNICAL PROBLEM.
23	WOULD YOU MIND VOTING AGAIN, PLEASE, FOR WHETHER
24	YOU THINK IT IS 1, 2, 3, 4 AND/OR 5. LET'S SEE.
25	ALL RIGHT THAT LOOKS MORE LIKE WE HAD BEFORE.

1	ALL RIGHT, AND THE ANSWER THAT WORKS
2	FOR ME AND SEEMS TO WORK FOR THE MAJORITY OF YOU
3	WHO VOTED IS NUMBER TWO, AND THAT IS THAT ONE MAY
4	TAKE SOME ACTION WHICH COULD INVOLVE BREACHING
5	CONFIDENTIALITY TO OFFSET HARM IF YOU FEEL IT'S
6	NECESSARY.
7	EACH OF THE OTHERS, 1, 3, 4 AND 5 HAVE
8	SOME KIND OF A PROBLEM IN IT.
9	LOOKING AT THE FIRST ONE, THIS WOULD BE
10	CONTRARY TO NUMBER 2. THIS WOULD SUGGEST THAT
11	REGARDLESS OF HOW SERIOUS OF A CONCERN YOU MIGHT
12	HAVE ABOUT THE SITUATION, CONFIDENTIALITY OVERRIDES
13	THAT CONCERN 100% AND YOU CAN'T DO ANYTHING. AND
14	THAT CERTAINLY IS NOT TRUE. IT IS UNFORTUNATE IF
15	THE CLIENT THOUGHT HIS CONVERSATION WITH YOU
16	REGARDING HARM WAS PROTECTED BY CONFIDENTIALITY AND
17	THAT MAY HAVE ASKING TO DO WITH HIS LACK OF
18	UNDERSTANDING OF THE LIMITS OF THE BEGINNING OF
19	YOUR SESSIONS WITH HIM, BUT REGARDLESS OF THAT,
20	CONFIDENTIALITY DOES NOT OVERRIDE YOUR ABILITY TO
21	TAKE SOME ACTION IN THE SITUATION, IF YOU FEEL A
22	NEED TO.
23	AS WE SAID, NUMBER TWO IS AN
24	APPROPRIATE ANSWER.
25	NUMBER THREE, IN OUR LEGISLATION,

1	THERE'S NO REFERENCE TO IMMINENT HARM.
2	IN SOME OF THE AMERICAN JURISDICTIONS
3	WHERE THEY HAVE DUTY TO PERFORM LEGISLATION,
4	THERE'S A REQUIREMENT THAT THE INFORMATION YOU HAVE
5	SUGGEST THAT THE HARM IS IMMINENT AND SUGGESTS THAT
6	ONE HAS AN IDENTIFIABLE VICTIM.
7	OUR LEGISLATION IN ONTARIO DOESN'T HAVE
8	THAT AS A CRITERIA. IT SAYS BASICALLY THAT IF YOU
9	BELIEVE ON REASONABLE GROUNDS THAT DISCLOSURE IS
LO	NECESSARY TO OFFSET HARM, THEN YOU MAY PREVENT
11	TAKE ACTION. YOU MAY BREACH CONFIDENTIALITY TO
L2	PREVENT THAT HARM. AND IT DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING
L3	ABOUT THAT HARM HAVE TO BE IMMINENT AND IT DOESN'T
L 4	SAY ANYTHING ABOUT YOU HAVING TO IDENTIFY
L5	SPECIFICALLY THE PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL.
L 6	SO OUR PERMISSION IS MUCH BROADER WITH
L7	RESPECT TO WHAT WE ARE ABLE TO CONSIDER.
L8	NUMBER FOUR, THE PROBLEM WITH NUMBER
L 9	FOUR, AND I DID THIS SPECIFICALLY. NUMBER FOUR
20	TALKS ABOUT AN OBLIGATION, A LEGISLATIVE OBLIGATION
21	TO TAKE SOME ACTION. THIS IS NOT THE CASE IN
22	ONTARIO. ONCE AGAIN LOOKING AT SOME AMERICAN
23	JURISDICTIONS, THEIR DUTY TO WARN LEGISLATION IS
24	QUITE PRESCRIPTIVE AND SAYS THAT IF YOU ARE
25	CONCERNED ABOUT HARM, IF YOU HAVE A SERIOUS CONCERN

1	ABOUT HARM TO OTHERS, YOU MUST TAKE SOME ACTION.
2	IN ONTARIO WE DON'T HAVE THAT KIND OF A MUST. IT
3	IS A MAY, AS IT POINTED OUT IN NUMBER TWO.
4	AND SO IT REALLY BECOMES ONE'S
5	PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT
6	ACTION IS REQUIRED IN TERMS OF PREVENTING HARM TO
7	SELF OR OTHERS.
8	AND THAT CAN MAKE THINGS, MAKE THIS
9	MUCH MORE DIFFICULT BECAUSE WE HAVE TO DECIDE WHAT
10	THE BEST ACTION IS TO TAKE OR WHETHER TO TAKE ANY
11	ACTION AT ALL.
12	DIFFERENT FROM CHILD ABUSE REPORTING
13	WHERE WE REALLY DON'T HAVE A DECISION TO MAKE. THE
14	LEGISLATION IS QUITE CLEAR THAT ONE MUST REPORT.
15	WHEN IT COMES TO THE AUTHORITY TO BREACH
16	CONFIDENTIALITY AROUND CONCERN ABOUT HARM, IT ISN'T
17	THAT PRESCRIPTIVE AND REQUIRES US TO MAKE A
18	DECISION AS TO WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO DO SOMETHING.
19	AND FINALLY, THERE'S NOTHING IN THE
20	LEGISLATION, THIS SECTION, THAT SAYS THAT THE
21	VICTIM OR THE CLIENT THE VICTIM OR THE
22	PERPETRATOR MUST BE OUR CLIENT. IT TALKS ABOUT
23	DISCLOSING INFORMATION IN ORDER TO ELIMINATE OR
24	REDUCE SIGNIFICANT RISK OF SERIOUS BODILY HARM AND
25	IT DOESN'T SPECIFY WHETHER THE PERSON WHO IS WHO

1	MAY BE HURT IS THE CLIENT OR WHETHER THE POTENTIAL
2	PERPETRATOR IS OUR CLIENT. SO IT RELATES TO ANY
3	HARM THAT WE MIGHT FIND OUT ABOUT WHETHER IT
4	INVOLVES OUR CLIENT DIRECTLY OR NOT.
5	OKAY, LET'S MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ONE.
6	HERE I HAVE A SERIES OF FOUR TRUE OR
7	FALSE QUESTIONS. THEY AREN'T CONNECTED IN ANY WAY,
8	THE FOUR THAT ARE THERE.
9	SO WHAT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO DO WHEN
L 0	WE DO THE POLL IS TO INDICATE TRUE OR FALSE TO EACH
L1	OF THESE.
12	(READS NUMBER ONE).
13	TRUE OR FALSE?
L 4	NEXT ONE (READS NUMBER TWO).
L5	SO TAKING A LOOK AT EACH ONE OF THESE,
L 6	WE WILL PUT THE POLL UP AND CHOOSE WHETHER OR NOT
L 7	THESE ARE TRUE OR FALSE.
L 8	YOU CAN SCROLL DOWN. ON MY SCREEN THE
L 9	NUMBER FOUR GETS CUT OFF, BUT IF I SCROLL DOWN, I
20	CAN SEE THE REST OF NUMBER FOUR. OR YOU CAN MAKE
21	THE WHOLE BOX BIGGER. WE'RE JUST ABOUT READY FOR
22	THE RESULTS OF THIS POLL ON THE TRUE/FALSE
23	QUESTIONS. HERE ARE THE RESULTS.
24	SO LET'S GO FROM THE TOP. THAT ONE IS
25	ALMOST A 50 WELL, IT IS TWO-THIRDS ONE-THIRD IN

1	TERMS OF TRUE AND FALSE.
2	THE ANSWER TO THAT, AND I THINK A LOT
3	OF MEMBERS MIGHT HAVE MISSED THIS IN THE
4	PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT IN THE BILLING SECTION,
5	THIS IS DEFINITELY TRUE. THE ONTARIO PSYCHOLOGICAL
6	COLLEGE DOES POST FEES. I BELIEVE THE INTENDED
7	HOURLY FEE IS 225 DOLLARS AND THE PROFESSIONAL
8	MISCONDUCT REG INDICATES IF ONE IS GOING TO CHARGE
9	MORE THAN \$225 THAN ONE NEEDS TO INFORM ONE'S
10	CLIENT THAT ONE IS CHARGING ABOVE THE SCHEDULE FEE
11	SET FOR THE PROFESSION AND HOW MUCH THAT AMOUNT IS.
12	SO THAT'S SOMETHING YOU MIGHT WANT TO
13	THINK ABOUT. IF YOU'RE NOT DOING IT AND YOU DO
14	CHARGE OVER THE SCHEDULE FEES, THEN INCORPORATE
15	THAT INTO YOUR BEGINNING SESSION WHEN YOU'RE
16	DISCUSSING FEES WITH YOUR CLIENT.
17	NUMBER TWO IS DEFINITELY FALSE. WHILE
18	I CAN UNDERSTAND WHY SOME PEOPLE MIGHT HAVE GONE
19	WITH THE IDEA OF THIS BEING TRUE, THAT IS WANTING
20	TO PROTECT CONFIDENTIALITY SO NOT LETTING THE
21	SHREDDING COMPANY OR THE COMPUTER TECHNICIAN HAVE
22	ACCESS TO YOUR FILES, ON A PRACTICAL LEVEL, THIS IS
23	REALLY NOT SOMETHING THAT WOULD WORK.
24	SO YES, IT IS FALSE. YOU DON'T HAVE TO
25	BE PRESENT WHILE SHRED IT SHREDS YOUR MATERIALS OR

1	THE COMPUTER PERSON FIXES YOUR COMPUTER.
2	BUT ONE OF THE THINGS YOU WOULD WANT TO
3	DO IS SPEAK WITH THEM, OR IF IT'S A LARGE COMPANY
4	LIKE SHRED IT, WHAT KIND OF A CONFIDENTIALITY AND
5	PRIVACY POLICY THEY HAVE.
6	THEY SHOULD HAVE A WRITTEN POLICY AND
7	THEIR POLICY SHOULD SATISFY YOU THAT THEY
8	UNDERSTAND PHIPA AND THEIR RESPONSIBILITY. SO YOU
9	CAN FEEL COMFORTABLE OR CONFIDENT THAT THE
10	INFORMATION THAT YOU ARE PROVIDING TO THEM AND
11	ASKING THEM TO SHRED WILL BE MAINTAINED AS
12	CONFIDENTIAL.
13	NUMBER THREE IS ONE THAT LOOKS LIKE
14	MOST PEOPLE NOW KNOW ABOUT. IT'S A CHANGE TO PHIPA
15	THAT CAME IN, OH, A FEW YEARS AGO. AND ALTHOUGH IT
16	MAY CAUSE SOME DIFFICULTY FOR THE CLIENT, IT IS
17	IMPORTANT THAT WE TELL THE CLIENT IF THEIR
18	INFORMATION IS LOST, STOLEN, IF WE SEND IT ON TO
19	THE WRONG PRACTITIONER ACCIDENTLY. THE CLIENT HAS
20	A RIGHT TO KNOW THAT THAT INFORMATION WAS
21	POTENTIALLY ACCESSED BY SOMEONE OTHER THAN WHOEVER
22	WAS SUPPOSED TO GO TO.
23	AND AT THIS TIME, WHEN TALKING ABOUT
24	THIS, I USUALLY LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT WHEN IT
25	COMES TO CLIENTS AND PATIENTS, IN MANY SITUATIONS,

WE BECOME THE CLIENT OR THE PATIENT. THINK OF THIS
IF YOU WERE THE CLIENT OR PATIENT OF YOUR FAMILY
PHYSICIAN OR CHIROPRACTOR OR OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST
OR WHATEVER OTHER HOW CAN PROFESSIONAL YOU WENT TO
AND IF INADVERTENTLY THAT INFORMATION WAS SENT TO
THE WRONG PRACTITIONER AS PART OF A REFERRAL OR
THAT INFORMATION WAS STOLEN, I THINK YOU WOULD WANT
TO KNOW ABOUT THAT. I WOULD CERTAINLY WANT TO KNOW
AND THAT'S WHERE PHIPA COMES IN AND SAYS THAT WE AS
THE PATIENT OR CLIENT DEFINITELY HAVE THE RIGHT TO
KNOW THAT SOMETHING HAS GONE AWRY WITH OUR PERSONAL
HEALTH INFORMATION.

THE FOURTH ONE, THIS ISN'T A

REQUIREMENT, SO I GUESS THAT TRUE OR FALSE COULD

BOTH BE CONSIDERED AS CORRECT ANSWERS, ALTHOUGH WE

DO STRONGLY RECOMMEND -- AND I GUESS LEANING MUCH

MORE TOWARDS A TRUE. WE DO STRONGLY RECOMMEND WHEN

A FILE HAS REACHED ITS, THE END OF ITS REQUIRED

RETENTION PERIOD AND YOU DECIDE TO SECURELY DESTROY

IT, WE RECOMMEND THAT YOU KEEP SOME RECORD OF WHAT

IT IS THAT YOU HAVE DESTROYED AND SO YOU WANT TO

HAVE THE NAME AND DATE OF BIRTH SO YOU CAN THEN BE

ABLE TO KNOW WHICH CLIENT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT.

IT SHOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE AN INITIAL DATE SEEN AND LAST DATE SEEN AND THE DATE IT WAS

Τ	SHREDDED. IN THIS WAY, IF YOU RECEIVE A REQUEST
2	FOR INFORMATION AND CAN'T FIND THAT FILE, YOU MAY
3	NOT REMEMBER THAT WAS A CLIENT FROM 15 YEARS AGO.
4	BUT IF YOU CAN'T FIND THAT FILE, YOU CAN THEN LOOK
5	AT YOUR LIST OF SHREDDED FILES AND SAY, OH OKAY.
6	RIGHT. I REMEMBER. OR MY LIST TELLS ME YES THEY
7	WERE A FILE, BUT THE FILE WAS SHREDDED ON THIS DATE
8	AND THAT DATE WOULD BE FOR ADULTS 10 YEARS PAST THE
9	DATE OF LAST CONTACT.
10	SO WE DON'T HAVE A SPECIFIC RULE AT THE
11	COLLEGE. IT IS NOT IN THE STANDARDS ONE MUST DO
12	THAT. IT IS CERTAINLY A RECOMMENDED PRACTICE.
13	OKAY. WE CAN CLOSE THE POLL RESULTS
14	AND MOVE ON TO THE NEXT SCENARIO.
15	NUMBER FIVE. INTER JURISDICTIONAL
16	PRACTICE. WE GET A LOT OF QUESTIONS ABOUT INTER
17	JURISDICTIONAL PRACTICE.
18	DUE TO THE PANDEMIC(READS SLIDE).
19	LET'S IDENTIFY THE CORRECT STATEMENTS.
20	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
21	IS IT CORRECT THAT, ONE, YOU MAY
22	PROVIDE SERVICES TO YOUR CLIENTS(READS SLIDE).
23	ON A DAY LIKE TODAY, YOU MAY BE
24	CONSIDERING A TWO MONTH VACATION IN ARIZONA.
25	OR, NUMBER TWO, IS IT TRUE YOU MAY

1	PROVIDE SERVICES TO AN EXISTING ONTARIO RESIDENT
2	CLIENT(READS SLIDE).
3	SO THE FIRST ONE HAS YOU IN ARIZONA AND
4	THE CLIENT IN ONTARIO. THE SECOND ONE HAS YOU IN
5	ONTARIO AND CLIENT IS IN ARIZONA.
6	THE THIRD, IS IT TRUE THAT THERE'S A
7	MUTUAL RECOGNITION AGREEMENT(READS SLIDE).
8	LET'S GO TO THE POLL AND I WANT YOU TO
9	IDENTIFY WHICH OF THOSE ARE CORRECT.
10	A COUPLE MORE SECONDS. AND HERE COME
11	THE RESULTS. SO 66% OF US INDICATED THAT THE FIRST
12	ONE IS CORRECT, AND CERTAINLY THE FIRST ONE IS
13	CORRECT. YOU MAY PROVIDE SERVICES TO YOUR CLIENTS
14	IN ONTARIO WHILE YOU'RE TEMPORARILY LOCATED IN
15	ARIZONA BECAUSE YOU'RE REGISTERED IN ONTARIO, AND
16	THAT'S WHERE YOUR CLIENTS ARE. SO YOU ARE
17	PERMITTED TO CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THOSE CLIENTS.
18	HOWEVER, NUMBER TWO IS INCORRECT. IF
19	YOUR CLIENT IS NOW SITTING IN ARIZONA FOR 6 MONTH
20	WORK ASSIGNMENT OR ON VACATION, YOU DON'T HAVE THE
21	AUTHORITY, BEING REGISTERED IN ONTARIO, TO
22	NECESSARILY PRACTICE TO PRACTICE IN ARIZONA.
23	ARIZONA PSYCHOLOGY BOARD MAY ALLOW YOU TO DO SO,
24	BUT YOU CAN'T AUTOMATICALLY WORK WITH THE CLIENT IN
25	ARIZONA JUST BECAUSE THEY WERE A CLIENT IN ONTARIO.

1	THIS IS RESUMING THAT YOU'RE IN ONTARIO.
2	AND IF WE JUMP DOWN TO NUMBER FIVE
3	THEN, THAT'S SORT OF THE GENERAL RULE, THAT FOR THE
4	MOST PART AND I SAY FOR THE MOST PART BECAUSE A
5	COUPLE OF JURISDICTIONS HAVE IT DIFFERENTLY, BUT
6	FOR THE MOST PART, PSYCHOLOGY REGULATORS CONSIDER
7	IT'S THE LOCATION OF THE CLIENT WHICH DETERMINES
8	WHERE ONE MUST BE REGISTERED.
9	SO IF THE CLIENT IS IN ONTARIO AND
10	YOU'RE REGISTERED IN ONTARIO, YOU CAN WORK HERE.
11	YOU CAN CONTINUE TO SEE THAT CLIENT. IT DOESN'T
12	MATTER WHERE YOU'RE LOCATED BECAUSE THE SERVICE IS
13	BEING PROVIDED IN ONTARIO TO A CLIENT IN ONTARIO.
14	SO NUMBER FIVE IS TRUE. IT GOES ALONG
15	WITH NUMBER ONE.
16	NUMBER THREE, UNFORTUNATELY AT THIS
17	POINT IT IS NOT TRUE. THERE'S NO MUTUAL
18	RECOGNITION AGREEMENT ACROSS THE CANADIAN
19	REGULATORS WHICH WILL PERMIT VIRTUAL SERVICES FROM
20	ONE PROVINCE TO THE NEXT. IT IS SOMETHING THAT
21	WE'RE WORKING ON. THE ORGANIZATION BARRY MENTIONED
22	IN THE INTRODUCTION, IS WORKING ON THIS, TRYING TO
23	COME UP WITH A MUTUAL RECOGNITION OF SOME SORT THAT
24	WOULD PERMIT SORT OF CROSS JURISDICTIONAL OR
25	PAN-CANADIAN VIRTUAL PRACTICE TO HAPPEN, BUT

Τ	CURRENTLY IT DOESN'T. IT'S A COMPLICATED ISSUE
2	BECAUSE AS YOU KNOW, PROVISION OF HEALTHCARE IS A
3	PROVINCIAL JURISDICTION, SO EACH OF THE 10
4	PROVINCES AND TERRITORIES HAVE THEIR OWN
5	LEGISLATION, AND DEPENDING ON THE LEGISLATION, IT
6	MAY OR MAY NOT BE POSSIBLE FOR ONE TO WORK IN
7	VARIOUS JURISDICTIONS.
8	AND SO WE, MEANING ACPRO, ARE TRYING TO
9	SORT THIS OUT AND COME UP WITH SOME KIND OF A WAY
10	IN WHICH WE CAN HAVE NUMBER 3 BE A TRUE STATEMENT.
11	THAT'S SOMETHING BEING WORKED ON BUT CERTAINLY
12	ISN'T IN PLACE AS OF YET.
13	AND FINALLY, THIS IS ALSO FALSE, THE
14	WAY IT IS WRITTEN. OUR COLLEGE IN ONTARIO, WE DO
15	NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO TELL YOU AS
16	PRACTITIONERS, THAT IT IS OKAY FOR YOU TO PRACTICE
17	IN ANOTHER JURISDICTION WITHOUT BEING REGISTERED
18	THERE.
19	IT IS TRUE IN RESPONSE TO THE PANDEMIC
20	AND AS AN EMERGENCY MEASURE, THE COLLEGES HAVE ALL
21	MADE AN AGREEMENT TO TRY AND FACILITATE PRACTICE
22	ACROSS THE JURISDICTIONS, BUT IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT
23	IF YOU WANT IF YOU'RE REGISTERED IN ONTARIO AND
24	IF YOU HAVE A REASON TO BE SEEING A CLIENT IN
25	ANOTHER JURISDICTION IN CANADA, IT WOULD BE

1	IMPORTANT THAT YOU KNOW AND FIND OUT WHAT THE
2	WHAT THAT JURISDICTION WOULD EXPECT OF YOU EITHER
3	ON A REGULAR BASIS OR DURING THE PANDEMIC, IN ORDER
4	TO BE REGISTERED THERE.
5	WE HAVE MADE SOME A FEW CHANGES WITH
6	RESPECT TO ONTARIO WHICH WILL PERMIT MEMBERS,
7	PRACTITIONERS, FROM OTHER JURISDICTIONS THAT MAYBE
8	WERE SEEING A CLIENT IN THEIR HOME JURISDICTION
9	WHILE THEY WERE THERE, BUT THEN THE PERSON HAS HAD
10	TO COME TO ONTARIO FOR SOME REASON DUE TO THE
11	PANDEMIC AND THEY DON'T WANT TO DISRUPT THAT
12	SERVICE. WE HAVE MADE SOME ARRANGEMENTS AROUND
13	THAT.
14	BUT IT BECOMES OUR COLLEGE'S DECISION
15	IF OTHER PEOPLE CAN PRACTICE HERE AND SIMILARLY IN
16	TERMS OF NUMBER FOUR, IF YOU WISH TO PRACTICE IN
17	ANOTHER JURISDICTION, IT'S IMPORTANT THAT YOU FIND
18	OUT FROM THEM WHETHER IT'S OKAY AND WHAT THEY MIGHT
19	REQUIRE OF YOU.
20	OKAY. LET'S GO ON TO THE NEXT ONE.
21	SCENARIO 6, ASSESSMENT REPORT
22	DISAGREEMENT(READS SLIDE).
23	OH, DON'T NEED THE POLL UP THERE AGAIN
24	FROM THE LAST QUESTION.
25	WHEN THE CLIENT RETURNED TO DISCUSS THE

1	OUTCOME(READS).
2	WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO WITH OUR
3	INFORMATION ABOUT HIM AND HIS IN QUOTES NERVOUS
4	BREAKDOWN THAT WE PUT INTO A REPORT THAT HE DEMANDS
5	WE REMOVE IT FROM OUR REPORT AND DELETE IT FROM OUR
6	NOTES.
7	CAN WE HAVE THE POLL FOR SCENARIO
8	NUMBER 6, PLEASE? OH, AND NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE.
9	SO HERE'S YOU CAN SEE THE POLL AND
LO	YOUR CHOICES ARE, WE'RE GOING TO DELETE ANY MENTION
11	OF HOSPITALIZATIONS FROM THE REPORT(READS
12	SLIDE).
13	THERE'S THE POLL. IT'S UP THERE. WHAT
L 4	DO YOU THINK IS WHAT WOULD YOUR ACTIONS BE WITH
15	REGARDS TO THIS INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM THE
L 6	CLIENT, IS IN THE REPORT, AND THE CLIENT HAS
L7	DEMANDED YOU TOTALLY GET RID OF?
L8	WE'LL CLOSE THE POLL SHORTLY.
19	LET'S SEE WHAT THE RESULTS LOOK LIKE.
20	OKAY, SO I THINK THAT, WELL, WE HAVE A VARIETY OF
21	OPINIONS. JUST RUNNING THROUGH THEM FROM TOP TO
22	BOTTOM, I WOULD CERTAINLY AGREE WITH THE NUMBER
23	THREE OR NUMBER ONE, SORRY, AS A POSSIBLE OPTION
24	AND AGREEING WITH ONE-THIRD OF OUR GROUP WHO
25	THOUGHT THAT WAS THE ANSWER.

1	AND THAT IS THAT YOU WOULD'VE MADE A
2	PROFESSIONAL OPINION THAT IT REALLY WASN'T RELEVANT
3	TO THE QUESTION BEING ASKED, SO THEN YOU CAN TAKE
4	IT OUT OF THE REPORT. BECAUSE WHAT'S IN THE REPORT
5	IS UP TO YOU TO DECIDE AND YOU CAN DECIDE IT IS NOT
6	RELEVANT. BUT IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU KEEP IT IN
7	YOUR NOTES, AS IT WAS GATHERED AS PART OF THE
8	INFORMATION THAT YOU OBTAINED DURING THE
9	ASSESSMENT.
10	NUMBER TWO IS NOT OKAY. I MEAN BECAUSE
11	THAT WOULD BE JUST GENERALLY THAT WOULD BE JUST
12	REMOVING IT FROM NOT JUST THE REPORT, BUT ALSO FROM
13	YOUR NOTES AND WE SHOULD BE KEEPING ANY KIND OF
14	INFORMATION OF THAT SORT IN OUR NOTES, AND
15	CERTAINLY THE REASON TO DO THAT TO SATISFY THE
16	NEEDS OF THE CLIENT, UNFORTUNATELY WHILE WE'D LIKE
17	THE CLIENT TO BE SATISFIED AND HAPPY, SOMETIMES
18	THAT'S NOT POSSIBLE.
19	WE CAN CERTAINLY DO NUMBER THREE, IF WE
20	FELT IT WAS RELEVANT. THEN IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT
21	FOR US TO LEAVE THE INFORMATION IN THE REPORT
22	BECAUSE IT IS RELEVANT TO THE REPORT WE WROTE AND
23	THE REPORT WE'RE GOING TO BE SIGNING AS OUR
24	PROFESSIONAL OPINION, AND CERTAINLY GIVE THE CLIENT
25	A COPY OF THAT REPORT AND THE CLIENT CAN DO WHAT HE

1	WANTS WITH IT. HE CAN SHRED HIS COPY OF IT OR
2	WHATEVER HE WANTS WITH IT.
3	THE PROBLEM WITH NUMBER FOUR AND SORT
4	OF A TRICK QUESTION, I GUESS. TWO-THIRDS OF YOU
5	CHOSE THIS ONE. THIS IS LEAVING THE NOTATION IN
6	THE REPORT AS YOU FELT IT WAS RELEVANT, WHICH IS
7	CERTAINLY OKAY. BUT WE WOULD WANT TO BE VERY
8	CAREFUL NOT TO ASSURE THE CLIENT THAT IT WOULD
9	NEVER BE RELEASED TO ANYONE WITHOUT HIS SPECIFIC
10	INFORMED CONSENT. AS YOU KNOW, IN TERMS OF LIMITS
11	OF CONFIDENTIALITY, THERE ARE OCCASIONS WHEN WE
12	LOSE CONTROL OVER WHETHER OR NOT OUR INFORMATION IS
13	GOING TO BE RELEASED. THESE GENERALLY RELATE TO
14	COURT ORDERS, HAVING TO TAKE BEING SUMMONED TO
15	COURT AND TAKING FILES TO COURT. SO WE LOSE
16	CONTROL OVER WHETHER OR NOT WE WHETHER OR NOT
17	THAT INFORMATION WOULD BE RELEASED OR NOT RELEASED.
18	SO THIS IS JUST THE TRICK IN HERE IS JUST NOT TO
19	GUARANTEE TO THE CLIENT THAT NO MATTER WHAT, IT
20	WOULD NEVER BE RELEASED WOULD SPECIFIC CONSENT
21	BECAUSE YOU MIGHT FIND YOURSELF IN A SITUATION
22	WHERE YOU ARE ORDERED, BY THE COURTS FOR EXAMPLE,
23	TO RELEASE IT.
24	AND THE LAST ONE I SEE NOBODY RESPONDED
25	TO, AND I'M GLAD TO SEE THAT. ALTHOUGH WE

1	CERTAINLY WOULD LIKE TO GET PAID FOR OUR WORK,
2	CHANGING THE REPORT AND CHANGING YOUR PROFESSIONAL
3	OPINION IN ORDER TO SATISFY THE CLIENT SO THAT
4	HE'LL PAY US FOR OUR WORK WOULD NOT BE AN OKAY
5	THING TO DO.
6	IF THE CLIENT DECIDE THEY WEREN'T GOING
7	TO PAY US BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T SATISFIED, THEN
8	THERE ARE WAYS IN WHICH WE COULD GO ABOUT TRYING TO
9	COLLECT THAT PAYMENT.
10	BUT USING THE BEING PAID MOTIVATION AS
11	THE REASON TO CHANGE A REPORT WOULD OBVIOUSLY NOT
12	BE A GOOD IDEA AND IT'S QUITE OBVIOUS BECAUSE NO
13	ONE GOT ZERO PERCENT, WELL, FOUR PEOPLE, BUT ZERO
14	PERCENT OF YOU THOUGHT IT WAS A GOOD ANSWER.
15	SO LET'S GO ON TO NUMBER 7.
16	THIS IS KIND OF A CONTINUATION.
17	THE CLIENT IN THE PREVIOUS SCENARIO IS
18	OBVIOUSLY UNHAPPY WITH OUR REFUSAL TO
19	ACCOMMODATE(READS).
20	WHAT'S GOING TO BE YOUR RESPONSE TO
21	THIS REQUEST? LET'S PUT UP THE NEXT SLIDE AND WE
22	CAN PUT UP THE POLL AT THE SAME TIME SO PEOPLE CAN
23	CHOOSE AS WE GO ALONG.
24	WOULD YOU(READS).
25	AND IF YOU REMEMBER FROM THE FIRST

1	SCENARIO, HE WANTED THE REPORT TO DOCUMENT AND
2	SUPPORT HIS STRESS LEAVE SITUATION, SO HE GAVE YOU
3	CONSENT TO PROVIDE THE REPORT TO YOUR TO HIS
4	EMPLOYER.
5	LET'S CHOOSE FROM OUR MULTIPLE CHOICE
6	LIST WHICH WOULD BE CORRECT AND ACTIONS YOU MIGHT
7	TAKE.
8	HERE COME THE RESULTS.
9	WELL, NOT A LOT OF DISCUSSION NEEDS TO
10	HAPPEN HERE. I AGREE WITH 98% OF YOU WHO SAID WE
11	WOULD RETAIN THE FILE REGARDLESS OF THE REQUEST OF
12	THE CLIENT. WE WOULD RETAIN THE FILE AND THE
13	ORIGINAL REPORT. AND IN THIS CASE WE WOULD ASSURE
14	HIM OR TELL HIM IT CAN ONLY BE RELEASED WITH HIS
15	CONSENT UNLESS OTHERWISE REQUIRED OR DIRECTED BY
16	LAW, WHICH WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE ANSWER,
17	DIFFERENT FROM THE LAST SET OF ANSWERS WHEN THE
18	ANSWER SUGGESTED THE INFORMATION WOULD NEVER BE
19	RELEASED.
20	NUMBER TWO WE CAN'T NUMBER TWO
21	SUGGESTS DESTROYING THE FILE AND CERTAINLY
22	REGARDLESS OF THE REASON OR REGARDLESS OF WHAT'S IN
23	IT, WE CAN'T DESTROY THE FILE. WE HAVE RETENTION
24	OBLIGATIONS. NUMBER TWO WOULD GO AGAINST THE
25	RETENTION OBLIGATIONS.

Τ	NUMBER 3, IT'S KIND OF LYING TO YOUR
2	CLIENT. I NEVER RECOMMEND LYING TO YOUR CLIENT AND
3	SUGGESTING THAT OH YEAH, I TOOK CARE OF IT. I
4	DESTROYED THE FILE, BUT THEN NOT REALLY DESTROYING
5	THE FILE. SO NOT AN ACTION THAT WOULD BE CONDONED.
6	THE STANDARDS FOR PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT
7	DON'T SEE ANYTHING ABOUT REASSESSMENTS AND LENGTH
8	OF TIME BETWEEN AN ASSESSMENT AND REASSESSMENT.
9	THERE'S NOTHING IN THE STANDARDS THAT WOULD MAKE IT
10	IMPOSSIBLE FOR HIM TO RECEIVE A REASSESSMENT WITHIN
11	A YEAR OR FOR YOU TO DO THAT REASSESSMENT WITHIN A
12	YEAR.
13	IN THIS SCENARIO, THERE'S A GOOD CHANCE
14	THIS PARTICULAR CLIENT WOULDN'T EVEN HAVE MENTIONED
15	TO YOU THEY HAD A PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT, BUT EVEN IF
16	YOU DID FIND OUT IN SOME WAY, IT DOESN'T MEAN
17	THERE'S NOTHING IN THE STANDARDS THAT SAY YOU CAN'T
18	DO A REASSESSMENT WITHIN 365 DAYS OF THE PREVIOUS
19	ONE. WHAT WOULD BE IMPORTANT IS IF YOU DECIDE TO
20	TAKE THAT ON, IT WOULD BE UP TO YOU TO ENSURE THAT
21	THE TESTS YOU USE ARE GOING TO BE VALID AND
22	RELIABLE GIVEN THAT THEY MAY HAVE BEEN USED IN THE
23	RECENT PAST.
24	BUT IT BECOMES A JUDGMENT OF YOUR AS TO
25	WHETHER OR NOT YOU WILL DO A REASSESSMENT OF AN

1	INDIVIDUAL AND WHAT THE TIME PERIOD WILL BE BETWEEN
2	DOING THE FIRST ASSESSMENT AND THE SECOND
3	ASSESSMENT.
4	AND NUMBER THREE SORRY, NUMBER FIVE
5	IS NOT OKAY. ALTHOUGH WE DID RECEIVE THE CONSENT
6	AT THE TIME THAT WE WERE FILLING OUT ALL THE FORMS
7	AT THE BEGINNING OF THE FIRST APPOINTMENT, IT WOULD
8	BE QUITE CLEAR THAT WE NO LONGER HAVE THE CLIENT'S
9	INFORMED CONSENT. I THINK AT THE BEGINNING OF THE
10	ASSESSMENT, THE CLIENT JUST ASSUMED THAT THE
11	ASSESSMENT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WE WANTED TO GO
12	TO HIS EMPLOYER, SO HE GAVE CONSENT FOR THAT TO
13	HAPPEN, BUT GIVEN THE CONCERN AND HIS DEMANDS ABOUT
14	REMOVING INFORMATION AND NOT BEING HAPPY WITH THE
15	REPORT, IT WOULD BE SAFE TO ASSUME THAT WE NO
16	LONGER HAVE HIS INFORMED CONSENT TO SEND THE REPORT
17	TO HIS EMPLOYER SO IT WOULDN'T BE OKAY TO DO THAT,
18	AND NOT OKAY TO USE THE CONSENT THAT WE RECEIVED
19	PRIOR TO THE ASSESSMENT WHEN IT WAS REALLY NOT AN
20	INFORMED CONSENT.
21	OKAY, LET'S MOVE ON TO THE NEXT SET OF
22	TRUE FALSE QUESTIONS.
23	OKAY, MAYBE WE CAN PUT THE POLL UP AT
24	THE SAME TIME SO PEOPLE CAN DECIDE OR INDICATE AS
25	WE GO ALONG.

1	SO THE FIRST ONE, WITH THE CLIENT'S
2	CONSENT, ONE MAY RELEASE INFORMATION FROM THE
3	CLINICAL FILE (READS SLIDE).
4	SO TAKING A LOOK AT THOSE FOUR
5	INDIVIDUAL STATEMENTS, CHOOSE WHETHER YOU THINK
6	THEY ARE TRUE OR FALSE.
7	COUPLE MORE SECONDS AND WE'LL GO TO THE
8	RESULTS.
9	AND HERE THEY COME. OKAY, LOOK AT
10	THAT. TWO-THIRDS ONE-THIRD SPLIT ON NUMBER ONE.
11	NUMBER ONE IS TRUE. PHIPA DOES GIVE
12	THE CLIENT THE AUTHORITY TO ACCESS THEIR
13	INFORMATION OR, THE AUTHORITY TO ASK THAT THEIR
14	INFORMATION BE DISCLOSED TO SOMEONE ELSE. THIS IS
15	THE INFORMATION IN THE CLINICAL FILE AND THERE'S
16	NOTHING IN PHIPA THAT SAYS THAT WE CAN ONLY
17	DISCLOSE THE INFORMATION THAT WE AUTHORED AND THE
18	INFORMATION WE GENERATED. WHATEVER INFORMATION YOU
19	HAVE IN YOUR CLINICAL FILE ON A CLIENT IS SUBJECT
20	TO THE CLIENT'S ABILITY TO ACCESS THAT INFORMATION
21	OR THE ABILITY OF THE CLIENT TO AUTHORIZE THAT
22	INFORMATION, BE RELEASED TO SOMEBODY ELSE.
23	SO THE FACT THAT IT'S A REPORT THAT
24	CAME IN A REFERRAL PANEL FROM A PREVIOUS
25	PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OR PSYCHIATRIC ASSESSMENT

1	DOESN'T MEAN THAT GIVEN THE CLIENT'S CONSENT WE
2	CAN'T RELEASE THAT INFORMATION EITHER TO THE CLIENT
3	OR TO SOMEONE THAT THE CLIENT WISHES US TO RELEASE
4	IT TO.
5	CERTAINLY THERE ARE SOME QUALIFIERS ON
6	THAT, AND RISK OF HARM TO CLIENT IS CERTAINLY ONE
7	REASON WHY ONE MIGHT WITHHOLD INFORMATION. BUT AS
8	A GENERAL RULE, ONCE WE HAVE THE INFORMATION IN OUR
9	CLINICAL FILE, THAT'S THE FILE THAT THE CLIENT HAS
LO	CONTROL OVER.
11	NUMBER TWO, UNFORTUNATELY I GUESS
12	UNFORTUNATELY NUMBER TWO IS FALSE. WHILE WE WOULD
L3	HOPE THAT THE CLIENT'S CLAIM THAT THEY PROVIDED TO
L 4	THEIR INSURER FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES IS
15	HONOURED, WHETHER IT WAS SERVICES PROVIDED BY ME AS
16	A MEMBER OR SOMEBODY SUPERVISED BY ME, IT IS REALLY
L7	UP TO THE INSURANCE COMPANY AS TO WHETHER THEY WILL
L8	HONOUR A CLAIM OR NOT.
L 9	AS A PROFESSION, WE HAVE NO CONTROL
20	OVER THAT. IT DEPENDS ON THE INSURANCE COMPANY AND
21	SO WE MIGHT LIKE IT TO BE TRUE AS 30% OF YOU
22	INDICATED, IT'S NOT NECESSARILY TRUE.
23	NUMBER 3. NUMBER 3 IS TRUE AS
24	TWO-THIRDS OF YOU SAID. THERE'S NOTHING IN THE
25	STANDARDS OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT THAT SAYS WE HAVE

1	TO RETAIN A FILE WITH INFORMATION RELATED TO SEXUAL
2	ABUSE PAST THE RETENTION PERIOD. HAVE TO RETAIN
3	THE INFORMATION FOR AS LONG AS RETENTION PERIOD
4	REQUIRES AND THEN AFTER THAT, IT REALLY BECOMES UP
5	TO YOU AS TO WHETHER OR NOT YOU WANT TO KEEP
6	INFORMATION.
7	I KNOW MANY PRACTITIONERS HAVE, AS
8	THEIR OWN PRACTICE RULE, THAT IF THE INFORMATION
9	DOES CONTAIN REFERENCES TO SEXUAL ABUSE OR
10	SOMETHING LIKE THAT, THEY WILL KEEP THAT FILE
11	INDEFINITELY, BECAUSE IN TERMS OF SEXUAL ABUSE OR
12	SEXUAL ASSAULT, THERE TENDS TO BE NO STATUTE OF
13	LIMITATIONS WITHIN THE LAW, AND THE FILE MAY BE
14	HELPFUL OR NEEDED, BUT THERE'S CERTAINLY NOTHING IN
15	THE STANDARDS OR IN THE LEGISLATION THAT REQUIRES
16	ONE TO KEEP THE FILE PAST THE RETENTION PERIOD.
17	AND THE LAST ONE, WELL, 21% OF YOU ARE
18	CORRECT IN THAT THIS IS A FALSE STATEMENT. WHETHER
19	IT COMES TO INCAPACITY OR PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT,
20	AS MEMBERS OF THE COLLEGE, WE DON'T HAVE AN
21	OBLIGATION TO REPORT OUR COLLEAGUES, NECESSARILY,
22	OR TO TURN OUR COLLEAGUES IN WHETHER WE KNOW
23	THERE'S SOMETHING WITH PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT. WE
24	ARE CONCERNED ABOUT IT, OR IF WE HAVE A CONCERN

THAT THEY ARE INCAPACITATED. WE DON'T HAVE A

25

1	OBLIGATION TO INFORM THE COLLEGE. CERTAINLY THE
2	COLLEGE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW ABOUT IT. THE COLLEGE
3	WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF THERE ARE CONCERNS ABOUT
4	SOMEONE'S CAPACITY DUE TO PHYSICAL OR MENTAL
5	DIFFICULTIES, BUT CERTAINLY THERE'S NO OBLIGATION
6	ON MY PART OF YOUR PART AS A MEMBER TO DO THAT.
7	WE HAVE A COUPLE MORE. LOOKS LIKE WE
8	MIGHT HAVE TIME FOR THEM.
9	LET'S GO TO NUMBER 9.
LO	SCENARIO 9, ELDER ABUSE
11	REPORTING(READS).
L2	WHICH OF THOSE, WHEN YOU CONSULTED WITH
L3	YOUR CLIENT OR COLLEAGUES, THEY GAVE YOU SOME
L 4	CORRECT INFORMATION. WHICH IS THE INFORMATION YOU
15	MIGHT HAVE RECEIVED.
16	LET'S HAVE THE POLL. OKAY, JUST ABOUT
L7	READY FOR THE RESULTS. SO HERE ARE THE RESULTS.
18	NUMBER OF PEOPLE, QUARTER OF THE PEOPLE
L 9	WHO VOTED INDICATED THAT THERE IS A MANDATORY
20	REPORTING OBLIGATION, SO WE WOULD THEN REPORT TO
21	THE FORMERLY THE CCAC WHICH IS NOW THE LHS. THAT'S
22	INCORRECT. THERE'S NO MANDATORY REPORTING
23	OBLIGATION RELATED TO ELDER ABUSE NECESSARILY.
24	I KNOW IN SOME JURISDICTIONS,
2.5	CALIFORNIA IN PARTICULAR. THERE'S ELDER ABUSE

1	REPORTING LEGISLATION AND IT IS SIMILAR TO THE
2	CHILD ABUSE REPORTING LEGISLATION THAT WOULD SAY IF
3	ONE HAS REASONABLE GROUNDS TO BELIEVE OR SUSPECT
4	THAT ABUSE HAS OR MAY OCCUR, THERE'S AN OBLIGATION
5	TO REPORT. BUT THAT'S NOT THE CASE IN ONTARIO, WE
6	HAVE NO COMBINATION TO SPECIFICALLY REPORT ELDER
7	ABUSE.
8	HOWEVER, THERE IS A MANDATORY
9	OBLIGATION, AS SHOWN IN NUMBER TWO ON THE POLL,
10	WHICH IS CORRECT. NUMBER TWO IS CORRECT. THERE IS
11	A MANDATORY REPORTING OBLIGATION IF THE PERSON IS
12	LIVING IN A LONG-TERM CARE FACILITY OR RETIREMENT
13	HOME.
14	SO UNLESS THEY WERE IN THAT SITUATION,
15	YOU WOULD HAVE NO OBLIGATION TO TAKE ACTION. SO
16	THERE'S A MANDATORY OBLIGATION IN LONG-TERM CARES
17	OR RETIREMENT HOMES. IN THIS CASE, THE PERSON IS
18	IN THEIR OWN HOME AS OPPOSED TO THOSE FACILITIES,
19	THEREFORE YOU HAVE NO MANDATORY OBLIGATION TO TAKE
20	ACTION. THAT'S TRUE.
21	CERTAINLY, GIVEN YOUR CONCERN, YOU
22	COULD ONE OF THE THINGS YOU COULD DO IS
23	ENCOURAGE THE CLIENT TO INVOLVE HER LAWYER OR
24	CONTACT THE POLICE AND HAVE HER TAKE SOME ACTION ON
25	HER OWN WITH RESPECT TO THE SITUATION. THAT'S

1	CERTAINLY A POSSIBILITY. NUMBER THREE IS A
2	POSSIBILITY.
3	NUMBER FOUR IS NOT REALLY TRUE. YOUR
4	HANDS ARE NOT NECESSARILY TIED AND THE REASON FOR
5	THAT WILL BE SHOWN IN NUMBER SIX.
6	SO JUST BECAUSE THE CLIENT REFUSES TO
7	TAKE SOME ACTION THEN YOU ARE NOT IN A POSITION
8	WHERE THERE'S ABSOLUTELY NOTHING YOU CAN DO UNLESS
9	SHE CHANGES HER MIND. THAT'S INCORRECT.
LO	NUMBER FIVE IS ALSO INCORRECT. MOST OF
11	YOU KNEW THAT. WHILE THERE ARE SOME REPORTING
12	OBLIGATIONS RELATED TO MEDICAL CONDITIONS THAT
L3	MEMBERS OF THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIAN AND SURGEONS
L 4	HAVE, AND THEY HAVE TO MAKE SOME REPORTING
15	OBLIGATIONS TO THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND
16	SOME OTHER ONES TO THE MEDICAL OFFICER OF HEALTH,
L7	THESE DON'T EXTEND TO OTHER HEALTH PROFESSIONALS.
L8	THE SITUATION WE DESCRIBE HERE IN TERMS OF CONCERN
19	ABOUT A ELDERLY PATIENT IS NOT ONE OF THOSE, AND
20	THEREFORE THERE ISN'T NECESSARILY THERE ISN'T AN
21	OBLIGATION ON THE PHYSICIAN TO DO ANYTHING, SAME AS
22	THERE NO OBLIGATION ON US TO DO ANYTHING. SO WE
23	CAN'T JUST SIT BACK AND SAY, I'M GLAD I DON'T HAVE
24	TO WORRY ABOUT THIS BECAUSE A PHYSICIAN WILL HAVE
2.5	TO TAKE CARE OF IT.

1	NUMBER 6 IS CORRECT. NUMBER 6 THAT WE
2	DON'T HAVE A MANDATORY REPORTING OBLIGATION BECAUSE
3	THE PERSON SENT IN A REQUIREMENT HOME OR LONG-TERM
4	CARE FACILITY. SO WE DON'T HAVE A MANDATORY
5	OBLIGATION, BUT UNDER THE SECTION OF PHIPA RELATED
6	TO THE DISCLOSURE RELATED TO HARM, WE DO HAVE THE
7	PERMISSION NOT THE REQUIREMENT BUT THE
8	PERMISSION TO DISCLOSURE CONCERNS AND WE CAN DECIDE
9	WHO WOULD BE THE BEST TO DISCLOSURE CONCERNS TO.
10	THE SECTION OF PHIPA DOESN'T SAY WE MUST TELL THE
11	POLICE OR TELL ANYONE IN PARTICULAR. IT IS UP TO
12	US TO DECIDE SINCE OUR REASON TO DISCLOSE IS TO
13	RELEASE OR ELIMINATE THE RISK OF HARM, AND SINCE WE
14	RISK CONFIDENTIALITY, WE NEED TO THINK WHO WE CAN
15	DISCLOSE TO WHO IS IN THE BEST POSITION TO
16	ELIMINATE THAT RISK OF HARM.
17	SO NUMBER 6 WOULD BE CORRECT
18	INFORMATION YOU WOULD HAVE RECEIVED FROM YOUR
19	COLLEAGUES.
20	ALL RIGHT, LET'S MOVE ON TO THE LAST
21	ONE.
22	OKAY. WE'RE CALLING THIS ONE REPLACING
23	A LOST REPORT. (READS SCENARIO 10).
24	LET'S SEE THE POSSIBLE ANSWERS.
25	WOULD YOU(READS).

1	LET'S PUT UP THE POLL AND DECIDE ON
2	THIS LAST QUESTION WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO WITH THE
3	REQUEST FROM THE FATHER.
4	DID WE GET THE POLL? THAT WAS PRETTY
5	FAST. CAN YOU PLEASE PUT UP THE POLL?
6	ALL RIGHT. SO LET'S TAKE A FEW SECONDS
7	FOR EVERYBODY TO DECIDE WHICH ACTION THEY WOULD
8	TAKE WITH REGARDS TO THE REQUEST BY THE FATHER.
9	EVERYBODY HAD A CHANCE TO VOTE? LET'S
LO	SEE WHAT THE RESULTS LOOK LIKE. ALL RIGHT.
L1	OKAY THE CORRECT RESPONSE TO THIS ONE
12	IS NUMBER TWO. AND NUMBER TWO IS THE ONLY ONE THAT
L3	IS CORRECT. NOW THAT THE MOTHER IS THE CUSTODIAL
L 4	PARENT, I SPECIFICALLY TALKED ABOUT A CHILD WHO IS
L5	NINE SO THERE'S NO QUESTION WHETHER OR NOT THE
L 6	CHILD IS CAPABLE OF CONSENT, WHICH IS DIFFERENT
L7	FROM THE FIRST SCENARIO WE TALKED ABOUT A 15 YEAR
L8	OLD AND WHAT KIND OF CONSENT RIGHTS HE MIGHT HAVE.
L 9	IN THIS CASE, IT IS A CUSTODIAL PARENT
20	WHO HAS THE CONTROL OF THE FILE OF THIS 9 YEAR OLD
21	GIRL, REGARDLESS OF WHO MIGHT HAVE HAD CONTROL OF
22	THE FILE EARLIER.
23	CERTAINLY TWO YEARS AGO WHEN THE CHILD
24	WAS BROUGHT IN FOR THE ASSESSMENT, BOTH PARENTS
25	WERE INVOLVED AND COULD BE ARGUED THAT EITHER

1	PARENT COULD HAVE REQUESTED THE INFORMATION. BUT
2	NOW THAT THAT'S BEEN SETTLED BY THE COURTS AND THE
3	MOTHER IS THE CUSTODIAL PARENTS, IT IS HER CONSENT
4	THAT'S REQUIRED.
5	SO NUMBER ONE GETS RULED OUT. JUST
6	BECAUSE HE WAS INVOLVED AT THE TIME AND HAD SOME
7	AUTHORITY AT THAT POINT, THAT'S NOW GONE AS FAR AS
8	THE COURTS ARE CONCERNED.
9	IT MAY SEE APPEALING TO DECIDE WITH
10	NUMBER THREE THAT WE WILL IT'S JUST A
11	REPLACEMENT REPORT, SO MIGHT AS WELL GIVE IT TO
12	HIM, BUT THAT WOULD BE RELEASING INFORMATION TO HIM
13	AND THAT WOULD BE CONTRARY TO NUMBER TWO, WHICH IS
14	WE NEED THE CONSENT OF THE MOTHER.
15	THE CUSTODIAL PARENT IS THE ONE THAT
16	HAS THE RIGHT TO LOOKING AT NUMBER FOUR. THE
17	CUSTODIAL PARENT IS THE ONE WHO HAS THE RIGHT TO
18	CONSENT OR WITHOUT CONSENT OF RELEASE OF
19	INFORMATION AND NOT THE AND THE ACCESS PARENT
20	DOESN'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO REQUEST INFORMATION
21	DIRECTLY FROM US.
22	SO IN THIS CASE, THIS IS INCORRECT IN
23	TWO WAYS. ONE IS, JUST BECAUSE HE'S AN ACCESS
24	PARENT DOESN'T MEAN THAT HE CAN AUTHORIZE THE
25	RELEASE. AND ALSO, IF HE DID HAVE THE AUTHORITY,

1	IF HE WAS A CUSTODIAL PARENT AND DID HAVE THE
2	AUTHORITY, WE WOULDN'T NECESSARILY HAVE TO INSIST
3	THAT HE COME TO THE OFFICE AND SIGN THE APPROPRIATE
4	FORM. WE MAY WANT HIM TO SIGN A FORM, BUT THERE'S
5	NO OBLIGATION ON US TO HAVE ANY SPECIFIC FORM
6	SIGNED. CERTAINLY A VERBAL CONSENT OR CONSENT
7	RECEIVED IN SOME OTHER WAY IS ADEQUATE PROVIDED WE
8	ARE SATISFIED THAT IT IS INFORMED CONSENT.
9	AND NUMBER FIVE IS SIMILAR TO THE OTHER
10	ONES. IF WE'RE GOING TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION, WE
11	WOULD NEED CONSENT OF A CUSTODIAL PARENT, WHO IS
12	THE MOTHER IN THIS CASE, AND WHETHER WE'RE
13	PROVIDING THE INFORMATION VERBALLY, THAT IS
14	SPEAKING TO THE FATHER ABOUT THE REPORT AND THE
15	RECOMMENDATIONS OR WE ARE PROVIDING A COPY OF THAT
16	REPORT, THOSE ARE CONSIDERED THE SAME UNDER PHIPA.
17	THAT IS, THAT PROVIDING A COPY OF SOMETHING IN
18	WRITING OR DISCLOSING INFORMATION VERBALLY, THEY
19	ARE VIEWED IDENTICALLY AND WE HAVE TO HAVE PROPER
20	CONSENT TO DO THOSE.
21	OKAY. WELL NOT BAD FOR TIMING AND IT'S
22	NOW ALMOST 10:30. SO I SEE IN THE Q&A BOX WE HAVE
23	A FEW QUESTIONS. WE HAVE A BIT OF TIME. WE HAVE
24	ABOUT 10 MINUTES.
25	SO STEPHANIE, I'M GOING TO ASK

1	STEPHANIE MORTON, DIRECTOR OF CORPORATE SERVICES
2	WHO HAS BEEN WATCHING THE Q&A BOX TO ASK SOME OF
3	THE QUESTIONS THERE. LET'S SEE HOW FAR WE CAN GET
4	WITH THE QUESTIONS.
5	>> HI RICK. WE DO HAVE A FEW
6	QUESTIONS. SOME OF THESE HAVE BEEN ANSWERED, SOME
7	OF THEM HAVEN'T.
8	IF YOU HAVE ONE THAT HASN'T, YOU CAN
9	ALSO PUT THEM IN THERE AND WE WILL TRY TO GET TO
10	THEM NOW.
11	HERE'S A COUPLE OF THEM.
12	REGARDING OUT OF PROVINCE WORK, WHAT IS
13	CONSIDERED TEMPORARILY LOCATED? IS THERE A
14	TIMEFRAME? FOR EXAMPLE, SOME OF THE CLIENTS HAVE
15	COTTAGES IN QUEBEC. DOES THAT MEAN I'M UNABLE TO
16	PROVIDE SERVICES TO THEM WHILE THEIR IN THEIR
17	COTTAGE?
18	RICK MORRIS: UNFORTUNATELY, THAT'S
19	WHAT IT MEANS. THERE'S NO DEFINITION OR PARAMETER
20	AROUND TEMPORARY. IF THE PERSON IS NOT IN OUR
21	JURISDICTION OR IN A JURISDICTION IN WHICH YOU'RE
22	REGISTERED, THEN YOU CAN'T PROVIDE THEM WITH
23	SERVICES. YOU USED AN INTERESTING EXAMPLE OF
24	HAVING A COTTAGE IN QUEBEC. QUEBEC IS ONE OF THE
25	JURISDICTIONS THAT CURRENTLY SUGGESTS THAT THE

1	SERVICE IS BEING PROVIDED WHERE THE PRACTITIONER
2	IS. SO IN THIS CASE, IF YOU'RE IN OTTAWA AND YOUR
3	CLIENT IS AT A COTTAGE IN MOUNT TREMBLANT, MY
4	BELIEF IS YOU CAN CONTINUE TO PROVIDE THEM
5	SERVICES, BUT THAT'S UNUSUAL.
6	AND AT THE SAME TIME WHILE I'M SAYING
7	THAT YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT, I WOULD
8	WANT YOU TO CHECK WITH THE COLLEGE IN QUEBEC AND
9	MAKE SURE THAT THAT'S THAT YOU HAVE THEIR
LO	AUTHORITY TO DO THAT. I BELIEVE YOU WOULD, BUT YOU
11	HAVE TO CHECK WITH THEM.
12	BUT IF WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT QUEBEC,
13	BUT ANY OTHER JURISDICTION, IF THEY GO TO THEIR
L 4	COTTAGE IN SOME OTHER PROVINCE FOR A PERIOD OF
15	TIME, YOU WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO CONTINUE TO PROVIDE
16	SERVICES FOR THEM, UNLESS OF COURSE YOU CHECK WITH
L7	THE JURISDICTION AND THAT JURISDICTION SAID, YES
18	FOR SOME SHORT PERIOD OF TIME OR FOR SOME PERIOD OF
19	TIME THEY WOULD RECOGNIZE YOUR REGISTRATION IN
20	ONTARIO AND PERMIT YOU TO DO SO.
21	>> I HAVE ANOTHER ONE REGARDING
22	DISPOSITION OF RECORDS.
23	IS IT OKAY TO KEEP REPORTS FOR CLIENTS
24	FOR WHOM YOU HAVE SHREDDED THEIR FILE AS THEY HAVE
25	REACHED THE REQUIREMENT TO MAINTAIN THAT FILE?

THIS WAY SOMEONE CAN AT LEAST ALWAYS ACCESS THEIR

1

2	ASSESSMENT REPORT.
3	RICK MORRIS: SURE. THERE'S NO REASON
4	WHY YOU CAN'T DO THAT.
5	GENERALLY, YOU HAVE TO KEEP IT, YOU
6	KNOW, IT IS IMPORTANT TO KEEP EVERYTHING UNTIL THE
7	END OF THE RETENTION PERIOD, BUT IF YOU HAVE A
8	BELIEF THAT IT MAY BE IMPORTANT TO HAVE YOUR FILE
9	FOR HAVE A COPY OF THE REPORT AVAILABLE TO THE
10	CLIENT 15 YEARS AS AN ADULT CLIENT 15 YEARS
11	AFTER YOU LAST SAW THEM AS OPPOSED TO IT BEING
12	SHREDDED AT THE 10 YEAR MARK, THAT'S FINE. THAT'S
13	REALLY YOUR DISCRETION. THERE'S NO REASON WHY YOU
14	COULDN'T.
15	MY SUGGESTION IS, THOUGH, THAT YOU BE
16	CONSISTENT IN WHAT YOU'RE DOING. IF YOU DECIDE, AS
17	IN MY EXAMPLE, THAT YOU'RE GOING TO DO FOR ALL
18	SEXUAL ABUSE CASES, THAT'S FINE. BUT IF YOU'RE
19	GOING TO DO IT FOR OTHER REASONS JUST TO HAVE THE
20	FILE JUST IN CASE OR THE REPORTS JUST IN CASE, I
21	THINK IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU DO THAT CONSISTENTLY
22	FOR YOUR CLIENTS, AS OPPOSED TO SOME CLIENTS YOU
23	HAVE THE REPORT AND THE REST OF THE FILE IS GONE
24	AND WITH OTHER CLIENTS, EVERYTHING IS GONE. I
25	RECOMMEND CONSISTENCY.

1	>> OKAY. IF WE DEEM THAT A CLIENT
2	THIS IS REGARDING ASSESSMENTS AND/OR REQUESTING
3	ANOTHER ASSESSMENT.
4	IF WE DEEM THAT THE CLIENT WAS ENGAGED
5	IN INSURANCE FRAUD BY SEEKING ANOTHER ASSESSMENT
6	WITH THE SOLE PURPOSE OF NOT PROVIDING AN ACCURATE
7	HISTORICAL INFORMATION, DO WE HAVE A DUTY TO REPORT
8	HIM TO ANYBODY?
9	RICK MORRIS: I'M GOING TO FIRSTLY
10	ANSWER THIS ONE BY SAYING, THIS, ALONG WITH SOME OF
11	THE OTHER QUESTIONS THAT WE DISCUSSED, I WOULD
12	SUGGEST THAT YOU MIGHT WANT TO GET LEGAL ADVICE
13	BEFORE YOU TAKE SOME ACTION.
14	BUT I DON'T KNOW OF MY DUTY WE HAVE TO
15	REPORT ANY KIND OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITY, WHETHER IT'S
16	INSURANCE FRAUD OR ANYTHING ELSE. CERTAINLY AN
17	INSURER WOULD WANT TO KNOW ABOUT IT IF YOU'RE
18	CONCERNED ABOUT INSURANCE FRAUD, WHICH WAS THE
19	EXAMPLE YOU GAVE.
20	BUT I WOULD SUGGEST THAT BEFORE
21	REPORTING THAT, YOU GET LEGAL ADVICE BECAUSE YOU
22	OBVIOUSLY WOULDN'T HAVE A CLIENT'S CONSENT AND A
23	CASE COULD BE MADE THAT YOU'RE BREACHING
24	CONFIDENTIALITY BY APPROACHING AN INSURER WHEN I
25	DON'T KNOW ANYTHING IN THE LEGISLATION THAT SAYS

1	YOU WOULD BE PROTECTED, AS YOU WOULD BE PROTECTED
2	WITH CHILD ABUSE REPORTING, YOU ARE PROTECTED WHEN
3	YOU RELEASE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.
4	IN THIS CASE, I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING
5	THAT COMES TO THE INSURER THAT WOULD PROTECT YOU IN
6	THAT WAY. I WOULD SUGGEST YOU GET LEGAL ADVICE
7	BEFORE YOU TAKE ANY ACTION IN THAT REGARD.
8	>> NEXT QUESTION. IF A MEMBER IS
9	RETIRED OR RESIGNED AND RECEIVES A SUBPOENA TO GO
10	TO COURT TO TESTIFY, IS IT MANDATORY TO ATTEND OR
11	CAN THEY WRITE A LETTER THAT THEY ARE NO LONGER IN
12	PRACTICE AND NOT ATTEND?
13	RICK MORRIS: ONE IS OBLIGATED TO
14	ATTEND IF YOU RECEIVE A SUMMONS. HAVING SAID THAT,
15	YOU COULD WRITE A LETTER TO THE LAWYER WHO GAVE THE
16	SUMMONS EXPLAINING YOUR PARTICULAR SITUATION AND
17	SEE WHETHER THE LAWYER WOULD BE WILLING TO HAVE THE
18	SUMMONS QUASHED. IN THAT CASE YOU WOULDN'T HAVE TO
19	REPORT TO COURT.
20	BUT IF THE LAWYER WAS NOT WILLING TO
21	ACCEPT YOUR REASONING, YES, YOU WOULD HAVE TO
22	ATTEND AT COURT. YOU WOULD THEN BE IN THE POSITION
23	WHERE RETIRED OR NOT, YOU COULD TESTIFY ABOUT THE
24	WORK THAT YOU HAD DONE WHILE YOU WERE A MEMBER OF
25	THE COLLEGE.

Τ	IF AS A RESULT OF THE COURT CASE IT WAS
2	SUGGESTED THAT YOUR INFORMATION IS TWO YEARS OLD
3	AND THERE'S A REQUEST MADE BY THE LAWYERS OF THE
4	COURTS FOR YOU TO DO A REASSESSMENT TO UPDATE THE
5	INFORMATION, THAT IS NOT SOMETHING YOU COULD DO AS
6	A RETIRED MEMBER. IF YOU'RE GOING TO UNDERTAKE ANY
7	NEW WORK, YOU WOULD HAVE TO BE REGULAR STATUS
8	MEMBER OF THE COLLEGE.
9	BUT TO REPORT ON WORK THAT YOU HAD DONE
10	PRIOR TO RETIREMENT, THAT WOULD BE A REASONABLE
11	EXPECTATION.
12	>> IN THE FINAL SCENARIO, DOES THE
13	MOTHER HAVE TO PROVIDE WRITTEN CONSENT TO YOU AND
14	DO YOU HAVE TO CONTACT HER TO OBTAIN IT.
15	RICK MORRIS: IT IS UP TO YOU WHETHER
16	YOU WANT TO RECEIVE WRITTEN CONSENT. THAT WOULD
17	JUST BE YOUR DOCUMENTATION.
18	IF YOU IF THE MOTHER PHONES YOU AND
19	YOU WERE CONFIDENT IT WAS THE MOTHER WHO WAS
20	PHONING YOU, THEN YOU CAN CERTAINLY RELY ON THE
21	ORAL CONSENT. WE DO WANT TO DOCUMENT THAT, BUT YOU
22	CAN RELY ON ORAL CONSENT.
23	IN THE SCENARIO AS PRESENTED, YOU DON'T
24	HAVE THE OBLIGATION TO CONTACT THE MOTHER. YOU
25	COULD DO THAT IF YOU WANTED TO, BUT IT WOULD REALLY

1	BE UP TO THE FATHER TO PROVIDE YOU WITH THE PROPER
2	CONSENT THAT YOU NEED IN ORDER TO RELEASE THE
3	INFORMATION.
4	SO IN THIS CASE, THE ONUS WOULD BE ON
5	THE FATHER TO GET THE MOTHER'S CONSENT, OR HAVE THE
6	MOTHER'S CONSENT PROVIDED TO YOU.
7	YOU DON'T HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO TAKE
8	THAT ON IF YOU DON'T WISH TO.
9	AS I SAY, IF YOU DECIDE TO TAKE IT ON
LO	AND YOU CALL THE MOTHER, THAT'S FINE. OR IF THE
11	FATHER TAKES IT ON AND YOU RECEIVE A PHONE CALL
L2	FROM THE MOTHER AS OPPOSED TO SOMETHING WRITTEN,
L3	YOU CAN ACCEPT THAT AS WELL.
L 4	WE HAVE A COUPLE MORE MINUTES.
L5	>> ONE MORE ON THAT SCENARIO. WHAT'S
L 6	THE LIMITS OF WHAT YOU CAN DISCUSS WITH THE FATHER
L7	REGARDING THE CHILD?
L8	RICK MORRIS: MY BELIEF IS THE LIMITS
L9	WOULD BE VERY, VERY SMALL. THE FATHER'S ACCESS IN
20	THIS SITUATION WOULD BE LIMITED TO I THINK PRETTY
21	WELL NOT BE ALLOWED TO SHARE ANY INFORMATION WITH
22	THE FATHER. THE FATHER IS NOT THE CUSTODIAL
23	PARENT. THE FATHER DOESN'T HAVE THE CONSENT TO
24	ACCESS INFORMATION FROM YOU OR TURNING THAT AROUND,
25	YOU DO NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ANY

1	INFORMATION TO THE FATHER. SO I'D BE VERY HESITANT
2	TO GET INTO WELL, ONLY PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT OF
3	INFORMATION AS OPPOSED TO A LOT OF INFORMATION. I
4	WOULD SAY NO INFORMATION.
5	ONCE AGAIN SPEAK TO A LAWYER OR A
6	CONCRETE ANSWER, BUT I WOULD EXPECT THAT'S WHAT YOU
7	WOULD HEAR FROM A LAWYER.
8	WE HAVE ONE MINUTE SO ONE MORE,
9	STEPHANIE?
10	>> SO THIS IS REGARDING RETENTION.
11	WHAT'S THE RETENTION PERIOD FOR ADULT CLIENTS?
12	RICK MORRIS: OH, THE RETENTION PERIOD
13	FOR ADULT CLIENTS IS 10 YEARS PAST THE DATE OF LAST
14	CONTACT. SO IF THE CLIENT IS OVER 18, IN THIS CASE
15	WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CLIENTS WHO ARE OVER 18, AND
16	THE RETENTION PERIOD IS 10 YEARS AFTER THE DATE OF
17	LAST CONTACT AND WHILE WE'RE ON THE SUBJECT, FOR
18	CHILDREN, IT IS 10 YEARS PAST THE DATE WHEN THE
19	CHILD WOULD HAVE TURNED 18. SO BASICALLY UNTIL THE
20	CHILD IS A 28 YEAR OLD.
21	OKAY, WELL IT IS NOW 10:40, AND
22	ACCORDING TO OUR SCHEDULE, IT IS NOW TIME FOR A
23	BREAK.
24	SO WE ARE GOING TO TAKE A 10 MINUTE
25	BREAK AND WE WILL RETURN AT 10:50 AT WHICH POINT WE

1	WILL CONTINUE WITH BARRY'S PRESENTATION.
2	SEE YOU IN 10 MINUTES.
3	(BREAK).
4	BARRY GANG: WELCOME BACK, EVERYBODY.
5	I HOPE YOU ARE ALL COMFORTABLE AND HAVE SOMETHING
6	WARM. AND WE'RE NOW GOING TO TALK ABOUT NAVIGATING
7	SOME OF THE ETHICAL ISSUES YOU MAY FIND. NEXT
8	SLIDE PLEASE.
9	THANKS, SO THE PRACTICE ADVISORY
LO	SERVICE HAS BEEN VERY BUSY OVER THE LAST YEAR,
11	2021. WE GOT ALMOST 2,000 QUERIES AND WHAT YOU SEE
L2	ON THE SCREEN ARE THE DOZEN MOST, I GUESS YOU WOULD
13	CALL POPULAR QUERIES COMING FROM OUR MEMBERS. AT
L 4	THE TOP IT WAS MEMBERS WISHES TO PROVIDE SERVICES
15	OUTSIDE OF ONTARIO. RICK SPOKE ABOUT THAT.
16	CONFIDENTIALITY, RICK SPOKE ABOUT THAT.
L7	AND YOU CAN SEE THE LIST THERE,
L8	SUPERVISION RECORDS, FEES AND BILLS, ET CETERA, ET
L 9	CETERA. IF YOU WANT TO TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT THOSE
20	WERE LIKE IN MORE DETAIL, YOU CAN TAKE A LOOK AT
21	THE SLIDES LATER OR MAYBE IT WILL BE PUBLISHED
22	SOON.
23	WHAT WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT ARE SOME
24	OF THE OR AT LEAST ONE OF THE KINDS OF QUERIES
25	WHERE PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT COMES INTO PLAY, WHERE

Τ	THE RULES DON'T TAKE YOU DIRECTLY TO THE ANSWER.
2	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
3	SO WE RECENTLY DEVELOPED A NEW RESOURCE
4	FOR MEMBERS TO TRY TO MAKE IT AS EASY AS POSSIBLE
5	FOR THEM TO FIND THE KINDS OF ANSWERS THAT THEY
6	NEED WITH THE FEWEST CLICKS POSSIBLE.
7	IT IS UPDATED REGULARLY, AND INCLUDED
8	AT THE TOP OF IT AS A REMINDER IS THE ADDRESS FOR
9	THE PRACTICE ADVISORY SERVICE. QUITE OFTEN WE CAN
LO	HELP BY JUST SIMPLY POINTING PEOPLE TO THE
L1	STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS AND SO ON WHICH WILL TAKE
L2	THEM EASILY TO THE ANSWER BUT SOMETIMES THE RULES
L3	DON'T TAKE PEOPLE RIGHT TO THE FINISH LINE.
L 4	AND WE HAVE TO SAY TO THEM THAT, YOU
L 5	KNOW THIS IS AS FAR AS WE CAN TAKE YOU. THE REST
L 6	OF THE ANSWER WILL DEFEND ON YOUR PROFESSIONAL
L 7	JUDGMENT. IT IS NOT SOMETHING EVERYONE WANTS TO
L 8	HEAR BECAUSE IT IS DIFFICULT AND WE ALL SECOND
L 9	GUESS EACH OTHER PARDON ME, WELL, WE DO THAT
20	TOO, BUT WE SECOND GUESS OURSELVES. BUT ULTIMATELY
21	THE RESPONSIBILITY DOES FALL ON MEMBERS TO APPLY,
22	YOU KNOW, THE SPECIFIC CIRCUMSTANCES AND SO ON, AND
23	MAKE A JUDGMENT.
24	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
25	WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT ONE SITUATION

TODAY. IT IS A COMPOSITE QUERY. WHAT I'VE TRIED
TO DO IS PUT TOGETHER SOME FACTS OR FEATURES OF
SOME OF THE QUERIES WE HAVE GOTTEN RELATED TO DUAL
RELATIONSHIPS. IT IS A COMPOSITE CASE. I HAVE
MADE IT FAIRLY CHALLENGING. IT IS THE KIND OF
THING THAT MOST OF US WON'T GET VERY OFTEN IN OUR
CAREERS. MAYBE IF YOU'RE LUCKY, YOU MAY NEVER GET
SOMETHING LIKE THIS IN YOUR CAREER. IT IS A TOUGH
ONE. THERE'S NO EASY ANSWER. THERE MAY NOT EVEN
BE ANY ONE ANSWER THAT YOU LIKE, AND IT MAY BE ONE
OF THOSE RARE SCENARIOS IN WHICH YOU NEED TO THINK
ABOUT THE LEAST BAD CHOICE TO MAKE.
THERE'S SOME CRITICAL INFORMATION THAT

THERE'S SOME CRITICAL INFORMATION THAT

I WANT EVERYBODY TO BE AWARE OF, IS THAT THERE'S NO

ABSOLUTE PROHIBITION ON HAVING A DUAL RELATIONSHIP.

IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE VERY STRONGLY ADVISE AGAINST

FOR REASONS WE WILL TALK ABOUT SOON, BUT THERE'S NO

ABSOLUTE PROHIBITION. AS I SAID, THIS IS A TOUGH

ONE. WE RARELY HEAR FROM ANYBODY WHEN THINGS ARE

GOING WELL. WE USUALLY HEAR BECAUSE THERE'S A

PROBLEM AND SOMETIMES A DIFFICULT PROBLEM THAT

MAKES PEOPLE ANXIOUS.

SO HERE WE ARE. YOU'RE WORKING WITH TWO ADULT SIBLINGS, YOU DON'T KNOW THAT BECAUSE THEY HAVE DIFFERENT LAST NAMES, AND THERE WAS NO

1	REASON FOR YOU TO THINK THEY WERE RELATED. FOR
2	ARGUMENT SAKE IN THIS CASE, ONE IS SUFFERING FROM A
3	MOOD DISORDER AND THEY SOUGHT THERAPY IN THE
4	CONTEXT OF A WORKPLACE PROBLEM THEY ARE HAVING.
5	THE OTHER ONE WAS REFERRED TO YOU FOR
6	SUPPORT DURING A MARITAL SEPARATION. POSSIBLE THEY
7	MIGHT HAVE BORDERLINE PERSONALITY DISORDER.
8	THERE'S NO REASON TO LEAVE THAT EITHER KNOWS YOU
9	ARE TREATING THE OTHER. YOU'RE JUST CERTAIN OF IT
10	BECAUSE BOTH CLIENT HAD A PARENT THAT RECENTLY DIED
11	IN REMARKABLY SIMILAR CIRCUMSTANCES AT THE SAME
12	TIME AND YOU CHECKED THE OBITUARY AND IT WAS
13	CRYSTAL CLEAR THEY WERE SIBLINGS. THEY BOTH
14	STARTED TO TALK ABOUT THE ESTATE, MAKING
15	ACCUSATIONS OF STEALING AND ASPERSIONS ABOUT THE
16	OTHER'S CHARACTER AND MENTAL HEALTH. SO FAR
17	THERE'S A POSITIVE THERAPEUTIC ALLIANCE WITH BOTH
18	OF THEM, BUT YOU'RE GETTING UNCOMFORTABLE.
19	NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE.
20	SOMETHING THAT COMES WITH OUR ADVICE IS
21	A BIT OF A DISCLAIMER. IT IS IMPORTANT TO KNOW
22	THAT THE INFORMATION WE'RE PROVIDING IS NEVER AN
23	APPROPRIATE SUBSTITUTE FOR ADVICE BY A QUALIFIED
24	LEGAL PROFESSIONAL. WE AREN'T AUTHORIZED OR
25	QUALIFIED TO INTERPRET THE LAW. AND IF IT COMES

Τ	DOWN TO WHAT A LAW MEANS, WE WILL USUALLY TELL YOU
2	TO GET SOME INDEPENDENT LEGAL ADVICE.
3	THE OTHER THING THAT'S IMPORTANT IS THE
4	INFORMATION IS PROVIDED IN RESPONSE TO A SPECIFIC
5	INQUIRY. WE SOMETIMES HEAR FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE
6	SHARING THE ADVICE WE GIVE THEM AND IT MIGHT NOT BE
7	APPLICABLE IN OTHER PEOPLE'S CIRCUMSTANCES. THAT'S
8	REALLY IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER.
9	AND IT'S REALLY MADE TO SUPPORT YOU IN
10	EXERCISING YOUR OWN PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT. THERE
11	MIGHT BE ARGUMENTS FOR DIFFERENT RESOLUTIONS AND
12	THE VALUES IN ENSURING THAT YOU PARSED OUT WHAT YOU
13	BELIEVE IS THE BEST OPTION FOR THAT PARTICULAR
14	CLIENT. SO NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
15	I'M GIVING YOU SOME INFORMATION FROM A
16	BOOK THAT I READ NOT TOO LONG AGO BY DANIEL
17	KAHNEMAN, WHO IS A BEHAVIOURAL ECONOMIST. HE USED
18	A PHRASE I REALLY LIKED, IN MY CONTINUOUS QUEST TO
19	DEFINE PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT.
20	HE SAID THAT JUDGMENT ALLOWS FOR THE
21	POSSIBILITY THAT REASONABLE AND COMPETENT PEOPLE
22	MIGHT DISAGREE. AND HE CALLED IT BOUNDED
23	DISAGREEMENT, WHICH I THOUGHT WAS A GREAT TERM.
24	REALLY WHAT WE DO IS HELP YOU DELINEATE
25	THOSE BINDS WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT, YOU KNOW,

1	YOU MAY NOT ALL AGREE WITH EACH OTHER. YOU MAY NOT
2	EVEN AGREE WITH US. THAT'S FINE AS LONG AS YOU CAN
3	MAKE A GOOD ARGUMENT FOR THE OPTION YOU WOULD LIKE
4	TO TAKE.
5	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
6	SO SOMETIMES WHEN PEOPLE CONSULT THE
7	STANDARDS, THEY MISS SOME OF THE GENERAL
8	OVERARCHING THINGS, AND WHAT'S AT THE VERY
9	BEGINNING OF THE STANDARDS IS A HIERARCHY OF RULES,
10	AND IT'S NOT THAT THESE THINGS NECESSARILY OFTEN
11	CONFLICT WITH EACH OTHER, BUT WHEN YOU'RE LOOKING
12	AT THE RULES, THIS IS THE ORDER, LEGISLATION,
13	REGULATIONS UNDER THE LEGISLATION, THE STANDARDS,
14	THE CANADIAN PSYCHOLOGICAL CANADIAN CODE OF ETHICS
15	FOR PSYCHOLOGISTS AND THEN OTHER ETHICAL
16	GUIDELINES.
17	AND I THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO
18	REFRESH EVERYBODY'S MEMORY ABOUT THE CANADIAN CODE
19	OF ETHICS FOR PSYCHOLOGISTS, THE RELATIVELY NEW
20	EDITION SAYS IN THE PREAMBLE BECAUSE I THINK IT IS
21	HELPFUL.
22	THEY SAY SOME ETHICAL ISSUES AREN'T
23	EASILY RESOLVED. THEY CAN BE EMOTIONAL DISTRESSFUL
24	AND REQUIRE TIME CONSUMING DELIBERATION. THEY TALK
25	ABOUT A HIERARCHY THEY PUT FORWARD IN TERMS OF THE

1	PRINCIPLES WHICH YOU CAN SEE THERE AND CONSULT
2	LATER. AND, YOU KNOW, WITHIN THIS PREAMBLE, THEY
3	TALK ABOUT THE FACT THAT IN SOME SITUATIONS THAT
4	ARE VERY COMPLEX, YOU NEED TO CONSIDER OTHER
5	FACTORS AND ENGAGE A CREATIVE, SELF-REFLECTIVE AND
6	DELIBERATIVE PROCESS THAT INCLUDES A CONSIDERATION
7	OF MANY OTHER FACTORS.
8	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
9	WHAT I HAVE PUT TOGETHER IS ANOTHER
10	FAIRLY SIMPLE COMMON SENSE APPROACH, AND, YOU KNOW,
11	GOING BACK TO FIRST PRINCIPLES, IT IS IMPORTANT TO
12	AIM FOR WHAT PRESENTS THE LOWEST RISK OF HARM FOR
13	THE CLIENT AND THEN THINK ABOUT WHAT'S GOING TO
14	GIVE THE CLIENT MAXIMUM BENEFIT. AND THEN TO AVOID
15	BREACHES OF STANDARDS STATUTES AND STANDARDS.
16	IT'S SOMETIMES HELPFUL ALSO TO CONSIDER
17	WHAT YOU'D SAY IF THERE WAS A COMPLAINT, AND IT CAN
18	BE HELPFUL TO KNOW THAT YOU HAVE A GOOD EXPLANATION
19	FOR WHAT YOU ULTIMATELY DECIDE TO DO.
20	THIS IS SORT OF A GENERAL FORMULA FOR
21	HOW THE ICRC, THE INQUIRIES COMPLAINTS AND REPORTS
22	COMMITTEE, THE COMPLAINTS COMMITTEE, OR A CIVIL
23	COURT MIGHT CONSIDER. IS THIS SOMETHING THAT A
24	REASONABLE PROFESSIONAL, NOT THE PERFECT ONE,

HAVING THE SAME INFORMATION AS YOU DID, WOULD HAVE

25

1	DONE UNDER THE SAME CIRCUMSTANCES?
2	SO THOSE ARE ALL THOSE WOULD TELL
3	YOU THERE'S LOTS OF MOVING PIECES TO THE KINDS OF
4	DECISIONS YOU WOULD MAKE. SO REASONABILITY MEANS
5	GENERALLY IS THERE A COHERENT LOGIC THAT WOULD TAKE
6	YOU FROM THE PROBLEM TO THE SOLUTION.
7	AND SOMETIMES AND I FIND THIS MYSELF
8	WHEN I'M ANSWERING QUERIES THAT IT HELPS TO
9	WRITE IT OUT. YOU MIGHT FIND FROM REPORT WRITING
LO	THAT SOMETIMES YOU GET A DIFFERENT ANSWER WRITING
11	IT OUT THAN YOU WOULD SIMPLY THINKING ABOUT IT.
L2	MAKE SURE YOU'RE TAKING ALL THE
L3	RELEVANT INFORMATION YOU HAVE CURRENTLY UNDER
L 4	CONSIDERATION, AND THINK ABOUT THE CIRCUMSTANCES
15	BECAUSE THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE REALLY IMPORTANT.
L 6	IT'S GOING TO MATTER WHETHER YOU'RE IN A SMALL
L7	RURAL COMMUNITY WHERE YOU'RE THE ONLY ONE WITHIN
18	HUNDREDS OF MILES WITH A CERTAIN COMPETENCE TO DO
L 9	SOMETHING OTHER PEOPLE MIGHT NOT BE ABLE TO DO.
20	VERSUS A METROPOLIS WHERE THERE MIGHT BE HUNDREDS
21	OF PEOPLE WHO CAN PROVIDE THE SAME SERVICE.
22	IS IT A NEW CLIENT? IS IT SOMEBODY YOU
23	HAVE BEEN SEEING FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS? IS THIS A
24	RESILIENT CLIENT OR SOMEBODY WHO IS VULNERABLE
25	EITHER GENERALLY OR IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES?

1	THOSE ARE SOME THINGS YOU WANT TO
2	CONSIDER.
3	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
4	IN CONSIDERING A PARTICULAR CASE, AND
5	IN THIS CASE WE'RE GOING TO USE THESE
6	CONSIDERATIONS, IT'S A GOOD IDEA TO FRAME THE
7	CONSIDERATIONS. AND THERE'S NOTHING FORMAL OR
8	SCIENTIFIC ABOUT FRAMING THE CONSIDERATIONS. ANY
9	OF YOU MAY FRAME THE CONSIDERATIONS DIFFERENTLY.
LO	THAT'S FINE. THIS IS JUST A MODEL TO HELP WITH.
11	YOU MAY FRAME IT DIFFERENTLY BASED ON YOUR OWN
12	THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES OR YOUR OWN MODELS, AND
L3	THEY MAY BE NO LESS LEGITIMATE THAN THE ONES I'M
L 4	GOING TO PRESENT.
15	THE FIRST ONE I THOUGHT ABOUT IS
16	OBJECTIVITY AND THE FACT THAT INFORMATION OBTAINED
L7	FROM ONE OF THE CLIENTS ABOUT THE OTHER REGARDLESS
L8	OF WHETHER IT WAS GOOD INFORMATION OR NOT COULD
L 9	AFFECT YOUR OBJECTIVITY.
20	EVEN THE PERCEPTION OF IT, THE
21	PERCEPTION OF A LACK OF OBJECTIVITY ON YOUR PART
22	COULD BE AS DAMAGING AS THE ACTUAL LACK OF
23	OBJECTIVITY. IN AN ADVERSARIAL SITUATION, COULD
24	YOUR OBJECTIVITY BE CHALLENGED? IF THAT HAPPENED,
25	EVEN IF YOU HAD BEEN PERFECTLY OBJECTIVE WHICH

1	IS ALWAYS A QUESTION IN ANY CASE COULD ANY
2	PERCEPTION OF LACK OF OBJECTIVITY ACTUALLY BE
3	ADVERSE TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENT?
4	SO IN LOOKING AT THIS ONE NEXT SLIDE
5	PLEASE IN LOOKING AT THE ISSUE OF OBJECTIVITY,
6	IT'S KIND OF HARD TO FIND SORT OF A HARD AND FAST
7	LAW THAT APPLIES TO IT OR THE REGULATIONS THAT COME
8	INTO PLAY BUT THE STANDARDS ARE IMPORTANT TO LOOK
9	AT. SO WHAT COMES TO FIND IS BIAS AND THE LACK OF
10	OBJECTIVITY. WE TALKED A BIT ABOUT THIS.
11	WHEN WE TALK ABOUT BIAS, THERE'S LOTS
12	OF DEFINITIONS AND NO SORT OF FORMAL TERM FOR YOU
13	TO RELY ON. BUT IN THE ABSENCE OF AN OFFICIAL
14	DEFINITION, THERE ARE GOOD DICTIONARY DEFINITIONS
15	THAT ARE LARGELY SIMILAR, BUT WHAT SEEMED RIGHT TO
16	ME WAS IF YOU'RE APPLYING A DISPROPORTIONATE WEIGHT
17	IN FAVOUR OF OR AGAINST AN IDEA OR THING, IN A WAY
18	THAT MIGHT BE SEEN AS CLOSED MINDED, PREJUDICIAL OR
19	UNFAIR.
20	SOME PEOPLE WHEN THEY CALL US CONFUSE
21	IT WITH A CONFLICT OF INTEREST, THAT'S DIFFERENT.
22	BUT IN THIS SCENARIO THERE'S NO OBVIOUS CONFLICT OF
23	INTEREST. CONFLICT OF INTEREST IS WHEN THERE'S A
24	DIRECT OR INDIRECT BENEFIT ATTACHED TO A DECISION
25	BY THE DECISION MAKER.

1	IN THIS CASE, WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT A
2	DECISION BASED ON AN EXPECTATION OF PERSONAL
3	BENEFIT, JUST UNFAIRNESS IS WHAT WE'RE TALKING
4	ABOUT.
5	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
6	THE CPA CODE OF ETHICS SAYS SOME REALLY
7	GOOD THINGS ABOUT THIS. THEY TALK ABOUT AVOIDING
8	DUAL RELATIONSHIPS THAT AREN'T JUSTIFIED. WE'RE
9	TALKING ABOUT MANAGING DUAL RELATIONSHIPS WHEN YOU
10	CAN'T AVOID THEM.
11	AND ALSO REMINDING PEOPLE THAT IT IS A
12	GOOD IDEA TO SEEK CONSULTATION WHEN HAVING A HARD
13	DECISION ABOUT WHAT TO DO.
14	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
15	THE NEXT CONSIDERATION THAT I WOULD
16	TAKE INTO ACCOUNT, SOME OF YOU MAY FIND OTHER ONES,
17	IS CONFIDENTIALITY.
18	YOU HAVE TWO INDIVIDUALS GIVING YOU
19	INFORMATION WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT IT WILL BE
20	KEPT PRIVATE, BUT, YOU KNOW, IF YOU'RE GETTING
21	INFORMATION, YOU COULD INADVERTENTLY DISCLOSE IT.
22	BUT EVEN IF YOU DIDN'T DISCLOSE IT, IT
23	MIGHT FIND ITS WAY INTO YOUR DECISION MAKING. EVEN
24	IF YOU CAN ACTUALLY KEEP THE INFORMATION FROM THE
25	OTHER CLIENT, YOU CAN'T REALLY ERASE IT FROM YOUR

1	MEMORY WHEN YOU'RE MAKING CLINICAL DECISIONS.
2	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
3	SO WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT
4	CONFIDENTIALITY, THERE ARE ACTUALLY SOME ABSOLUTES
5	IN LAW. MOST OF YOU WILL BE WORKING UNDER THE
6	PERSONAL HEALTH INFORMATION PROTECTION ACT, PHIPA.
7	ALTHOUGH IN SOME CASES, SOME OF YOU MAY WORK UNDER
8	DIFFERENT LEGISLATION, AND IF YOU ARE, YOU WILL
9	ALREADY KNOW THAT. BUT, YOU KNOW, THE PROCESS FOR
10	THINKING THIS THROUGH MIGHT BE A LITTLE BIT
11	DIFFERENT.
12	THE IMPORTANT THING ABOUT PHIPA IS TO
13	REMEMBER AND NOT EVERYBODY ALWAYS DOES THAT
14	YOU NEED CONSENT TO NOT ONLY DISCLOSE PERSONAL
15	INFORMATION, BUT ALSO TO COLLECT AND USE IT.
16	THAT'S SOMETHING THAT DOES COME INTO PLAY IN THIS
17	KIND OF A SCENARIO.
18	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
19	THE STANDARDS ALSO SPEAK TO THIS. AND
20	THEY PRETTY MUCH MIMIC WHAT PHIPA SAYS, ALTHOUGH
21	THEY DO HAVE A PURPOSE BECAUSE THEY MAY BROADEN THE
22	RULES AS YOU MAY THINK OF THEM, IF THE MEMBER'S
23	WORKING UNDER SOME OTHER LEGISLATION THAN PHIPA,
24	THAT ISN'T AS SPECIFIC AS PHIPA ABOUT THIS.
25	THE NEXT CASE CONSIDERATION THAT I

1	MIGHT USE IS THE CLINICAL. IT'S THE PLACE WHERE
2	JUDGMENT IS MOST APPARENT. SIGH CREDITS ALMOST
3	ALWAYS HAVE A WAY OF BEING UNCOVERED, AND THEY CAN
4	AFFECT YOUR CLIENT'S TRUST IN YOUR INTEGRITY AND IN
5	YOUR PROFESSIONAL COMMITMENT TO THEM.
6	AND YOU KNOW, THAT'S THE KIND OF THING
7	THAT MAY HAPPEN IF ULTIMATELY THIS SECRET ABOUT
8	INFORMATION YOU HAVE FROM THE OTHER CLIENT BECOMES
9	UNCOVERED.
10	IT MAY ACTUALLY HAVE QUITE A BIG IMPACT
11	IN THAT THEY MAY NOT TRUST YOU ANYMORE OR EVEN WANT
12	TO ENGAGE WITH ANOTHER NEEDED MENTAL HEALTH
13	CLINICIAN.
14	IN SOME CASES, IT MIGHT PROVIDE MISSED
15	OPPORTUNITIES TO PROTECT DEMONSTRATE APPROPRIATE
16	BOUNDARIES IF THAT'S AN ISSUE THAT'S RELEVANT TO
17	YOUR SERVICE.
18	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
19	THERE ARE SOME OPTIONS, AND YOU MIGHT
20	GENERATE DIFFERENT OPTIONS, AND THAT'S FINE
21	DEPENDING ON YOUR OWN PROCESS. BUT THIS IS WHAT
22	I'M THINKING OF USING. WHAT IMMEDIATELY CAME TO ME
23	WERE, ACT AS IF YOU DID NOT KNOW. BURRY YOUR HEAD
24	IN THE SAND AND PROCEED.
25	YOU CAN CONSIDER TELLING BOTH CLIENTS

1	AND PROMISING SECRECY. YOU COULD TELL BOTH CLIENTS
2	BUT DOING SO AFTER SEEKING PERMISSION TO COLLECT,
3	USE, AND DISCLOSE THE INFORMATION.
4	YOU COULD CONSIDER TERMINATING WITH ONE
5	CLIENT WITHOUT LETTING EITHER OR BOTH KNOW ABOUT
6	THE OTHER. AND I SAY EITHER OR BOTH BECAUSE THE
7	CONSIDERATIONS ARE VERY SIMILAR IN BOTH SCENARIOS.
8	YOU COULD CONSIDER TERMINATING WITH ONE
9	CLIENT BUT LETTING EITHER OR BOTH KNOW.
10	OR YOU COULD TERMINATE WITH BOTH
11	WITHOUT DISCLOSING THE REASON.
12	SO I HAVE A QUICK POLL, HOPEFULLY WE
13	HAVE TIME TO LOOK AT POLL RESULTS, BUT I'M
14	INTERESTED IF YOU WOULDN'T MIND PUTTING UP THE POLL
15	JUST TO SEE AT THE BEGINNING WHO WOULD CHOOSE TO DO
16	WHAT. IN THE INTERESTS OF TIME, IF WE COULD GET
17	MOST OF THE RESULTS, IF NOT ALL OF THEM, THAT WOULD
18	BE REALLY HELPFUL. LOOKS LIKE PEOPLE ARE TAKING A
19	WHILE TO THINK ABOUT THIS ONE.
20	I'M GLAD TO KNOW IT IS A TOUGH CALL.
21	WHERE I PLACE THE X'S, I WANT TO PLACE
22	THE STRICTEST IDEA BUT IT IS POSSIBLE THAT YOU
23	WILL, DEPENDING ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES, AND THE WAY
24	YOU LOOK AT RISK TOLERANCE, YOU MIGHT PUT THE X'S
25	IN DIFFERENT PLACES. IT IS POSSIBLE THE

OBJECTIVITY COULD BE COMPROMISED. AND YOU CAN
DEVELOP SOME UNFAIR BIASES, AND IF SO, THERE COULD
BE SOME SEVERE CONSEQUENCES IN TERMS OF OUTCOME TO
THE WORK YOU'RE DOING. IT IS POSSIBLE YOU COULD BE
COLLECTING SENSITIVE INFORMATION ABOUT ONE WITHOUT
THE OTHER, WITHOUT HAVING THE CONSENT TO DO THAT,
OR CONSENT TO DISCLOSE IT, AND YOU COULD POSSIBLY
MAKE CLINICAL DECISIONS THAT COULD CARRY AN
UNACCEPTABLE RISK OF HARM. THIS IS A HIGH RISK
OPTION. IN SOME CASES, YOU COULD DECIDE TO TAKE
IT, BUT READING IN BETWEEN THE LINES, YOU WOULD
READ THAT WE WOULD STRONGLY URGE YOU TO AVOID THIS
ONE.

NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. YOU COULD CONSIDER
TELLING BOTH CLIENT AND PROMISE SECRECY. IT COULD
IMPAIR OBJECTIVITY, ESPECIALLY IF THEY KNOW YOU ARE
TALKING TO THE OTHER ONE. THEY COULD ASSUME YOU
ARE CHOOSING A SIDE WHEN THEY BRING THEIR SIBLING
RELATED ISSUES TO THE THERAPY.

CONFIDENTIALITY IS A CERTAIN PROBLEM
BECAUSE IT IS A BIT OF A CATCH 22. YOU CAN'T TELL
THEM UNLESS YOU HAVE THEIR PERMISSION. THAT REALLY
PUTS YOU IN AN IMPOSSIBLE SITUATION. I'M SAYING
THAT THAT WOULD BE MORE THAN PROBABLE CERTAIN, AND
BREAKING THE LAW.

1	IT IS STILL POSSIBLE AND EVEN PROBABLE
2	THAT THERE WOULD BE CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS IN
3	ADDITION TO THE ONE WE SPOKE ABOUT BEFORE. IT
4	MIGHT BE DIFFICULT TO MAINTAIN THE APPROPRIATE
5	FOCUS.
6	NEXT PAGE, PLEASE, ONE OR BOTH IF YOU
7	TELL THEM, THERE'S STILL THE SAME KINDS OF
8	OBJECTIVITY CHALLENGES. YOU ARE STILL IN THE SAME
9	SORT OF CATCH 22 AROUND CONFIDENTIALITY. WHEN YOU
10	TALK ABOUT CLINICAL ISSUES, ALL OF WHAT WE JUST
11	TALKED ABOUT APPLY.
12	(ONE MOMENT, PLEASE).
13	(ADDRESSING TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES).
14	SO THE PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT
15	REGULATION DOES ALLOW YOU TO TERMINATE FOR ANY
16	REASON AS LONG AS REASONABLE METHODS ARE TAKES TO
17	ARRANGE ALTERNATIVE SERVICES OR THE CLIENT IS GIVEN
18	A REASONABLE OPPORTUNITY TO ARRANGE ALTERNATE
19	ARRANGEMENTS. YOU MIGHT FIND YOURSELF IN THIS
20	SITUATION OR ANOTHER ONE WHERE YOU WOULD LIKE TO
21	SAY, I WOULD VERY MUCH LIKE TO CONTINUE, BUT I'M
22	UNABLE TO DO THAT OR DISCLOSE THE REASON, BUT REST
23	ASSURED IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH YOU OR MY
24	RELATIONSHIPS WITH YOU. IT IS MORE REASONS BEYOND
25	EITHER OF OUR CONTROL.

Τ	SO LET'S DO A QUICK POLL, I KNOW WE'RE
2	RUNNING SHORT ON TIME, I'M ONE MINUTE OVER BUT
3	PEOPLE MAY WANT TO SEE WHAT OTHER PEOPLE ARE
4	THINKING. SO I HOPE YOU WILL FORGIVE ME FOR THAT.
5	BUT CAN WE HAVE THE POLL AGAIN AND THEN WE WILL
6	MOVE ON. I CAN'T SEE THE Q&AS BUT I CAN SEE A
7	BUNCH HAVE DEVELOPED, AND WE WILL TRY TO ANSWER
8	SOME OF THEM LATER.
9	AND AS I SAID BEFORE, IF WE CAN'T GET
10	TO YOUR QUESTIONS TODAY, IF YOU WILL SEND THEM TO
11	THE BWS QUESTIONS E-MAIL ADDRESS, I WILL TRY AND
12	ANSWER THEM. SO THINGS DID CHANGE. WE'RE NOT
13	GOING TO GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. I THANK YOU ALL FOR
14	LISTENING AND I WILL TURN IT OVER TO ZIMRA NOW.
15	ZIMRA YETNIKOFF: GOOD MORNING,
16	EVERYONE. THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE THIS MORNING.
17	AND THANK YOU RICK AND BARRY FOR SOME INTERESTING
18	AND THOUGHT PROVOKING PRESENTATIONS. I HOPE
19	EVERYBODY HAS THE STAMINA TO PRESS ON FOR THIS LAST
20	PRESENTATION THIS MORNING.
21	I WANT TO SPEAK ABOUT SOME LESSONS
22	LEARNED THROUGH THE COMPLAINTS PROCESS AND
23	PARTICULARLY GETTING BEHIND SOME OF THE STATISTICS
24	WE REPORT TO COUNCIL AND IN ANNUAL REPORTS, AND
25	PARTICULARLY WITH RESPECT TO ONE ALLEGATION THAT

Τ	COMES UP A LOT BOTH IN THIS YEAR VOLUME AND
2	REMEDIAL OUTCOMES, AND THAT'S ADEQUATE INFORMATION
3	TO SUPPORT CONCLUSIONS.
4	PROBABLY WHAT MANY OF YOU ARE THINKING
5	WHEN YOU SEE THESE STATISTIC AND CHARTS THAT WE
6	PREPARE IN THE REPORTS TO COUNCIL AND THE ANNUAL
7	REPORTS ARE, WHAT IS THE NARRATIVE BEHIND THESE
8	NUMBERS? WHAT'S BEING COMPLAINED ABOUT? WHAT'S
9	THE RESPONSE, WHAT THE INQUIRIES COMPLAINTS AND
10	REPORTS COMMITTEE MAKING OF THESE COMPLAINTS AND
11	RESPONSES AND WHAT ARE THEY THINKING WHEN THEY GET
12	TO THE RESULTS THAT THEY DID?
13	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
14	I WANTED TO GIVE A LITTLE BIT OF
15	BACKGROUND ABOUT HOW AND WHY WE TRACK ALLEGATIONS.
16	FIRST, FOR EVERY COMPLAINT AND REPORT, WE DO KEEP
17	TRACK OF THE DIFFERENT ALLEGATIONS THAT COME UP,
18	AND ON AVERAGE THERE ARE ABOUT THREE ALLEGATIONS
19	PER COMPLAINT OR REPORT.
20	THERE'S A RANGE. SOMETIMES ALLEGATIONS
21	ARE ONLY ONE PER COMPLAINT. WE HAVE SEEN UP TO 12
22	RECENTLY. ON THE HIGHER END IT IS USUALLY 7 OR 8
23	BUT ON AVERAGE EACH COMPLAINT OR REPORT CONTAINS 3
24	ALLEGATIONS.
25	THE OUTCOME OF EACH ALLEGATION IS

1	TRACKED AS WELL AS THE OVERALL COMPLAINT OUTCOME,
2	SO FOR EXAMPLE, IF THERE'S A COMPLAINT REGARDING
3	PSYCHO THEY WERE SERVICES, THERE COULD BE
4	ALLEGATIONS REGARDING CONSENT, CONFIDENTIALITY AND
5	PROVIDING RECORDS UPON REQUEST. IN THIS EXAMPLE I
6	HAVE JUST COME UP WITH, THE OUTCOMES CAN BE ADVICE,
7	TAKE NO FURTHER ACTION AND ADVICE RESPECTIVELY.
8	WHAT YOU'LL SEE IN THE REPORTS WOULD BE
9	THE OVERALL CASE OUTCOME IS ADVICE, BUT WE ALSO
10	TRACK THOSE ALLEGATIONS THAT RESULT IN REMEDIAL
11	OUTCOMES, SO WE ARE ALSO TRACKING THE ALLEGATIONS
12	THAT RESULTED IN ADVICE TO THE MEMBER. NEXT SLIDE
13	PLEASE.
14	A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT WHAT WE DO
15	REPORT TO COUNCIL AND IN THE ANNUAL REPORT, HOW
16	MANY COMPLAINT ARE RECEIVED IN THAT QUARTERLY
17	PERIOD AND IN THE YEARLY PERIOD FOR THE ANNUAL
18	REPORT AND HOW MANY REGISTRARS REPORTS ARE MADE, AS
19	WELL AS HOW MANY COMPLAINTS AND REPORTS ARE
20	FINALIZED.
21	ESPECIALLY FOR THE REPORTS TO COUNCIL,
22	THAT QUARTERLY PERIOD, THERE'S LITTLE TO NO OVERLAP
23	BETWEEN THESE TWO GROUPS OF CASES, SO THE
24	COMPLAINTS RECEIVED WOULD BE DIFFERENT THAN THE
25	COMPLAINTS THAT ARE FINALIZED IN THAT PERIOD OF

1	TIME.
2	WE'RE ALSO REPORTING ON THE OUTCOMES OF
3	COMPLAINTS AND REPORTS AND ALSO HOW MANY SPECIFIC
4	ALLEGATIONS THERE WERE IN RELATION TO THESE
5	COMPLAINTS AND REPORTS AND SPECIFICALLY WHICH
6	RESULTED IN REMEDIAL OUTCOMES.
7	OBSTRUCTION ARE ALWAYS DETERMINED WITH
8	REFERENCE TO RISK TO THE PUBLIC. LET'S GO TO THE
9	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
10	MANY OF YOU MAY HAVE SEEN THIS ALREADY.
11	THIS RISK ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK IS AVAILABLE ON THE
12	COLLEGE WEBSITE AND IS ALSO PROVIDED TO BOTH THE
13	MEMBER AND THE COMPLAINANT IN EVERY COMPLAINT THAT
14	COMES TO THE COLLEGE'S ATTENTION.
15	WHAT THIS RISK ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK
16	SHOWS IS HOW THE ICRC IS LOOKING AT ALLEGATIONS,
17	EACH ALLEGATION IN EACH COMPLAINT TO DECIDE THE
18	MOST APPROPRIATE OUTCOME. AND THERE'S IMPACT AND
19	POTENTIAL RISKS, FOR IMPACT AND POTENTIAL RISK TO
20	THE CLIENT AND OTHERS.
21	FOR CURRENT RISK, THE ICRC IS LOOKING
22	AT THE CLIENT'S CONDUCT HISTORY IF THERE IS ONE.
23	WHAT PRACTICES, PROCESSES AND/OR SYSTEMS THE MEMBER
24	MIGHT HAVE IN PLACE. AND ALSO THE MEMBER'S
25	AWARENESS OF ANY IDENTIFIED PRACTICE CONCERNS.

Τ	AND AT THE BOTTOM OF THE FRAMEWORK, YOU
2	CAN SEE THAT AS THE RISKS IDENTIFIED GROW STRONGER,
3	THE OUTCOME IS LIKELY TO BE MORE SEVERE. SO FROM
4	TAKING NO FURTHER ACTION TO A REFERRAL TO THE
5	DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE POSSIBLY WHERE HIGH RISKS ARE
6	IDENTIFIED OVERALL.
7	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
8	IMPORTANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT IN LOOKING
9	AT THESE RISK AND THE RISK ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK,
10	THE ICRC IS REALLY LOOKING AT PUBLIC PROTECTION AND
11	WHERE RISKS ARE IDENTIFIED, MINIMIZING THE RISKS TO
12	THE PUBLIC.
13	WHERE THE ICRC DECIDES THAT ADVICE
14	WOULD BE APPROPRIATE, IT'S NOT A SUGGESTION THAT
15	THERE WAS A BREACH OF STANDARDS. ADVICE IS A
16	SUGGESTION FROM THE ICRC TO THE MEMBER TO ASSIST
17	THE MEMBER IN AVOIDING THE RISKS IDENTIFIED IN THE
18	FUTURE. WHERE THE ICRC HAS SOME CONCERNS THE
19	STANDARDS MIGHT NOT HAVE BEEN MET, THERE ARE
20	ADDITION DISPOSITIONS AVAILABLE TO THE ICRC TO
21	ASSIST IT IN ENSURING THAT THE MEMBER MEETINGS
22	STANDARDS IN THE FUTURE SHORT OF A REFERRAL TO
23	DISCIPLINE. THESE INCLUDE UNDERTAKINGS, CAUTIONS
24	AND SCERPS.
25	UNDERTAKING CAN BE A NUMBER OF THINGS,

1	AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COLLEGE AND MEMBER TO TAKE
2	SPECIFIC ACTION WHICH CAN INCLUDE A COACHING
3	PROGRAM, A COURSE OF STUDY, TERMS, CONDITIONS,
4	LIMITATIONS.
5	A CAUTION IS A REQUIREMENT TO APPEAR
6	BEFORE A PANEL OF THE ICRC, AND THIS HAS BEEN MORE
7	OFTEN DONE VIRTUALLY, ESPECIALLY THESE DAYS SO THE
8	ICRC CAN CONVEY ITS CONCERNS DIRECTLY TO THE MEMBER
9	AND PERHAPS ENGAGE THE MEMBER IN SOME CONVERSATION,
10	ESPECIALLY IF THERE ARE REMEDIAL OUTCOMES IN
11	CONJUNCTION WITH THE ACTION.
12	AND A SCERP IS A PROGRAM TO REMEDIATE.
13	WHAT WE HOPE TO GAIN IN TRACKING
14	ALLEGATIONS IN THIS WAY IS TO SEE IF THERE ARE
15	PATTERNS OF CONDUCT THAT EMERGE AND THIS CAN BE ON
16	A MEMBER SPECIFIC BASIS, SPEAKING ABOUT MEMBER'S
17	CONDUCT HISTORY, THIS IS SOMETHING WE KEEP TRACK OF
18	AS WELL AS PROFESSION WIDE. WE ARE INTERESTED IN
19	SEEING IF THERE ARE PATTERNS EMERGING WITH RESPECT
20	TO CERTAIN STANDARDS OR CONDUCT.
21	IT HELPS US UNDERSTAND IF THERE ARE ANY
22	GAPS IN THE STANDARDS PERHAPS OR AN UNDERSTANDING
23	OF THE STANDARDS, AND PERHAPS IN THE COLLEGE'S
24	METHOD OF COMMUNICATING EXPECTATIONS TO THE
25	MEMBERSHIP. IT HELPS US IDENTIFY A NEED FOR

1	TRAINING, EDUCATION, OR COMMUNICATION.
2	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
3	I DO THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT
4	WE DO HAVE A REALLY STRONG FOCUS ON REMEDIATION.
5	THE CHART HERE LOOKS AT 17 4 DISPOSITIONS REACHED
6	BY THE ICRC FOR THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF. SO FOR
7	THE LAST FISCAL YEAR AND THE FIRST TWO QUARTERS OF
8	THIS FISCAL YEAR.
9	OVERALL 59% OF CASES RESULTED IN NO
10	ACTION AND FOR VARIOUS REASONS. THE TAKE NO
11	FURTHER ACTION IS A RESULT OF THE ICRC ENGAGING IN
12	AN INVESTIGATION, DECIDING THAT IT IDENTIFIED NO
13	CONCERNS AND TAKING NO FURTHER ACTION. SOME OF THE
14	CASES RESULTED IN A WITHDRAWAL BY THE COMPLAINANT
15	THAT WAS APPROVED BY THE REGISTRAR OR THE ICRC AND
16	SOME OF THE CASES WERE NOT INVESTIGATED AT ALL DUE
17	TO THE F AND V PROVISIONS.
18	TWO OF THE CASES TWO PERCENT OF THE
19	CASES WERE REFERRED TO DISCIPLINE AND 39% OF THE
20	CASES WERE REMEDIAL AND IN THE REMEDIAL OUTCOMES,
21	YOU CAN SEE WHAT THEY WERE. SO 50% WERE ADVICE
22	OR 5 1% AND THEN SO ON.
23	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
24	FOCUSSING ON THE ALLEGATION OF ADEQUATE
25	INFORMATION TO SUPPORT CONCLUSIONS, I DID DECIDE

1	THAT IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO FOCUS ON THIS
2	ALLEGATION IN PARTICULAR BECAUSE IT IS THE
3	ALLEGATION WITH THE MOST REMEDIAL OUTCOMES IN THE
4	LAST FISCAL YEAR. AND OVERALL IT IS THE ALLEGATION
5	THAT COMES UP THE MOST OFTEN. IN THE 6 QUARTERS
6	THAT WE TALKED ABOUT PREVIOUSLY IN THE 17 4 CASES,
7	IT DID COME UP IN 55 OF THOSE CASES.
8	SO IN ABOUT A THIRD OF ALL COMPLAINTS
9	AND REPORTS, THIS ALLEGATION DOES APPEAR. AND IT'S
10	A PATTERN THAT REPEATS. IT IS SIMILAR TO THE LAST
11	FISCAL YEAR WHERE THIS ALLEGATION APPEARED IN 33%
12	OF ALL CASES.
13	IN TERMS OF OUTCOMES, NO ACTION WAS
14	TAKEN IN 65% OF THESE CASES IN THE SIX QUARTERS.
15	4% WERE REFERRED TO DISCIPLINE AND REMEDIATION IN
16	THE REMAINING 31% AND YOU CAN SEE THE BREAKDOWN OF
17	THE ALLEGATION OUTCOMES AND REMEDIATIONS AS WELL.
18	OVERALL, ALTHOUGH THE PERCENTAGES DO
19	DIFFER, IT APPEARS THAT THE OVERALL PATTERN OF
20	OUTCOMES IS SIMILAR TO THAT OF THE OVERALL PATTERN
21	OF ALL ALLEGATIONS. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
22	SO THIS IS MAYBE WHAT YOU HAVE ALL BEEN
23	WAITING FOR. WHAT'S THE NARRATIVE, WHAT'S THE
24	STORY BEHIND THE STATISTIC AND THESE ALLEGATIONS?
25	I HAVE PREPARED A FEW CASE STUDIES TO GO THROUGH,

1	THREE OF THEM, TO TALK ABOUT WHAT THE SPECIFIC
2	ALLEGATIONS WERE, WHAT THE MEMBER'S RESPONSE WAS,
3	AND WHAT THE ICRC ULTIMATELY DECIDED.
4	ONE CAVEAT AN IMPORTANT CAVEAT, IS
5	THAT SOME THINGS HAVE BEEN CHANGED IN AN ATTEMPT TO
6	MAINTAIN CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE MEMBER AND THE
7	COMPLAINANT AND ALSO IMPORTANT TO NOTE AS I STARTED
8	OUT WITH, THIS ALLEGATION IS NOT THE ONLY ONE IN A
9	CASE.
LO	SO WITH RESPECT TO ADVICE, IT IS MORE
11	LIKELY THAT THE ADVICE IS LIMITED TO THIS
12	ALLEGATION IN PARTICULAR WITH RESPECT TO
13	UNDERTAKINGS AND A SCERP, IT MAY BE THAT THE ICRC'S
L 4	ULTIMATE DECISION WAS TRYING TO ADDRESS FOR THAN
15	JUST THIS ONE ALLEGATION.
16	WITH THAT CAVEAT IN MIND, LET'S GO TO
L7	THE NEXT SLIDE AND START THE FIRST CASE STUDY.
18	THE FIRST CASE STUDY HAS TO DO WITH AN
19	OFFICE OF THE CHILDREN'S LAWYER INVESTIGATION.
20	THE OCL INVESTOR WAS INTERVIEWING THE
21	MEMBER, DR. Y, REGARDING MS. X (READS SLIDE).
22	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
23	IN RESPONSE TO THESE ALLEGATIONS, THE
24	MEMBER NOTED AND PROVIDED CLINICAL NOTES TO THE
2.5	COLLEGE THAT INDICATED THAT MS. X HAD REPORTED

1	SUFFERING BOUTS OF DEPRESSION AND THIS WAS CLEARLY
2	NOTED IN THE MEMBER'S CLINICAL NOTES.
3	THE MEMBER ALSO DID ACKNOWLEDGE THAT
4	HER NOTES ARE NOT A VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF SESSIONS
5	BUT A PARAPHRASE OF RELEVANT INFORMATION AND
6	ACKNOWLEDGED BOUTS OF DEPRESSION COULD BE USED AS A
7	PARAPHRASE TO INDICATE MS. X AT TIMES FELT
8	DEPRESSED OR HAD LITTLE MOTIVATION.
9	(CONTINUES READING FROM POINT 3).
10	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
11	SO WHAT DID THE ICRC DO? AS IT DOES IN
12	ALL COMPLAINT INVESTIGATIONS, IT OBTAINS
13	INFORMATION. IT OBTAINED THE COMPLAINT INFORMATION
14	FROM MS. X. IT CONTAINED RESPONSE INFORMATION FROM
15	DR. Y, A CLINICAL RECORD AND A COPY OF THE OCL
16	REPORT. AT ISSUE IN THIS CASE WAS PARTICULARLY A
17	CREDIBILITY QUESTION. SPECIFICALLY WHAT WAS
18	ACTUALLY REPORTED IN SESSION?
19	WE HAVE DR. Y'S NOTE'S AND MS. X'S
20	DENIAL THAT SHE SAID WHAT WAS NOTED.
21	THIS IS AN IMPORTANT QUESTION BECAUSE
22	THE ICRC IS A SCREENING COMMITTEE AND IT IS UNABLE
23	TO DETERMINE QUESTIONS OF CREDIBILITY LIKE THIS.
24	IT DOESN'T INTERVIEW PEOPLE IN PERSON. IT DOESN'T
25	HAVE THE ACCESSIBILITY TO CROSS EXAMINE ANYBODY.

1	ONLY THE DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE HAS THE ABILITY TO DO
2	THAT AND MAKE A FINDING OF CREDIBILITY.
3	SO THE ICRC NEEDS TO CONSIDER WHETHER
4	THIS IS SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE REFERRED TO
5	DISCIPLINE OR CAN THE ICRC OTHERWISE ADDRESS ANY
6	CONCERNS IDENTIFIED IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST WITHOUT
7	A REFERRAL.
8	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
9	SO THE ICRC ULTIMATELY DECIDED IT WOULD
10	BE APPROPRIATE TO PROVIDE ADVICE IN THIS CASE.
11	IN COMING TO THAT DECISION, THE ICRC
12	NOTED THAT THE TERM DEPRESSION, WHEN USED BY
13	PSYCHOLOGISTS HAS A CLINICAL MEANING AND USING THIS
14	OUTSIDE OF A FORMAL DIAGNOSIS CAN CAUSE CONFUSION.
15	DR. Y DID APPEAR TO REPORT TO THE OCL
16	INVESTIGATOR (READS FROM POINT TWO).
17	SO THE ICRC OFFERED THE ADVICE THAT IS
18	IN BOLD HERE, THAT WHEN REPORTING INFORMATION TO A
19	THIRD-PARTY, IT IS IMPORTANT TO BE MINDFUL OF THE
20	USE OF CLINICAL TERMS AND CONSIDER CLEARLY STATING
21	THE SOURCES OF INFORMATION.
22	THAT WAS THE RESULT OF THE FIRST CASE
23	STUDY. MOVING ON TO THE SECOND.
24	IN THIS ONE THE ALLEGATION IS RELATED
25	TO A CAPACITY ASSESSMENT CONDUCTED OF MS. B WHO WAS

1	NOT THE COMPLAINANT IN THIS MATTER AND A LETTER OF
2	OPINION.
3	(CONTINUES READING FROM POINT TWO).
4	NEXT SLIDE.
5	IN RESPONSE TO THESE ALLEGATIONS, DR. A
6	PROVIDED CONTEXT REGARDING THE FAMILY CONFLICT OF
7	ISSUE. THOUGHT THAT WAS RELEVANT INFORMATION.
8	IN HIS REVIEW OF MS. B, HE DID PROBE
9	HER PERSONAL HISTORY AND SHE WAS ABLE TO PROVIDE
LO	DETAILED ACCOUNTS OF(READS SLIDE).
11	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
L2	AGAIN, THE ICRC CONSIDERED THE
L3	COMPLAINT, THE RESPONSE INFORMATION AND THE
L 4	CLINICAL RECORD. THE OVERARCHING QUESTION FOR
15	CONSIDERATION HERE WAS WHETHER DR. A HAD SUFFICIENT
16	INFORMATION TO MAKE THE CONCLUSIONS THAT HE DID
L7	ABOUT MS. B'S CAPACITY.
18	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
L 9	ULTIMATELY, THE ICRC DID IDENTIFY
20	SEVERAL CONCERNS ABOUT THIS MATTER. AND IN
21	PARTICULAR, ABOUT THE ADEQUACY OF THE INFORMATION
22	TO SUPPORT THE CONCLUSIONS IN THE ASSESSMENT AND
23	FOLLOW-UP LETTER. IN PARTICULAR, THE ICRC NOTED
24	THAT THERE WAS A LACK OF INPUT FROM KEY INDIVIDUALS
2.5	WHO COULD HAVE (READS SLIDE).

1	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
2	THE ICRC DECIDED ON UNDERTAKINGS AS THE
3	OUTCOME IN THIS SITUATION. THE UNDERTAKING WAS TO
4	CONSIST OF A COACHING PROGRAM WITH SEVERAL
5	COMPONENTS ONE OF WHICH WAS TO DO A COMPLETE REVIEW
6	OF SEVERAL PAST CASES INCLUDING THE ONE AT ISSUE
7	BEFORE THE ICRC, AND TO WORK WITH THE COACH TO
8	REVIEW AND ADDRESS THE CONCERNS THAT HAD BEEN
9	NOTED.
10	ALSO, AS PART OF THE UNDERTAKING, THE
11	MEMBER AGREED TO, BEFORE EMBARKING ON A NEW
12	CAPACITY ASSESSMENT, TO REVIEW HIS ASSESSMENT
13	PROCESS WITH THE COACH (READS SLIDE).
14	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
15	MOVING INTO OUR FINAL CASE STUDY, THIS
16	ONE HAS TO DO WITH A JOINT CUSTODY SITUATION OF AN
17	8 YEAR OLD CHILD J WHERE THERE WAS A SEPARATION
18	AGREEMENT TO MAKE JOINT DECISIONS IN ADVANCE ABOUT
19	NONEMERGENCY HEALTHCARE ASSESSMENT AND TREATMENT
20	AND ASSESSMENT FOR THERAPY.
21	THE MOTHER HAD CONTACTED DR. C TO
22	PROVIDE THERAPY TO J (READS SLIDE).
23	THIS WAS NOT A SITUATION WHERE THE
24	FATHER DIDN'T CONSENT. HE MET WITH DR. C FOUR
25	TIMES IN THAT PERIOD.

1	THE PROBLEM AROSE AFTER 18 MONTHS
2	(READS SLIDE).
3	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
4	IN RESPONSE TO THIS COMPLAINT, DR. C
5	INDICATED THAT SHE WROTE THE LETTER OUT OF CONCERN
6	FOR J'S WELLBEING AND IN PARTICULAR, THE FATHER'S
7	LACK OF INVOLVEMENT IN J'S TREATMENT.
8	WHILE TREATING J (READS SLIDE).
9	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
10	AGAIN, THE ICRC IN ITS CONSIDERATION
11	LOOKED AT THE COMPLAINT, THE ALLEGATIONS, THE
12	MEMBER'S RESPONSE AND THE CLINICAL RECORD.
13	THE QUESTION FOR THE ICRC IN THIS CASE
14	WAS TWOFOLD, DID THE LETTER ACTUALLY CONTAIN
15	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND IF SO, WERE
16	THEY BASED ON CURRENT, RELIABLE, ADEQUATE AND
17	APPROPRIATE INFORMATION?
18	ULTIMATELY, THE ICRC DID THINK THAT
19	THEY WERE CONCERNS HERE AND ORDERED THE SCERP, THE
20	CONTINUING EDUCATION PROGRAM.
21	(READS SLIDE).
22	AS WELL IN THIS SITUATION THE COACH IS
23	TO REPORT TO THE REGISTRAR AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE
24	SCERP.
25	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.

1	TO WRAP UP, SOME OBSERVATIONS I THINK
2	THAT ARE NOTEWORTHY. FIRST, THAT THE ALLEGATION OF
3	INADEQUATE INFORMATION CAN ARISE IN A NUMBER OF
4	DIFFERENT WAYS. IT IS NOT ONE SIZE FITS ALL. IN
5	THE CASE STUDIES I WENT THROUGH TODAY THEY AROSE IN
6	DIFFERENT SCENARIOS, ONE IN ORAL COMMUNICATION, ONE
7	IN A LETTER OF AN OPINION FOLLOWING AN ASSESSMENT
8	AND ONE IN A LETTER REGARDING TREATMENT
9	RECOMMENDATIONS THAT THE MEMBER DIDN'T THINK WERE
10	ACTUALLY CONCLUSIONS THAT THE ICRC HAD CONSIDERED
11	TO BE.
12	EVEN THOUGH IT IS THE MOST SIGNIFICANT
13	ALLEGATION IN TERMS OF VOLUNTEER, THE OUTCOMES
14	AREN'T DISPROPORTIONATE TO THAT VOLUNTEER, AND
15	FINALLY REMEDIATION IS THE MOST COMMON OUTCOME WERE
16	THESE ALLEGATIONS OR CONCERNED ARE NOTED.
17	THAT'S THE END OF MY PRESENTATION. I
18	DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S ANY TIME FOR QUESTIONS.
19	>> HI, ZIMRA. THERE WERE A COUPLE OF
20	QUESTIONS POSTED. WHY IS WHY DOES IT TAKE SO LONG
21	TO RESOLVE COMPLAINTS, AND IS THERE A PLAN IN PLACE
22	TO SPEED UP THE PROCESS?
23	ZIMRA YETNIKOFF: HOW MUCH TIME DO WE
24	HAVE? IT'S A GREAT QUESTION. THERE'S LOTS
25	INVOLVED IN INVESTIGATING A COMPLAINT. FIRST OFF,

1	THERE ARE TIMELINES MANDATED BY THE LEGISLATION.
2	SO WHEN WE GET A COMPLAINT, WE HAVE TO GIVE THE
3	MEMBER 30 DAYS TO RESPOND. MEMBERS OFTEN ASK FOR
4	EXTENSIONS TO THAT TIME PERIOD. WHEN WE GET A
5	RESPONSE, WE OFFER IT TO THE COMPLAINANT SO THEY
6	CAN MAKE ANY CLARIFICATIONS. THAT RESPONSE GOES
7	BACK TO THE MEMBER WHO GETS ADDITIONAL TIME TO
8	RESPOND.
9	THERE CAN BE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
10	GATHERED FROM WITNESSES. SOMETIMES WE NEED TO
11	SUMMONS INFORMATION. THIS ALL TAKES TIME.
12	AND FINALLY THE MATTER GOES TO THE ICRC
13	FOR CONSIDERATION. NORMALLY WE TRY TO PROVIDE THE
14	ICRC 30 DAYS WITHIN WHICH TO REVIEW ALL THE
15	INFORMATION AND THINK ABOUT IT BEFORE THE MEETING.
16	THEN THE ICRC MEETS BUT PERHAPS THEY WANT
17	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. PERHAPS THEY ARE READY TO
18	COME TO A DECISION. AND THEN THE DECISION NEEDS TO
19	BE DRAFTED AND THEN CIRCULATED TO ALL THE PANEL
20	MEMBERS.
21	AS YOU CAN IMAGINE, THIS DOES TAKE
22	QUITE AN AMOUNT OF TIME AND GIVEN THE AMOUNT OF
23	COMPLAINTS, 17 4 IN A 6 QUARTER PERIOD, THERE'S A
24	LOT OF VOLUME, SO I KNOW THAT'S NOT A GREAT ANSWER,
25	JUST SOME EXPLANATION AS TO THE CONTEXT AND

1	BACKGROUND AS TO WHY THESE THINGS SOMETIMES TAKE
2	LONGER THAN WE WOULD WISH.
3	>> OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
4	ANOTHER QUESTION, DOES THE COLLEGE NEED
5	TO DISCLOSE THAT IT HAS A HISTORY AND/OR REFER
6	MATTERS OUTSIDE IF IT HAS A POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF
7	INTEREST?
8	ZIMRA YETNIKOFF: WITH RESPECT TO
9	HISTORY, WE DO HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO GIVE THE ICRC
10	THAT'S CONSIDERING ANY COMPLAINT OR REPORT THAT THE
11	MEMBER'S CONDUCT HISTORY TO THAT ICRC PANEL.
12	THE ICRC CAN'T USE THAT CONDUCT HISTORY
13	TO DECIDE THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE CURRENT COMPLAINT,
14	BUT IT IS SUPPOSED TO CONSIDER THAT PREVIOUS
15	HISTORY IN DECIDING ON THE OUTCOME.
16	SO FOR EXAMPLE, IT MAY BE RELEVANT TO
17	THE ICRC IF THE MEMBER HAS HAD ADVICE OR
18	UNDERTAKINGS WITH RESPECT TO A PARTICULAR
19	ALLEGATION PREVIOUSLY AND THE SAME COMPLAINT HAS
20	ARISEN AGAIN. THE ICRC MAY THINK THAT THE NEXT
21	STEP MAY BE A SCERP OR EVEN A REFERRAL TO
22	DISCIPLINE.
23	I'M NOT SURE ABOUT THE QUESTION OF
24	REFERRING OUTSIDE. WE ARE CAREFUL TO ENSURE THAT
25	WHEN WE DO ASSIGN COMPLAINTS AND REPORTS TO ICRC

1	PANEL MEMBERS FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION, WE DO A
2	CONFLICT CHECK TO ENSURE THERE'S NO CONFLICT WITH
3	RESPECT TO ANY OF THE PANEL MEMBERS AND A
4	PARTICULAR MEMBER.
5	>> THANK YOU NEXT QUESTION, I SEE
6	MENTION OF COACHES. WHO ARE COACHES IN THE COLLEGE
7	AND IS THERE AN EVEN TO PROACTIVELY REQUEST
8	COACHING?
9	ZIMRA YETNIKOFF: I THINK PROACTIVELY
10	REQUESTING COACHING IS A GREAT IDEA. WE DON'T HAVE
11	A PROGRAM CURRENTLY TO SET THAT UP WITHIN THE
12	COLLEGE. THAT WOULD BE, I GUESS UP TO EACH MEMBER
13	TO SEEK OUT COACHING AND MENTORSHIP AS BEST AS THEY
14	CAN.
15	WHEN WE DO PROPOSE UNDERTAKINGS TO
16	MEMBERS, WE ASK THEM TO NOMINATE COACHES, SO WE SEE
17	IF MEMBERS CAN THINK OF PEOPLE WHO MIGHT WANT TO BE
18	COACHES OR WOULD BE GOOD COACHES AND TO BRING TO
19	THE REGISTRAR'S ATTENTION BUT ULTIMATELY THE
20	DECISION OF THE COACH IS THE REGISTRARS. WE TRY TO
21	ACCOMMODATE, SOMETIMES WE CAN SHARE THEM AND
22	SOMETIMES WE CAN'T, BUT THAT A PARTICULAR COACH
23	NOMINATED IS NOT APPROPRIATE, BUT WE TRY TO LET THE
24	MEMBERS HAVE SOME INPUT INTO WHO THEIR COACH MIGHT
25	BE.

1	>> THANK YOU. AS RELATED TO RETENTION
2	OF RECORDS, IS THERE A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS
3	REGARDING A CLIENT MAKING A COMPLAINT?
4	ZIMRA YETNIKOFF: WITH RESPECT TO OUR
5	COLLEGE, WE DON'T HAVE THE SAME RETENTION SCHEDULE
6	OR REQUIREMENTS AS A HEALTHCARE CUSTODIAN. WE'RE
7	NOT A HEALTHCARE CUSTODIAN. WE HAVE, I THINK
8	AND STEPHANIE IS PROBABLY THE BEST ONE TO CORRECT
9	ME IF I'M WRONG I THINK THE RETENTION PERIOD IS
10	75 YEARS.
11	>> YES IT IS.
12	ZIMRA YETNIKOFF: OKAY. SO 75 YEARS.
13	I THINK WE'RE AT THE 11:50 MARK. I
14	DON'T KNOW IF IT'S TIME NOW TO GO BACK TO BARRY TO
15	WRAP UP AND ANSWER ANY OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS THAT
16	MAY HAVE COME UP OUTSIDE OF WHAT I PRESENTED ON
17	TODAY.
18	BARRY GANG: WELL, THREE HOURS PASSES
19	VERY QUICKLY. NOW, I'M CONSCIOUS OF THE FACT THAT
20	I'M THE ONLY ONE THAT DIDN'T HAVE TO ANSWER ANY
21	QUESTIONS, AND I THINK I CAN GET THROUGH CONCLUDING
22	COMMENTS IN JUST A COUPLE MINUTES.
23	SO STEPHANIE, IF THIS DOESN'T JUMBLE
24	THINGS UP, IS THERE ONE QUESTION THAT FROM MY
25	PRESENTATION THAT I COULD TRY AND ANSWER?

1	>> I WILL TAKE A LOOK THROUGH.
2	BARRY GANG: WHILE STEPHANIE IS HAVING
3	A LOOK. I CAN'T LOOK AT ALL THE QUESTIONS FROM MY
4	VIEW, BUT I CAN SEE THERE ARE 141 RIGHT NOW
5	UNANSWERED QUESTIONS.
6	SO OBVIOUSLY WE'RE NOT GOING TO GET
7	THROUGH ALL OF THEM OR ANYMORE THAN MAYBE ONE. BUT
8	IF WE COULD ASK YOU TO SEND ANY UNANSWERED
9	QUESTIONS TO BWSQUESTIONS@CPO.ON.CA, THAT WILL HELP
10	US A LOT BECAUSE I KNOW WHEN LOOKING THROUGH Q&A
11	BOXES, IT IS VERY HARD TO KNOW SORT OF WHAT THE
12	CONTEXT OF THE QUESTION WAS, WHAT SLIDE IT MIGHT
13	HAVE REFERRED TO.
14	AND THE OTHER THING IS THAT QUESTIONS
15	DO GET ANSWERED SUBSEQUENTLY TO THE TIME THE
16	QUESTIONS ARE ASKED DURING A PRESENTATION.
17	SO ANYTHING THAT'S UNANSWERED, PLEASE
18	SEND IT TO US AND WE WILL GET YOU SOME ANSWERS.
19	ANYTHING STANDING OUT, STEPHANIE, OR
20	SHOULD I JUST CLOSE?
21	>> THE ONES THAT I'M LOOKING AT SEEM
22	TO HAVE BEEN REPLIED TO, BUT IF SOMEONE HAS
23	SOMETHING THEY WOULD LIKE ME TO PRESENT, COULD YOU
24	JUST POST IT IN THE Q&A BOX NOW?
25	HERE'S A QUESTION, BARRY. ARE THERE

1	GUIDELINES AS TO HOW PSYCHOLOGISTS ARE TO EXPRESS
2	IN A REPORT A PROFESSIONAL OPINION THAT'S DIFFERENT
3	THAN ANOTHER PSYCHOLOGISTS REPORT ETHICALLY AND IN
4	CONSIDERATION?
5	BARRY GANG: I DON'T KNOW THAT THAT
6	APPLIES TO ANY OF THE PRESENTATION MATERIAL, BUT I
7	THINK, YOU KNOW, I THINK IF THE DIFFERENCE IS
8	IMPORTANT, I THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO
9	ACCURATELY REFLECT WHAT WAS SAID AND JUST SIMPLY
10	SAY THAT THIS ASSESSMENT, DONE AT A DIFFERENT TIME,
11	YIELDED SOME DIFFERENT RESULTS WITH RESPECT TO
12	WHATEVER THE ISSUE WAS, WITHOUT, YOU KNOW,
13	OBVIOUSLY WITHOUT CRITICIZING OR CASTING ANY DOUBT
14	ON THE OTHER PERSON. IT'S JUST, YOU KNOW, THAT
15	THIS IS A DIFFERENT RESULT OBTAINED AT A DIFFERENT
16	TIME BASED ON DIFFERENT INFORMATION, POSSIBLY.
17	SO WITHOUT KNOWING THE PARTICULARS OF
18	THE SCENARIO, THAT SEEMS TO BE A REASONABLE WAY TO
19	ANSWER THAT QUESTION.
20	SO ANYWAY, I THINK IT'S TIME TO WRAP
21	UP. I WANT TO THANK RICK AND ZIMRA FOR PROVIDING A
22	LOT OF VALUABLE INFORMATION.
23	I ALSO WANT TO GIVE A VERY BIG SHOUT
24	OUT TO STEPHANIE MORTON WHO MAKES THE EVENTS HAPPEN
25	SO SMOOTHLY AND IT IS NOT WITHOUT A LOT OF HARD

1	WORK AND SKILL SHE'S ABLE TO DO THAT.
2	I ALSO WANT TO THANK THE FOLKS AT
3	VANTAGE WHO WE HAVEN'T USED BEFORE, BUT IT'S BEEN A
4	VERY GOOD EXPERIENCE AND IT'S ALL GONE VERY
5	SMOOTHLY.
6	YOU WILL GET EVALUATION SURVEYS IN YOUR
7	MAILBOXES. THEY WILL BE POSTED, I THINK, AT 12
8	O'CLOCK. WE HOPE YOU WILL TAKE THE TIME TO
9	COMPLETE THEM. WE REALLY DO READ THEM ALL AND USE
10	THE INFORMATION TO PLAN FUTURE EVENTS.
11	I HOPE THAT YOU WILL ALL CONTINUE TO
12	TAKE CARE, STAY AS WELL AS POSSIBLE. I KNOW I'VE
13	SAID THIS A FEW TIMES SO IT FEELS A BIT STALE, BUT
14	WE HOPE TO SEE MANY OF YOU IN PERSON AT THE NEXT
15	BARBARA WAND IN SIX MONTHS OR SO, AND THANK YOU ALL
16	FOR TAKING THE TIME TO ATTEND TODAY.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	