## In the Matter Of: Barbara Wand Seminar in Professional Ethics

## SEMINAR June 12, 2019



77 King Street West, Suite 2020 Toronto, ON M5K 1A2 1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

## Barbara Wand Seminar in Professional Ethics SEMINAR on June 12, 2019

```
1
     RE:
           BARBARA WAND SEMINAR IN PROFESSIONAL ETHICS,
 2
     STANDARDS AND CONDUCT, JUNE 12, 2019
 3
     DURATION:
                  158:28
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
     PREPARED BY:
19
     ALANA TRUMPY
20
     NEESON COURT REPORTING INC.
21
     77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 2020
22
     TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1A2
23
     TEL. 416.413.7755
```

Barbara Wand Seminar in Professional Ethics SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 2 1 ---Upon commencing: 2. 3 DR. GANG: We're very happy to be here in Ottawa and also to be speaking to all the people 4 who are logging on online right now. I'm Barry Gang. 5 I'm the Deputy Registrar and the Director of 6 Professional Affairs at the College. Welcome again to 7 the Barbara Wand Seminar. 8 9 If any of you have registered more 10 recently than, I guess, the last three decades ago, 11 you may not know who Barbara Wand is. Barbara -- Dr. Wand was the Registrar of the Ontario Board of 12 Examiners in Psychology from 1976 to 1991, and in 13 14 1991, the Board instituted the annual Barbara Wand 15 Seminars in Professional Ethics, Standards and Conduct 16 in recognition of her great contributions to the 17 profession of psychology. 18 In 2016, it became a semi-annual half-19 day event to make it more accessible to people who

In 2016, it became a semi-annual half-day event to make it more accessible to people who weren't able to make it to a full-day event once a year, and roughly around the same time, we went online so people all over the Province can access the seminar.

20

21

22

23

Page 3 Today we have 135 people here, which is 1 2 a very small component of the over 1,800 people that 3 are watching with us. 4 Before we get into the program, there's 5 a few business things again. Most of you are participating by Webcast. While we're really excited 6 about the numbers, because of the number of questions 7 that people have online -- and we've really tried to 8 9 address questions as they've come in -- we've just not 10 figured out a way to make that work. There's a time 11 lag in receiving the questions. There's just far too 12 many to go through to see which questions are 13 redundant and so on, so for that reason we've made a 14 decision -- and we did this last time, I believe -- to 15 respond to every question that people send us by 16 email, and also to put together a summary of the 17 questions and answers and post them on the College 18 website for everybody's benefit. Those of you who are online already 19 20 have the address. Any of you who are here and don't 21 get a chance to ask the questions that you want to 22 ask, it's a simple address: BWS -- Barbara Wand 23 Seminar -- Ouestions@cpo.on.ca, and any of us who are here from the College staff can give you that 24 25 information again at the break.

Page 4
s to
ed
nave
ould

Don't send any IT-related questions to that because they just aren't going to get answered probably until at least tomorrow.

Our providers of technology today have put a question mark icon on the screen, and you could just click there and submit a description of the problem.

I don't know what kind of a queue there will be for that, but rather than joining the queue, you might want to try some of the things that others have successfully tried in the past: simply exiting and reloading, trying a different browser, refreshing your screen.

Worst case scenario is that you won't be able to connect successfully, and this will be available -- the whole proceedings will be on the website of the College I'm told in less than ten days, so at the outside it'll be ten days, probably sooner than that.

We have closed captioning that should be appearing on everybody's screen, but if you have accessibility needs that that doesn't address, make sure you let us know. We'll make a transcript of the proceedings available to you.

Page 5 For those of you in the room, Wi-Fi 1 2. unfortunately isn't available today. Water, even though it isn't on your tables, is available. There's 3 a - there's a cooler over there in the corner with 4 5 cool, filtered water for you. We're going to keep strictly to the 6 7 times on the agenda because most people aren't here and they should know when -- you know, when to sign on 8 9 and to sign off. Other business things. Everybody wants 10 11 to know about continuing professional development credits -- always. Those of you who are watching 12 together, either in this group here or with groups 13 14 online, will get a credit in Category A8 in 15 recognition of the value of inter-collegial contact 16 and interaction plus three credits if you've stayed 17 tuned and participated for the -- for the entire 18 morning. 19 just simple Documentation: 20 confirmation of your registration will be fine. you're in a group and didn't register yourself, just 21 22 any kind of copy of correspondence with whoever's 23 organized this for you.

Page 6

So right now I'd like to invite Dr.

Lynette Eulette, the President of the College, to

formally begin the morning.

DR. EULETT: Good morning. This is probably one of the very few times I do something formal.

So as President of the College, I want to welcome you to the Barbara Wand Seminar on behalf of the Council itself, and that goes for you in this venue and as well as those who are online.

The fact that we're pretty close to 2,000 people participating in this seminar twice a year I think is a real testimony to technology but also to the fact that psychologists want to learn and they like to learn in community, and if you were to ask me, I'd say that learning in community is probably one of the most effective ways of learning, and so I think it's a privilege that we're able to do that.

We have a few members of council in the audience here and I'd just like to acknowledge them.

So Mr. William Middleton is at the back. He's a recently-appointed public member of council. Marilyn Keyes is beside him, and I know Christine DiZazzo is registered, but I'm not -- I haven't seen her yet.

Anyways, so Marilyn and Christine are both 1 Oh. 2 professional members of council. The Council is committed to supporting 3 4 the continuous learning of its membership, and the 5 Barbara Wand Seminar is one of the ways that we 6 accomplish that mission. The fact that so many are 7 joining is testimony to the fact that it's actually being effective, and I think that the fact that it's 8 9 free of charge and that it's accessible in that way, 10 it really helps that mission go forward. 11 I'm sure that this morning will provide 12 you with a rich opportunity for learning, whether 13 you're here or you're online. We're privileged to have two of the 14 15 College individuals here this morning, two speakers. 16 The first half of the morning is led by Mr. Barry Gang 17 and the second half by Dr. Morris. 18 So, I'm going to start just by giving 19 you a brief introduction for Barry. So, Barry is --20 Barry Gang is the Deputy Registrar and the Director of 21 Professional Affairs at the College, and he was 22 formerly the Director of Investigations and Hearings. 23 His responsibilities include leadership in policy and

program development at the College, as well as

management of the client relations and the quality 1 2. assurance programs. 3 Prior to joining the College, which was 4 in March 2000 -- so he's been with us for a long time 5 -- Mr. Gang worked primarily with children and families in both direct service and in management. 6 7 So, thank you, Barry. We look forward to hearing from you. 8 9 DR. GANG: I should have tried this out 10 first. Ah. Here we go. So, the title of the 11 presentation I'd like to make is "Sticky Issues in 12 Professional Practice." 13 There's always been a really great 14 demand for tricky issues, and in the evaluations that people complete, you know, everybody -- not everybody, 15 16 but most people, most people who comment want more 17 tricky issues, and the Registrar, who does a 18 phenomenal job at this, is a pretty busy guy, and it's 19 a lot, and I agreed to share the morning, not 20 believing that I could meet the mark of more tricky 21 issues, and -- and I thought about, you know, 22 something that somebody said maybe was a little too 23 cutesy, and I probably would have, you know, if I'd 24 heard that before I'd committed to the title might not 25 have called it "sticky issues," but it is something

that I thought was worth talking about, because there
are questions that we get.

One of the things that I also do is

oversee the practice advisory service, and we have -there are questions that, you know, are kind of
perpetual questions that we provide the best answers

7 we can to, but there aren't always great answers, and

they are what I've come to think of as "sticky."

And at the College, you know, in terms of the standards and, you know, the regulations and things like that, we're all about definitions, and you can -- you know, you can parse out, you know, what everything means only to a point, and with "sticky," I, you know, as I often do, go to the dictionary, and it should be a good dictionary, so the Oxford Dictionary talks about sticky as an informal term and, you know, involving problems that are difficult or awkward. Examples, you know, "the relationship's going through a sticky patch." The other example that they give talks about sort of, you know, political problems that can't get sorted out at a certain level and have to get bumped up.

Other titles, you know, I could have used were "You might not like this answer, but it's the best we can give you," or "the best we know how to

Page 10

give you; "We wish there were a simple answer, but there just simply isn't;" and the other one that, you

3 know, those of you who use our practice advisory

4 service frequently might hear a fair bit is, you know,

this - "what it really does is reduce to an issues of

6 professional judgement."

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So this is the trigger alert. There's uncertainty ahead. Everything I'm going to talk about has a lot of uncertainty. Hopefully that won't turn you off too much. What I hope to do is give you some of the principles involved in trying to sort of, you know, walk through that swamp, and then try and apply them to some of the most common kinds of sticky issues that we -- we hear about.

So the disclaimer is that nothing in this presentation, or, for that matter, anything that the College tells you or advises is meant to constitute legal advice. We're not lawyers. We're not qualified. We're not authorized to do that.

When your own decisions require you to interpret the law or have knowledge of case law, we really think that the best thing to do is obtain legal advice from a qualified and authorized legal professional, either a lawyer, or, depending on the circumstances, a paralegal who has your interests in

Page 11

- 1 | mind, because really what, you know, most people are
- 2 | afraid of is doing the wrong thing, and you need
- 3 somebody who's going to say to you I think I -- you
- 4 know, these are your options. I think I can help
- 5 | defend you if you do this or that.
- 6 So the kinds of questions that we get.
- 7 | Last year we got over 1,200 practice advisory calls,
- 8 | mostly from members -- not always -- and they -- they
- 9 | -- they sort roughly into three categories.
- 10 There are a lot of people who need our
- 11 | help finding the relevant rules, and I'm going to use
- 12 | the word "rules," because statutes, regulations,
- 13 | standards of professional conduct, and codes of ethics
- 14 | and guidelines is just a mouthful and it is, you know
- 15 | -- really is about rules.
- 16 Our members are very well educated and
- 17 | usually -- you know, are always capable of finding all
- 18 of this stuff, but it's a lot, and we don't expect
- 19 | that everybody has all of these things memorized or
- 20 | even indexed well, and we're very happy to find --
- 21 | help you find the simple, simpler answers.
- We do get some calls from people who
- 23 | are really calling out of protest because they don't
- 24 | like the rules, or they don't -- you know, they don't
- 25 | easily address the situation or let them do what they

Page 12

would like to be able to do, or for permission to
break the rules, which we can never do. We can't ever
say, you know, we think it's okay for you to act in a
way that's contrary to the legislation or the
standards.

In those kinds of situations, those are the kinds of places where we'll say, well, you know, you might have reasons, and if you really want to do that, it's a good idea probably to, you know, give the pro bono legal advice a call and they'll help you through that.

The last one again, which is going to comprise most of what hopefully we're going to talk about this morning, or in the first half of the morning, is the situations that test the limits of the rules or don't align well with them.

And, you know, there is. There is in many areas a patchwork of legislation that doesn't always match up to professional practice, you know, the policy-makers and legislators -- you know, these things are done by committee and it's extremely complicated, and there's a lot of comprise, and there's a pun I can't remember right now about, you know, things made by committee, and they're not always as -- as effective as, or easy to access as we'd like.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 13

So the most popular in recent sticky 1 2 issues, it's, you know, number one has always been release of information. You know, the release of 3 4 psychology records to people who request them, the release of raw test data, the release of proprietary 5 6 materials, those are things that are copyrighted. 7 We've been recently receiving a lot of questions about choosing a professional practice management 8 9 application. So there are a couple of companies that 10 are advertising software and applications that allow them to effectively manage their practices, and the 11 12 questions about, you know, can we use them, which one 13 should we use, and so on.

We get a fair number -- as technology is advancing, we get a lot of questions about providing services outside of Ontario, our members who want to be able to assist their clients when they're going out of province. We also get questions from out-of-province people who want to follow their clients in here, but that won't -- we won't address that very much this morning. And as I'm sure a lot of you would have predicted, we still get a lot of questions about the supervision of the controlled act of psychotherapy.

24

SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 14 So those are the areas that I would 1 2 like to address. I should say that in the past there 3 have -- there's been a problem sometimes getting through all the materials. What I'd like to do is be 4 5 able to take some breaks in between the issues and take some questions, but it may be that we won't be 6 7 able to address all questions, so I'll let you know 8 when we've reached the point where we're going to go on to a new issue, and then feel free to ask some 9 10 questions. So a general approach to the sticky 11 12 issues, the standards give you a hint about where to 13 go, and there's a standard called general conduct, and a member must conduct themselves so that their 14 15 activities, or those conducted under their direction, 16 comply with the statutes and regulations, so that's 17 sort of, you know, a parented program, but we do give 18 you a bit more information in the practical 19 application of the standards that gives you, you know, what the hierarchy of rules is. 20 21 So, you know, the first thing that 22

So, you know, the first thing that trumps everything is legislation, then the regulations under the legislation, then the standards, then the code of ethics, and then any other ethical guidelines

that you have access to, and some of which, you know,
vou can find on our website.

I want to call particular attention to the CPA code of ethics for psychologists and the the relatively new fourth edition. Now the principles remain I think the same they've been for a while, but they are, you know, hierarchical also, so they give you the general order if there's ever a conflict, and I don't actually very often see conflict between them, but, you know, the highest principle is respect for the dignity of people, then, you know, being responsible in the way you provide care, integrity in your relationships, and responsibility to society.

And those of you who haven't -- and I hope most of you have read the code of ethics carefully, because it really is a very rich document, and I'm going to paraphrase and summarize some of the information because it's dense, and I just wanted to give you an idea of some of the things that it talks about when you do need more help than just looking at the principles, where the answers don't jump off the page at you. And it tells you wisely to look at, you know, the individual and group's characteristics, you know, what are the moral rights of people, what are their values, what's in their best interests, what

21

22

23

24

25

contributes to their wellbeing, looking at the 1 2 context, and there are a lot of different contexts: 3 cultural, social, historical -- all of those kinds of 4 things. Look at your own biases and look at whether 5 they're affecting the kinds of options you're generating when you have a sticky issue to get 6 7 through. What are your personal needs? What is 8 your self-interest? We all have those things. 9 Looking 10 at, you know, the various options, they have, you 11 know, short-term, long-term effects and risks, and you 12 should be looking at those also. 13 And when it comes to an issue of 14 personal conscience, and there -- there will be, you 15 know, within, you know, most people's career span 16 times when personal conscience comes into it, and we 17 can't avoid that, and make sure your own decision-18 making process is based on a -- on a coherent sense of 19 ethical principles that can bear public scrutiny.

So that's a lot of words. I don't expect that, you know, that's what you're going to be what you take -- you're not going to remember this all in five seconds, but it's worth looking at.

So you'll hear professional judgement a fair bit, and you will have to use professional

Page 17

judgement. We -- you know, it's a self-governing
profession, which means that people are really
responsible for their own decisions, and you do have
to apply reasoning, and, you know, one of sort of the
simplest things to think about: what's in the best
interest of the client.

Some of the time when we take practice inquiry questions, you know, people actually frankly say, and it -- you know, people do worry about what is the College going to say when I do this or that, and it's a legitimate question, but it really does circle back to what's in the best interest of the client.

And, you know, I sat with the ICRC, the Inquiries Complaints and Reports Committee, and before that the complaints committee for many years and watched as they deliberated, and the questions that they really -- you know, when it comes down to -- when there really wasn't a clear answer was did the member really do due diligence when determining what the appropriate options were?

You know, sometimes the answer's very clear but, you know, many times it isn't. So, you know, the job is really to do your research, speak to people, call us. If you got it wrong, or in somebody's opinion wrong, it works well that you've

- done your due diligence and that you can provide a reasoned explanation for whatever it is you decide to do.
  - You know, that's really all we can ask from people, and it's all anybody can, I think, really ask from people when the answers are not clear.
  - So, before I get to that -- I don't know if I'm going too quickly or whether people has any comments or questions or whether I should just push ahead? I'll push ahead.
    - The most popular question recently was about release of information, and, you know, to start talking about releasing a psychological record to someone who requests it. And the questions that I'm going to pose are compensate questions, but they all have, you know, pieces that come from real questions.
    - So, it wouldn't be unusual for us to hear from a member who says a lawyer for a client that I've been treated for several years has requested a copy of the client's file. We hear this from time to time: "I was trained never to provide file information to clients and only to provide it to another psychologist."
    - "I've been treating the client for complex trauma-related difficulties. I'm worried that

giving them this information could lead them to 1 2 misunderstandings and unnecessary distress, and I'm 3 worried that the information will be distorted and 4 misused in the ongoing litigation and this could further traumatize the client, and I'm facing a 5 complaint, I've been threatened with a complaint if I 6 refuse to release the files, so what should I do?" 7 And, you know, many of you probably 8 have been in this situation. So we start with the 9 10 legislation, and we look at PHIPA, which affects most 11 of the work psychologists and psychological associates 12 in the Province do. Not all. There are other pieces 13 of privacy legislation that govern your work, and if 14 you're working in one of those settings, you'll know 15 which ones they are, whether it's FIPPA or MFIPPA or 16 some other really sort of -- I probably shouldn't say 17 obscure, but, you know, a relatively rarely used piece of legislation, but we'll talk mostly about PHIPA. 18 19 So, PHIPA talks about a right to 20 So, this is a legislated right. All of us access. 21 want to have free access to the health information 22 that our own health professionals gather, but there 23 are some exceptions to the right of access, and I want to point out that, you know, these are exceptions to 24 25 the right, but they're not prohibitions on providing

Page 20

this information; they simply give you some discretion to not release the information.

And, you know, there's not a lot of it, but legal privilege, so that, you know, if you're involved in a legal proceeding, then you'll know that there are -- where there will be likely lawyers involved who are saying that, you know, you're not -- you're not -- there's no entitlement to this information.

If there's a law or a court order prohibiting the disclosure -- so you might find if you're involved in a case and there's been a sealing order put down by a judge, if the information was collected or created primarily in anticipation of -- or for use in a proceeding, and many of the cases that we hear about are about, you know, people's clinical files which weren't produced in anticipation of a hearing or something like that, you know, inspections, investigations, things like that, but the most common issue is the fear that granting access could be harmful, and it's a very high bar granting access.

You don't have to respect the person's right to access if there's a risk of serious harm -- so serious is undefined, and there's judgements to be made about that -- to the treatment or the recovery of

Barbara Wand Seminar in Professional Ethics SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 21 the individual. Not everybody realizes that that's in 1 2 there, but it is. Or there's the risk of serious 3 bodily harm to the individual or another person, so 4 the bar is pretty high. And then we look at the standards, and 5 the standards require you to give access unless it's 6 prohibited by law or you're otherwise permitted to 7 refuse, and then it jumps back to the legislation. 8 So let's look at those concerns that a 9 10 member might have. They were trained never to provide 11 the file information to clients. Well, it doesn't 12 meet any of those -- there's no exception in the 13 legislation or the standards that would support that 14 training. 15 As you'll hear later, there are some 16 things related to the release of information where 17 that might be -- pieces of information related to this 18 that one could possibly argue that that would fit 19 with, but not the general case with providing 20 somebody's file. The client's vulnerable and it might 21 cause them distress. Well, it might, but the bar, as 22 I said, is very high: serious harm to the treatment or

Or, you know, that the information could be misunderstood and misused, and I guess, you

the recovery or serious bodily harm.

23

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 22

know, that jumps back to harm and what's the potential 1 2 harm of that misunderstanding or having the information misused. 3 4 Now, in many cases, an argument can be 5 made, but not always. 6 So, in a -- you know, in the general 7 case, you could -- you know, the next leg of the conversation might, you know, continue with "So it 8 9 looks like I may have to provide the record. 10 about my legitimate concerns that, you know, the information may be misused but it hasn't met, you 11 12 know, that very high threshold that the legislation's 13 put in place?" We ask, you know, could the risk be 14 15 mitigated somehow? Just because you can't refuse to 16 provide the information to another qualified 17 professional, you might try and encourage this. You

know, without misleading or somehow giving the indication that you're not allowed to but, you know, engaging in the discussion and saying it's in everybody's best interest that I release this to somebody who really will be able to answer questions and help the client navigate through this possibly difficult information.

2.

Page 23

Of course, you know, providing education about the risks of misunderstanding and misusing the information.

Now, those of you who, you know, often get involved in litigious cases know that that's not going to make everybody happy, and they'll just say, no, you're required to give it to us; give it to us. So you can provide a written warning about the risks that, you know, you can put as an addendum or, you know, at the -- you can insert it at the beginning and, you know, put it anywhere you think it might have some sway, and you can also think about whether there's anything that you can legitimately sever from the information so that you're giving them everything that, you know, they are entitled to but holding back a small piece that you might be able to sever.

If risks can't me mitigated and the legislation permits you to refuse to give the information, in specified circumstances PHIPA actually requires formal written notice that you're refusing a request in either whole or in part, and in some cases you have to provide a reason and also let the person know that they're entitled to make a complaint about the refusal of the information to the information privacy commissioner.

Page 24

So you may have to give them everything in the file or parts of things in the file, and then the next question that people ask is, "well, what about the raw test data?" And that's -- that is something that a lot of people scratch their heads about, and which we sometimes have to read carefully just to, you know, help navigate in that situation, because PHIPA, which most of you work under, actually has an exception to that right of access, specifically for raw data from standardized psychological tests or assessments. It also talks about being able to sever that and provide everything except that, so it's not an automatic right in legislation. Excuse me.

And, again, it's an exception to the requirement to provide. It's not a prohibition. And it only applies to PHIPA. It doesn't apply to PIPEDA, which a lot of you also work under, or any other pieces of privacy legislation. It's really a creature only of PHIPA.

So when this - you know, the question then becomes does PHIPA apply? And I -- and as I'm -- as I'm sort of spitting all this out quickly, I -- you know, I'm not and we're not expecting that everybody's going to remember all of this and when you get this difficult request that there will be a need to go

Page 25

1 | through this, and, you know, anybody who asks us to

 $\mid$  help walk you through, we're always happy to do that,

3 | but, you know, this is sort of a sample for you, you

know, all the sort of machinations and mental

5 gymnastics that sometimes have to occur.

independent medical examination.

So does PHIPA apply? So there's actually case law that speaks to this, and this is a Federal Court of Appeal decision that's a 2008 decision, but it's still applicable. It hasn't been overruled that we know of. And it has to do with a physician who -- where somebody was trying to get a physician's handwritten notes and made a request that was denied, and the arguments had to do with whether it was PHIPA or PIPEDA, and, you know, that stuff isn't so germane to this topic today, but really what came out of it -- a very sort of salient statement was the judge in this case said that it's common ground that PHIPA doesn't apply to doctors performing an

And that reasoning -- and again, we can't give you legal advice, but it's something that you should know about when you're faced with this, those of you who are doing, you know, independent examinations that aren't being done for the purpose of providing healthcare but you've been retained in the

Page 26 course of litigation to provide an opinion. So that's 1 2 one of the cases that sort of gives people, you know, 3 reason to scratch their heads. And then there's another one that's a 4 5 bit closer to home, and this was a decision by the 6 Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario, and 7 it had to do with a psychologist in Ontario who 8 received a request to correct a custody access assessment, and the Information Privacy Commissioner 9 10 Adjudicator said that the psychologist wasn't a health 11 information custodian when they did that custody and 12 access assessment, and because of that, they didn't make a decision about whether the information --13 14 whether the psychologist was required to correct the 15 record, and I don't know what ever happened to that 16 I don't think there's a case on the record case. 17 anywhere that we've been able to see that actually addressed it. 18 Whether the people gave up or the found some other mechanism, I don't know, but the request to 19 20 request a correction under PHIPA wasn't there so the complaint wasn't dealt with. 21 22 So again, the same thing. It was, you 23 know, a statement from an authority that PHIPA didn't 24 apply to this work.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 27

So, you know, this is a terrible problem because here you are faced with these requests. The legislation is very hard to read, and it's a bit of a patchwork, and you have to find yourself matching up sections back and forth and, you know, so you say do I really need to know definitevely whether PHIPA applies to this situation, and very fortunately -- and this is a conversation I have with other colleges, where they're faced with the same kinds of things, and we're all relieved that there's a great deal of overlap between the requirements of those -- those Acts, and for may purposes, you're probably safe by going with sort of the common denominators between those Acts, because the requirements are very similar. But with raw data, that's -- that's

But with raw data, that's -- that's another story, because raw data -- I wasn't around. I didn't follow the -- sort of the debate when that provision of PHIPA was -- was instituted, but our standards actually speak to that. They directly address it, so when the standards of professional conduct -- and I guess this was -- I mean, this was certainly well before the current one. It was -- I guess it was probably at least in the early 2000s or earlier, the College -- and then I guess counsel of

2.

the College put in place a standard that when reasonable and appropriate, raw data from standardized psychological tests and other test data must upon request and with proper authorization be released to clients and others.

So I've led you sort of down the garden path because this is often the -- pardon me -- the trail that people go down, but when you go -- you know, when you get right down to it, the standards actually tell you that when it's actually reasonable and appropriate you actually do need to provide the raw data.

The next question is how do I know if the request is reasonable and appropriate, and that's a really hard question, and it's a question that internally staff talk about, you know, and I would have loved to have been able to give you some good examples, but, you know, we -- it's a difficult one.

So there's no definition provided for reasonable and appropriate, and again you -- you know, what else can you really do but go to a dictionary, a dictionary that you request -- that you respect, and Oxford gives you, you know, something that leads you to want to have even more definitions of words, but is it fair and reasonable, and the example being no

Page 29 reasonable person could have objected; appropriate; is 1 2 it suitable or proper. Again, they define it in the 3 negative. This -- you know, "This isn't the appropriate time or place," is an example. 4 5 And, you know, it really does come down It comes down to if - if, you know, a 6 to that. 7 committee of your peers had to be convinced about whether it was reasonable and appropriate, could you 8 -- could you convince them? 9 10 And, you know, it has to do with, I 11 guess, with being able to provide a logical argument 12 that would take you from A to point B to point C 13 instead of from A to point E to point F. 14 You know, those of you who might be a 15 little bit like me and never really know what the 16 conclusion of what I'm writing is going to be until 17 I'm actually writing it, or I might think it's one thing and it's something completely different by the 18 19 time I get to the conclusion will recognize that it's 20 a useful exercise to actually map it out and say, 21 yeah, this makes sense, or maybe something else will 22 make more sense. 23 Again, it's also very hard to provide 24 examples, because no two cases are the same, and you

have to take into account all the circumstances.

Page 30 So, you know, examples, we had a hard 1 2 time internally coming up with examples. First of 3 all, you know, the reasons for requesting the 4 information, if you go down that route, you'll find 5 that it's not a relevant question. Somebody may be entitled to something and may have, you know, bizarre 6 7 or even outrageous reasons, but as long as it doesn't 8 hit any of those sort of risk factors or legal 9 exceptions, it doesn't matter why they want it. You know, we've already talked about, 10 11 you know, the statutory provisions, you know, and 12 risks and things like that. You could think about 13 things like, you know, did the request involve timelines that could be met? You might get some 14 15 unreasonable and outrageous timelines and just can't do it. Was the person willing to pay your costs? Which 16 17 may not be insignificant if you have to go through a 18 very large file and, you know, sort out what you can 19 give and can't give or what's dangerous and what 20 isn't. 21 So we're still -- I know this is a lot 22 of information. There's more to be said about release 23 of information, but if anybody has any burning

questions before I, you know, cause too much

24

Page 31 interference in your thoughts, you know, I'll take a 1 2 question on things so far or I can motor ahead. 3 Oh, okay. There's a microphone here which is really for the benefit -- it's a small room, 4 5 but for the benefit of the online people. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: In complaint 6 7 HA147, that psychologist denied a request, was that 8 his report or was he -9 It was his own report. DR. GANG: 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- and so he -11 he made the report and he saw something in it and then 12 he was not allowed to go back and correct it? 13 DR. GANG: No, from what I understand 14 and remember, it was the client who saw the report and 15 thought the psychologist got something wrong and 16 wanted it to be corrected, and for some reason that I 17 don't remember, the psychologist didn't think it would 18 be appropriate to make the correction that was 19 requested. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So it was the 21 psychologist who thought it was inappropriate to make 2.2 the correction? 23 DR. GANG: Correct. 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 25 DR. GANG: It wasn't a warranted

correction. Yeah. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay, so we can go to, you know, the next thing that -- we do get a fair number of -- there was a fairly recent spate of questions on this, and we don't at the College don't subscribe to the listserves. We don't watch what's going on. We figure that's your private space, and we don't, you know -but every once in a while people send us, you know, screen shots of it, and we do know there was a fair amount of activity somewhere about the copyright stuff and copying answer sheets, so, you know, the next sort of composite question might be, "Okay, I can't provide a reasonable rationale based on the specific circumstances of the case to refuse to give them the raw data. I guess I have to provide it with a strong warning and so on and suggest that they review it by a qualified professional -- with a qualified professional. Can I just simply photocopy the score sheets and send them?"

So I don't know how many of you have been sort of recently engaged in those discussions. There was enough activity on, you know, that we -- we sat down and thought, okay, enough people are struggling with this; we really should be looking at it.

Page 33

So, you know, there is a standard that, you know, addresses this to a certain extent, almost fully. So you are expected to protect the security of tests and respect test copyright. To this end, you should be able to distinguish between the data and the test materials. When reasonable and appropriate, it tells you what I just said, that, you know, raw data needs to be released and, you know, the material, such as test questions and stimuli and manuals, and protocols shouldn't be released except as required by law, and most of you would, you know, know that intuitively. You know, it would be inappropriate to put test integrity at risk by putting information out there that future test-takers shouldn't see.

The other difficult part of it, though, is that you are subject to the purchase agreements you've made with the people who see you those tests and to copyright law.

And we tried to assist, not as successfully as I would have liked to, to get information from some of the bigger test publishers that we could share with you. So I did send some letters out, or some messages out, and got a few responses, actually two. I tried.

Page 34

So -- and I did let them know that I would be sharing the responses with members of the College and they knew what my role was in asking the question.

So Western Psychological Services said that prior written permission isn't required if the sole purpose of sharing -- and you've got to watch the words here -- sharing an administered consumed protocol -- so something that has the answers already -- with somebody who has a legitimate need to know as defined by you in your professional judgement in accordance with the standards.

So, you know, their -- it's obvious that, you know, you would need their permission to be photocopying empty answer sheets, which you shouldn't be doing because those are copyrighted, and they do take care that they are only speaking about their own instruments, the things that they create, and not the instruments that they just distribute on behalf of other publishers.

Multi Health Systems' response to us that they said was okay to share with you, or that at least knew they knew we were going to share with you before they answered, was that the only time that they can allow -- they will allow copies of completing

Page 35

- 1 instruments to be made is in the exact circumstances
- 2 | you described. And, you know, the question I asked was
- 3 about copying or scanning completed score sheets to
- 4 | facilitate communication between qualified
- 5 | professionals who wish to share information about a
- 6 | client who's been assessed with one of your
- 7 instruments.
- 8 It's -- and they say it's okay to make
- 9 copies and share test results with another qualified
- 10 | professional, but those are the only times that they
- 11 | will permit it.
- We -- we -- one of our members who was
- 13 | involved in one of these situations shared a response
- 14 from PAR, and I haven't verified it, but they did
- 15 | share the response that I'm sharing with you, that --
- 16 | yeah, I guess the most clear thing they said was that
- 17 | when you choose to purchase copyright materials, as a
- 18 | condition of purchase you agree not to produce or
- 19 | adapt copyrighted materials in any way for any
- 20 | purpose.
- 21 | And I you know, different publishers
- 22 | are going to say different things, and, you know, so,
- 23 | you know, what do you do? Is this a question that we
- 24 | can't give you an answer to, because we don't
- 25 | ultimately control what the outcome is going to be.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, reproducing copyrighted materials could put 1 2 you at risk of action by the company for violating 3 copyright, and I think the only thing you can do --4 and it's not that onerous, I don't think, is when you 5 purchase a test, you should ask the customer service person -- one of the reasons I didn't get through to 6 7 some of these publishers was because I had to be a registered client. They have people who will answer 8 9 people who are purchasing tests, so you do have access 10 to customer service for anybody who's selling you 11 tests.

And I would make it part of your purchasing routine. Every time you buy something from a publisher for the first time -- and they're not -- there are not that many publishers, and you'll probably buy, you know, multiple tests from the same publishers -- is to query them and make -- you know, ask them the specific question about, you know, what to do when you get these kind of requests and keep those responses on file for future reference.

And there will be times when they will say no, and those are the times when unfortunately what you may have to do is actually take the raw answers and transcribe them, put them on a blank piece of paper.

Page 37 If you are contemplating copying them 1 2 anyway, I would suggest that you use -- you know, it's 3 a good thing that most people buy their professional 4 liability insurance through one of the professional 5 associations, and you're entitled to pro bono legal advice, and this would be a good reason to use that --6 that service, because if you are contemplating taking 7 a chance, it's a good idea to have a lawyer's opinion 8 9 in your back pocket and somebody will be able to say, 10 I don't think I'll be able to defend you if you do 11 that because there's no good defence. 12 So that's -- you know, that's, you 13 know, a pretty quick run-through of sort of the 14 release of information questions that we get over and 15 It didn't give you any clear yes/no/you can/you 16 can't answers but hopefully, you know, an idea of the kinds of -- the kind of a process to go through. 17 18 Does anybody have any questions before 19 we move on to the next sticky issue? The back? 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I actually have 21 The first one is that could you entertain the two. 22 idea of talking to your client's lawyer about these 23 things and just saying, hey, I know that under PHIPA I have to -- you know, I have to give you access to this 24 25 and this and this, and I'm more than happy to do so,

2.2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- and then put

23 that in the file?

24 DR. GANG: Sure. Yeah.

25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

Page 39 I mean, you can be sure if 1 DR. GANG: 2 there hasn't been an agreement on that, they won't --3 you know, they won't stop demanding, but yeah. 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just -- hi --5 just one more comment. Karen Cohen, Canadian Psychological Association. I think, you know, one of 6 the issues that -- that you didn't mention that I 7 think is an issue more importantly than running afoul 8 9 of any copyright law is what happens to validity and 10 reliability of tests when questions and answers get 11 out in the public domain. Likely not a huge threat, 12 you know, just disclosing one -- one record, but you 13 can buy WISCs and whatnot on eBay, and this a 14 challenge for the profession at large about these 15 getting out into the public domain. 16 DR. GANG: Yeah, I probably brushed 17 over that a little too quickly, and certainly whenever you believe that it's going to affect the integrity of 18 19 what you do, it's -- that might be one of those 20 situations in which it's reasonable and appropriate to 21 not provide the information. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Just to sort 23 of follow up on Dr. Cohen's question there, it's not 24 just about the protocols. The situation I've 25 encountered is someone in their report describing

Barbara Wand Seminar in Professional Ethics SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 40 effort measures in such detail that it would spoil it 1 2 for anyone who's read the report. I'm not sure what 3 my responsibly is there, but that is a big, big concern to me, and it's not just the protocols, 4 5 though, it's the report itself. Yeah. DR. GANG: I don't know if that was a 6 question, but it was useful information, because it's 7 something that's a struggle. 8 9 Okay, so let's go on to the next one. 10 We get a fair number of questions, especially, you 11 know, in the last year about cloud hosting of records 12 and communication and external vendors, and there are 13 some companies that a lot of Ontario psychologist and 14 psychological associations are -- are beginning to 15 use, and we get the, you know, question that sounds 16 something like "I'm considering signing up for an 17 online professional management system that stores my 18 records, schedules appointments, handles my billing, 19 allows me to communicate with clients. There are a 20 couple services I'm looking at and I wonder whether 21 you can make any recommendations to me." 22

So these are something that are definitely meeting a need and keeping a lot of people organized, and what you'll hear from us -- and I hope you can understand why we can't endorse or approve or

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

Page 41 prohibit the use of any particular vendors or 1 2 products, and we just -- we won't do that. You know, 3 it's just not something we can entertain doing. 4 You know, we start to answer the 5 question with looking at the legislation again, and in most cases it's PHIPA, and PHIPA talks about the 6 responsibilities of a health information custodian, 7 and that's you in most cases, and it says that you 8 9 remain responsible for any personal health information 10 collected, used, disclosed, retained or disposed by 11 the custodian's agents, and I -- my understanding is 12 that when you provide that information to a third 13 party and making that person an agent, you are still 14 responsible for the information. 15 And you look at the standards, and 16 there are a lot of standards that speak to the kinds 17 of things that these valuable vendors are going to be 18 doing for you. 19 You know, you are expected -- you know, 20 I read that to mean you are -- you know, the vendor is

acting on your behalf, but you are expected to practice according to all the statutes, regulations, standards, codes of ethics, etcetera, so you want to choose somebody who's going to actually be practicing in a way that is not going to put you offside.

Page 42 You know, I'm not going to go through 1 2 all of the standards, because there are a lot of them, 3 but, you know, you're required to ensure the security 4 of records kept in electronic form. You may not be 5 physically doing it, but you need to ensure it. 6 You know, making sure that they -- that 7 they have knowledge of the risks and have risk 8 mitigating strategies and all of that stuff, and that 9 they're going to ensure that the records are secure 10 and accessible for the entire retention period, and you all know how long that is, you know, making sure 11 12 that they're protected from loss or tampering. 13 You know, there's rules about transmission and disclosure. Those companies that are 14 15 going to be doing, you know, fees and filling, and 16 might have ancillary charges attached to the services 17 for collecting unpaid fees and things like that, you 18 need to make sure that whoever you're using, if 19 they're going to be handling your -- some of the 20 business aspects of your practice that they're doing 21 it in a way that you can stand behind. 22 "The vendor provides messaging with 23 client, but I've heard the client absolutely prohibits communication with" - or, pardon me, " -- the College 24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

absolutely prohibits communication with clients via

email or other electronic media."

We've heard that and it's fake news. I

say that it's actually fake news, but you might not -I don't know. I don't know how that works. But anyway, I mean, the message you'll get from us is that it's inappropriate to allow personal health information to be viewed by anyone under -- other than the intended recipients, and for that reason, anything that you send out, we think, you know, should be covered by end-to-end encryption, not just password protection, encryption, which means that somewhere during the route, the characters and, you know, letters get scrambled and then unscrambled so that if anybody actually hacks in, they won't be able to read it, so that only the sender and the intended recipient have the key that allows them -- you know, which is usually a password these days -- to access the information.

"I think the service is hosted in a foreign in a country. Would this be okay?" And there's been a lot of back and forth about that, and we've actually, you know, engaged with some of the vendors who've been talking to us about this, and our answer is, does the privacy legislation in wherever

the data's going to be stored or way it may be 1 2 transmitted through afford adequate privacy? 3 That's really all we can say. There's 4 a debate about whether service located in other 5 countries permit more unauthorized access or more lax, you know, usually through their law enforcement, than 6 we are in Ontario or Canada. 7 The question comes up "can I use a 8 9 system that stores data in the US?" 10 I think there's been a misunderstanding 11 that there was a rule against it. There isn't. 12 There's -- you know, the Information Privacy 13 Commissioner has come out and said there's no 14 legislative prohibition on this, that the risk of information held in the US is, you know, there's no 15 16 greater risk than having things in Canada because 17 Canada law enforcement agencies actually have 18 similarly robust powers to get information in Canada. 19 Agencies in Canada, the US, and other countries do 20 have the ability to reach across borders. 21 So you know, there was a lot of talk 2.2 about the Patriot Act. There's no real rule against 23 The Federal Privacy Commissioner, which applies 24 to the PIPEDA work that you do, but it's also

important to get the general principles from other

Page 45 credible organizations. PIPEDA doesn't prohibit it 1 2 What they do say is the transferring 3 organization is accountable for the information, so, 4 you know, ultimately the information that you provide 5 somewhere else that may end up somewhere is still 6 something you're accountable for, and that you have 7 protect it, and usually it's by means of whatever 8 contract you establish with those people, so you 9 really, really do have to work at establishing a 10 contract that you can be satisfied with. And -- and they go further to say that 11 12 you should be transparent about the -- the process 13 with whoever that information relates to. So this all got a little bit -- this 14 15 all was sort of thrown up in the air a little bit when 16 the Office of the Federal Privacy Commissioner made 17 findings in a case of an Equifax, you know, the credit 18 rating company. There was a complaint against them, 19 and, you know, people's social insurance numbers were 20 transmitted across borders and inadvertently, or 21 without -- you know, without adequate controls, I 22 quess, were -- were released, and that's, you know, a 23 really significant breach, and the Privacy

Commissioner of Canada found that they should have

Page 46

obtained expressed content from individuals before
sending such personal information to the US.

So they're now encouraging that if you're disclosing personal information across a border that you need to express -- get expressed consent and give individuals information about any options available to them if they don't want their information disclosed across borders. The message is that you're not relinquishing control if you give it to a third party. And there's a current stakeholder consultation that many of you may have seen where they're now serving stakeholders to revise their policy position.

So that's live, but just as I started to put the presentation together, I got something on one of the list serves that I subscribe to that even put that on hold, because there -- they're suspending this consultation because there's going to be a new digital Charter.

So it's really their -- and, you know, they're saying that their situation remains fluid, and they'll continue to monitor and provide updates -- this is the Privacy Commissioner -- and, you know, we'll do our best to do that also.

So all of that to say, you know, this is -- this is a dynamic situation and you need to do

Page 47 the best you can, and there are no absolutes in this, 1 2 and the best you can is the best you can, and, you 3 know, the simple way -- and it's a very simpleminded 4 thing, but it really is -- it's an analogous situation 5 to, you know -6 And, you know, this -- the -- I'm going 7 to give you some extremes. The situations you're in 8 are probably somewhere in the middle, but you need to 9 calibrate sort of where they are. You know, would you 10 leave your files in a cardboard box in the unlocked hall closet or would you buy a heavy gauge metal 11 12 filing cabinet with one of those high quality locks 13 and a key that you can't have copied for less than a 14 couple hundred bucks, you know, or -- or how close are 15 you going to be to either of those extremes or, you 16 know, are you going to drop a person's psychological 17 record in an unsealed envelope on an unattended desk 18 for pickup? It has happened. It could be picked up by 19 the wrong person, and that's happened too. Or would you use a bonded courier to deliver a sealed envelope 20 21 with signature required? 22 So, you know, where are you on that 23 spectrum when you're making these decisions, and how much confidence do you have in the company? 24

Page 48 You know, tax season just ended. 1 Ι 2 love the H&R Block ads. I've never used them, not 3 because I wouldn't, so I'm not endorsing them or -- or 4 recommending them, but I love their ads: "Your brother knows fantasy brackets, not tax brackets." You know, 5 6 "Kids coach knows T-Ball, not T4s," etcetera, 7 etcetera. "Your chef friend knows reductions, not deductions." 8 9 The College knows your standards, but 10 it doesn't know systems. We're not systems experts. We don't have the expertise. We don't have the 11 12 resources. Really, you know, we'll help the best we 13 can in terms of application of standards, but trust an 14 IT professional about, you know, IT security. 15 This is probably too small for you to 16 see, but it's -- it's just a screenshot of what the 17 Information and Privacy Commissioner put up just last 18 month about, you know, safeguarding records and 19 talking about taking reasonable steps to protect 20 personal information in your custody or control, and 21 it means not just in your custody; it's in your 22 control, you know, even through contract with an 23 external vendor. 24 And it talks about, you know, technical

Page 49 safeguards to protect electronic data. 1 The URL is at 2 the bottom of the sheet and if you can't read it here, 3 it's printed in the materials. I don't even understand what some of 4 5 this stuff means, but you want to make sure that if 6 you are going down the route of having, you know, your 7 client's personal, sensitive data handled by someone 8 else that you have people who understand this stuff who have, you know, the credibility to help you 9 10 through that. 11 So that's a lot, and there's not a huge 12 amount of time, but if -- if anybody has one question 13 even about this? The mic's not on. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh. Yeah. I'll 14 15 I'll try again. My question is if I'm receiving email 16 messages from people who are not clients but are 17 interested in becoming clients and I respond by saying please call this number because email is not 18 considered confidential, is that sufficient? Because 19 20 my understanding is these people are not yet clients. 21 DR. GANG: Right. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So I don't know 23 if other people struggle with that same question, but 24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 50

DR. GANG: So it's an interesting 1 2. thing, and, you know, using the issue of whether they 3 are a client is an important concept from the point of 4 view of the College standards, I guess, but when 5 they're providing personal health information, you're then the recipient of personal health information, and 6 I think you have some obligations with respect to, you 7 know, if not legal, ethical, moral obligations. 8 9 And, you know, it's a good idea to let

the person know. You can't control what people send you, and you're probably not going to block them, but it's a good idea to educate them and say, you know, I'm happy to hear from you. I'm concerned that you may be sending me information that somehow could get hacked, so, you know, happy to trade, you know, strictly administrative information, you know, try to contact you, here's my phone number, you know, maybe even appointment setting, maybe, but it's sort of getting into sort of touchy territory. Certainly anything that you put out shouldn't give information that a hacker or somebody who, you know, had unauthorized access to that person's Hotmail shouldn't see.

So, again, there's a lot of finicky judgement that goes into that.

Page 51 We all deal with that. 1 We -- you know, 2. we deal with it at the College also, you know, people 3 who -- members of the public who reach out to us, and, you know, the first thing we do is say, you know, this 4 5 is highly personal, sensitive information, you know. We'd much prefer to speak to you by telephone. 6 Ιf you'd prefer not to, you know, let's do password-7 protected documents. All you can do is your best. 8 9 So let's go on to the next one: Okav. 10 Providing services to outside jurisdictions. 11 said, we get a lot of questions from people now that, 12 you know, there's great technology that allows people to follow their clients when they go on a work term 13 14 somewhere, so the typical question might be "I've been working with a vulnerable client for several years. 15 16 They're going to spend six months working in New York. 17 I really think it's a good idea that they do this, but 18 they're not -- you know, they're vulnerable, and 19 they're reluctant to have -- you know, to discuss or 20 disclose, you know, a history of significant trauma. 21 I fear that the person will experience a setback in 22 our therapeutic relationship if this relationship is 23 disrupted, and it really shouldn't be necessary, 24 because they're coming back. Can I make myself available to her via secure video service?" 25

Page 52

And this is a question we can't answer. 1 2. We don't have -- you know, we don't have the 3 authority. Most jurisdictions consider it their duty 4 to protect individuals who are located in that 5 jurisdiction, so, you know, permission can only come from the place in most cases in which the client is 6 located when they're receiving the service. 7 Our position is if it's okay with them 8 9 and you're doing it ethically and in accordance with 10 the standards, it's fine with us, and that's the very 11 simple answer, but it's not the answer people are 12 looking for when, you know, we say the risk is to you 13 because you could end up being prosecuted in that 14 other jurisdiction for unauthorized practice. You don't want to do that. If it's a North American 15 16 jurisdiction, it's relatively easy. Just call them or 17 email them and find out, and many jurisdictions have provisions for this kind of thing. Many in Canada. 18 19 don't know proportionately whether there's many in the 20 US, but many jurisdictions do make such provisions. 21 And I'm going to just race ahead 22 because there are only a few minutes left. 23 Supervision of psychotherapy. Can someone please -- this is the question. "Can someone 24 25 please explain who I'm allowed to supervise?" And I

Page 53 hear that -- I hear that, and it's -- it's -- there's 1 2. a lot of information, and you're not all spending your 3 day following this really complicated debate. 4 The simple answer that I need to remind 5 people of, we need to remind people of: if it's not a controlled act, you can supervise anyone in anything 6 as long as you do it in accordance with the standards. 7 So you of course have to be competent 8 9 in the service. That goes without saying. But, you 10 know, it's up to you to determine whether the person 11 that you're going to be supervising has enough 12 knowledge, skills and competence to provide whatever 13 supervision you're going to provide, and, you know, 14 depending on how much knowledge, skill and competence, that will help you calibrate how much supervision you 15 16 need to do and whether or not you feel that you can 17 ethically do it. What about the Controlled Act of 18 19 Psychotherapy? And I thought I'd remind people who 20 weren't around when the debate that started around 21 2006, which is quite a long time ago now, when the 22 health professions -- I always forget what the R is, 23 and I checked before and I've forgotten again, but 24 HPRAC, which is the -- the arm's length body that 25 advises the government on professional regulation,

22

23

24

sticky.

Page 54

they did a consultation and produced a document called 1 2 New Directions, and what they pointed out and what they convinced the government of was that while the 3 4 consequences of substandard or negligent practice may 5 not always be obvious, survey data, disciplinary 6 cases, court actions, reviews of regulators, and 7 practitioners in their field, based on their experience, have revealed, I quess, a compelling case 8 that incidents of abusive and negligent behaviour with 9 10 serious consequences occur in the context of 11 psychotherapy. 12 So psychotherapy was marked as a risky 13 activity, and what came out of that was the inclusion 14 in the RHPA of a new Controlled Act, with is the 15 Controlled Act of Psychotherapy, and I emphasize 16 Controlled Act of Psychotherapy because not all things 17 which people refer to as psychotherapy meet the definition of the Controlled Act of Psychotherapy. 18 So 19 it's only those things that meet that definition where 20 the supervision issue becomes a bit either tricky or

I'm not sure what -- where that fits, but --

and there's a definition, and again, we have this

in the definition not defined.

problem in definition of having the -- all the words

Page 55 So, you know, it's treating -- okay. 1 2. So what does treating mean. That's going to be up to 3 you to determine. By means of a psychotherapy technique? What's a psychotherapy technique? That's up 4 5 to you to determine. And I know that the College of Psychotherapists is, you know, looking at defining 6 that, but it's an extremely challenging thing to do, 7 and I'm not sure, you know, how -- how that's going to 8 work out, but we think that it's a reasonable thing 9 10 for -- for all of you to be able to make a reasoned 11 argument about whether this is a psychotherapy 12 technique. 13 Delivered through a therapeutic 14 relationship. That's a little more helpful. you're talking about their being a relationship. 15 16 An individual's serious disorder of 17 thought, cognition, mood, etcetera. So what's 18 serious? And, you know, what's serious to one person 19 might not seem serious to another, but you are -- you have to decide what's serious and what -- you know, 20 21 what you could, you know, defend in terms of an 22 argument of serious, and then again, we meet the word 23 serious in the last part, which is that may serious --24 seriously impair that individual's judgement, insight, 25 etcetera.

Page 56 So, you know, that's -- this kept us 1 2. very busy for a very long time, and it still keeps us 3 busy, because people, you know, want help in figuring 4 this out, and this is something that ultimately -maybe eventually there will be some standard. 5 6 I quess I may skip ahead. I mean, you may be familiar with, you know, the actual Control 7 Act, and the recent regulatory amendment that gave a 8 bit of a window for there to be enough authorized 9 10 people, but we can -- we can deal with that if there's 11 time after. 12 But the message here is that 13 legislative interpretation can lead to multiple 14 understandings, and nobody's in a position to say which is the right one. It's not -- none of these 15 16 positions have been tested by either a college 17 discipline committee or the Health Professions Appeal 18 or Review Board or ultimately by the courts. 19 really it's a matter of coming up with a reasoned, 20 cogent argument for, you know, whether this is a case 21 that meets that definition, and that's as far as we 22 can take you. 23 And I know people push -- they push us 24 really -- you know, what about this, what about this? 25 My opinion on this, Rick's opinion on this -- I

Page 57 shouldn't say that. Rick -- I don't know whether he'd 1 2. agree with me, but, you know, any -- you know, Juli's 3 -- any -- we have no claim to know the right answer 4 about whether you're working with Mr. Smith and he's 5 complaining of x, y and z and this may, you know, impair him in certain ways, whether that meets the 6 definition. You're the ones that are going to have to 7 8 maybe, you know, take a paper and pen or, you know, 9 open up a page on Word and make that argument and see 10 whether, you know, it holds water for you. 11 So you -- most of you will have seen, 12 you know, the recent communication of the College 13 where we've -- we've, I guess, expanded our -- our 14 position, and -- and are acknowledging that perhaps 15 the definition we were working under was more 16 stringent than it needed to be, although, you know, 17 some will argue that it's still correct, but we are 18 saying now that you can supervise other regulated 19 professionals who then -- who themselves are 20 authorized to perform the controlled act. Mostly this 21 relates to registered psychotherapists and social 2.2 workers. 23 And we're saying that, you know, another look -- another way of looking at the -- the 24 25 legislation could permit you to do that, so we're not

going to stand in the way as long as you believe 1 2 supervision is necessary. There's always that 3 standard about not supervising only for the purpose of 4 facilitating third-party billing. 5 And, you know, that comes with a 6 reminder that when you're supervising, you are 7 accountable in that person who's receiving the service 8 is your client. 9 So I have the -- you know, sticky -- I 10 don't know if you use Goo Gone. Sometimes it works, sometimes it doesn't. Sometimes this information will 11 12 work for you. Sometimes it won't. But I don't know 13 whether you have any questions? Over here in the middle? 14 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi Barry. It's 16 Ouick question for you regarding psychotherapy 17 and within the Province of Ontario's move towards, you 18 know, improving access to services to their 19 structured, you know, psychotherapy program. 20 -- does the College have any concerns that the way the 21 Province is moving is going to dilute the definition 22 of psychotherapy and what psychotherapy actually is 23 compared to what the potential program is going to 24 look like?

25

SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 59 DR. GANG: Yeah. We haven't 1 2. specifically talked about that. I think -- yeah, I 3 mean, that's really all I can say is we don't -- we 4 don't have an opinion on that. You know, it's a 5 balance between access to services by, you know, qualified people. You know, we do believe that 6 services should be accessible, but we also very 7 strongly believe that it should only be by competent 8 9 people or supervised by competent people. So that's 10 about as much as I think -- I don't know Rick is -- is 11 there any more to say than that? 12 Okay. So we're at 10:20, which is No. the official break time. We have refreshments 13 14 outside. Unfortunately technology doesn't allow us to 15 feed, you know, the other 1800 or so people, but come 16 back in 15 minutes and -- and we'll continue. And if 17 you think of any questions, email us, please. 18 19 TRANSCRIPTIONIST'S NOTE: RECESS IS TAKEN. 20 21 I have the privilege and DR. GANG: 22 pleasure of introducing Rick, Dr. Morris, who is the 23 Registrar at the College. Probably doesn't need a lot

of introduction to most of you, but in case some of

you don't know him yet, Rick worked for many years

Page 60

before coming to the College in Children's Mental 1 2 Health, both as a direct psychological service 3 provider and in senior clinical administrative 4 positions. He frequently makes presentations to both 5 member and non-member groups in Ontario and beyond on a variety of professional practice topics. 6 He's the former chair of ACPRO, the Association of Canadian 7 Psychology Regulatory Organizations, the National 8 Association of Regulators of Psychology in Canada. 9 10 He's also served on many committees for the International Association of State and Provincial 11 12 Psychology Boards -- ASPPB, and has been named a 13 Fellow of that organization as well. Rick is the 14 recipient of the OPA Barbara Wand Award for Excellence in the area of Professional Ethics and Standards, and 15 16 without further ado, the tricky issues you've all been waiting for. 17 18 DR. MORRIS: Thank you. All right. 19 Good morning, everyone. It's really great to know 20 there are so many of our members, as Barry said, I 21 don't know how many of our members are watching this 22 by webinar, but it's also really nice to see so many 23 of our Ottawa members here in person. 24 One of the things I heard from a number 25 of people was, oh, this is great to have this event

Page 61 because we get to see colleagues that we never get to 1 2 see from year to year or time to time, and personally, for me it was really great, because I got to see a 3 4 number of Ottawa members that I hadn't seen in a long 5 time, and that was rally very pleasurable to do that. Just a quick note off script before I 6 start. At the break, Karen Cohen from CPA came up to 7 me and she was telling me that in terms of the 8 9 practice management software Barry was talking about, 10 due diligence around that. Apparently CPA is working 11 on practice management software, and they're going to 12 make it available as a customer service, so they're 13 going through all of the contract work and the due 14 diligence that Barry's suggesting that any individual 15 member might have to do if you're thinking about 16 contract -- or if you're thinking about practice 17 management software. So I guess the best thing I can do is 18 19 say stay tuned. Karen's hoping that this will be 20 ready by sometime this year, so maybe something to --21 to look at. 22 Tricky issues. Okav. Many of you have 23 seen my -- my rules for this -- interactive, and 24 that's not usually a problem. As you know that I usually provide a number of options and I like to let 25

Page 62

people know that more than one option may be a good option.

I don't restrict myself to one right and three or four wrong. I also don't assume that I necessarily have all of the answers, so if I give five options and somebody has a sixth one, that's great, and it may very well be a better one than -- than I have.

The fourth one is just kind of a silly one. Silence indicates everybody agrees with what everybody else said, so if only one person gives an answer and I don't hear from anybody else, I'm going to assume everybody else thinks that that really bad answer is actually the answer.

And the last one is that I certainly encourage guessing. Especially on the true and false ones, guessing is good and easy, because you have a fifty percent chance of being right, but certainly also asking questions, but as Barry said, due to our technology, it's really difficult, or impossible, for us to take questions from those who are viewing this online, so the people online have to rely on you in the room to ask whatever questions they're probably thinking of. So that's another obligation that you have in addition to this being interactive.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 63

Okay. So I want to go on to the first one, and this one is really I realized in watching Barry's presentation, this was really a test related to one of the things that Barry talked about, so we'll see how well you do.

So you received a letter from a Okay. client you haven't seen for nearly three years. it, she requests you send a copy of your clinical notes from her therapy to her lawyer. She explains that her lawyer believes the notes will be useful in assisting her in her current divorce and child custody proceedings. In reviewing the file you find the notes -- you find notes about possible alcohol and drug abuse and you're concerned this information will actually be more damaging than helpful. In this situation your best course of action would be -- what are you going to do: deny her request for the information unless required to do so by the courts in order to protect your client's best interests; speak with the lawyer about your concerns to ensure she understands the contents of the information requested and then release it if she wants it; contact the former client and discuss your concerns to ensure she understands the content of the information in her file and then abide by her wishes; require the client to

```
Page 64
     sign and send you a proper release of information form
 1
 2
     to ensure you have the right documentation of her
     consent to release the clinical notes; or send the
 3
 4
     information through a lawyer as requested without any
 5
     further consideration since clients are entitled to
 6
     request their clinical notes be released.
 7
                    What do you think, those of you in the
 8
     room? One, two, three, four, and/or five? Some maybe
 9
                    Three? And four?
10
                    So I've heard a lot of threes and
11
12
             Anybody like one, two or five? Two? Okay.
13
     Two, three, four? All right.
14
                    Anybody like one? So nobody likes one.
15
     Okay.
16
                    And anybody like five? Okay. All
17
     right.
18
                           I mean, number one is -- is --
                    Sure.
19
     I'm glad nobody picked number one, because as Barry
     described, the legislation is very clear that someone
20
21
     has the right to information. If somebody has the
22
     right to information, it would really be inappropriate
23
     for us to force them to go and get a court order to
     allow them to get what the law provides to them.
24
```

Page 65 The last one's interesting in that I 1 2 quess you probably could do that. It's probably not 3 good practice, but, I mean, I would not suggest it, 4 but there's probably not -- there's nothing illegal 5 about that. It's probably an unethical or problematic thing to do, but your client does have a right to the 6 whole file whether I review it or not or whether I'd 7 like to -- or I like the information, the client does 8 have the right. But certainly I would go with -- with 9 two, three and -- well, I'd go with two and three 10 11 without comment. Well, I can't say that. I'd have 12 some comments. 13 Certainly number three is fine, and 14 number two is fine, but it would be important to do 15 number three before you do number two. 16 I'm assuming everybody's just assuming 17 that that was the case. So you have your client's 18 consent when you speak to the client in number three to speak to the lawyer in -- as in number two as 19 20 opposed to just calling the lawyer up. That would not 21 be okay, because that would be a breach of her 22 confidentiality. 23 Number four is a -- is certainly an 24 okay answer. It's a best practice or -- it's a 25 practice kind of thing. It's certainly not required.

Page 66 There's no reason why you have to receive from this 1 2. client some kind of a special release of information 3 I think that in my -- you received a letter 4 from the client requesting it, and it may -- there's 5 no reason why you can't accept the letter as the official documentation of the request. You don't have 6 to hold things up by, you know, emailing a -- your own 7 fancy form to them and asking them to mail it back to 8 9 I mean, that's an unnecessary step. 10 You can do it if you want, but it's 11 certainly an unnecessary step. 12 Question over here. Caitlin's going to 13 bring a mic so that everybody can hear. Oh, one sec. 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I was wondering 15 if there is a difference if you know that the person 16 requesting it is a parent going through a -- a high-17 conflict divorce and it's actually for their child. 18 DR. MORRIS: I didn't catch the last 19 part. "And actually" --20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your client is 21 actually the child and it's a parent requesting --2.2 DR. MORRIS: The information. Right. 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- information 24 that you are either currently collecting --25 DR. MORRIS: Right.

Page 67 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- or collected 1 2. three years ago. 3 MORRIS: Well then the important DR. 4 thing -- I don't have a yes or no for that -- the 5 important thing is for you to ascertain who has the authority to request the information. Does the mother 6 have the authority? Does she have the authority solely 7 -- solely on her own or does she have to also have --8 you also have to have the -- the agreement of the 9 10 father in this highly conflictual thing, so, you know, 11 one of the things you have to sort out, but it's 12 really something that usually we -- is guite obvious, 13 but there are situations where one needs to figure 14 whose authority can you accept in whatever situation 15 it is. 16 So in your situation, you would have to 17 sort it out, I guess, is the best word, who can request the information, who has the right to the 18 19 information. And you might find that it's an 20 information about a fifteen year old client who might 21 decide it's a fifteen year old client that has the 22 right to request the information, and you're going to 23 write back to the mother in that kind of a scenario 24 and say, sorry, I can't release it on your request. 25 need the consent of your daughter.

Page 68

Yes? Other question?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: One thing that

-- that I'm questioning is when you protect your

client's best interests are you going against the best
interests of their children?

DR. MORRIS: That's an interesting question. I mean, you could be. If you feel that -- I mean, the reason I put number one in there, part of it, number one, was the court's piece, and that's unnecessary. The other one was while we have a -- I guess an obligation to protect their client's best interests, it's -- it's not up to us to protect their best interests against themselves.

You know, if the client understands what's there, in this particular scenario, information about drug and alcohol abuse but they still want it released, we may say and think to ourselves and even say to the client, are you sure, this is really a bad idea to get this information, you know, out there, but if they say yes, then it really is up to them to -- to decide, so -- but in your scenario it's a little bit different but I think, you know, we have -- we have an obligation to think about our client's interest, but we don't have the right to decide that we know better than they know because as, I've said in other

Page 69 presentations, you know, if a person is a capable 1 2. adult, then they get to make a mistake whether we --3 or do what we think may be a mistake, and as capable 4 adults, we get to make bad decisions. We may think this is a bad decision, but it's hers to make. 5 6 Cailyn, in the back? Or someone over here? 7 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So the case 9 referred to a psychologist writing in the file 10 "suspected drug and alcohol use." What I'm wondering 11 is why the psychologist in the first place didn't 12 actually consider that any of their files could 13 actually appear in a court of law, which means they 14 shouldn't be writing down speculations but actual 15 facts, anything that could be upheld in a court of 16 law, so I -- I think the ethical question actually 17 goes way back --18 DR. MORRIS: Sure. 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- to the file 20 itself. 21 DR. MORRIS: Sure. That's a good 22 question in terms of the way this imaginary 23 psychologist, psych associate kept their -- their 24 notes as to whether or not, you know, was it a fact? 25 Did they have data to say this was a fact and they put

Page 70 it in as a fact or was it a suspected thing, and, you 1 2 know, just something to be careful of when -- when 3 we're making notes in terms of whether the information 4 is actual fact that we have, because it -- if the 5 notes are compelled somehow, it will come across certainly as fact. It will come across with a lot of 6 7 weight if it's, you know, above the signature of a psychologist or psych associate and so it's important 8 9 to make that kind of distinction. I mean, I think we talk about often 10 11 when we get information, especially getting 12 information from the client about somebody else, it's 13 really important to make sure that the information 14 that you write down is clear that it's according to so 15 and so this and this has happened. We have no idea 16 whether it's true or not, but according to the client, 17 this is what it is. 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My comment is an 19 experience I had where my records were subpoenaed. 20 testified in court. And in terms of informed consent 21 for your client, to let them know that if the -- if 22 the record is released to the lawyers and you actually 23 go to court, it's public record, and later, after the 24 court case, I happened to be online -- I can't 25 remember what I was checking, but anyway, I found

```
Page 71
     under my name the entire file digital, and I don't
 1
 2.
     know that -- I didn't know that -- that the records --
 3
     I mean, I knew they would be accessible to the courts,
 4
     to the public, but now in the digital age, they are
 5
     online.
                                  Certainly, and except in,
 6
                    DR.
                         MORRIS:
 7
     like, family court situations. Family court
     situations are closed, but any other kind of
 8
 9
     situations are usually open to the public.
10
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
11
                    DR. MORRIS: I don't know who would
12
     have had access to it in order to have put it online.
13
     Maybe it was the record from the other side. I mean,
14
     maybe it was the client.
15
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And I quess my
16
     comment is -- or question, I wonder if -- if the
17
     College, if there's any way that we can prevent that
18
     kind of thing happening, even though it is access to
19
     the public, but that is quite serious.
20
                    DR. MORRIS: I don't know of any way
21
     that we could prevent it from happening.
                                                It's
22
     certainly something that one could raise with the
23
             It becomes a matter of what the judge decides
24
     in terms of who's going to have access to the
     information.
25
```

Page 72

I mean, when we are -- when we are providing -- or are asked to provide records and, you know, most members who are in that situation have a speech about privacy and confidentiality and that sort of thing, and including in there, you know, concerns about the information becoming too broadly -- I mean, distributed too broadly, making that kind of an argument, plea before the courts, but then it becomes up to the judge to decide whether, you know, all the information is going to be taken by the courts and made public or only some of it. I mean, that really becomes the judge's decision.

Okay. Let's move on to the next one:
mandatory reporting. A colleague of yours, also a
member of the College of Psychologists, confides in
you that he's been experiencing particularly strong
feelings of attraction towards one of his patients.
He denies he has acted on these thoughts but is
concerned that his feelings may overwhelm his better
judgement. In such a situation, what would you do in
terms of your colleague: advise your colleague to
terminate with the client immediately and to remind
her of the names of other practitioners she can
contact; inform the College as per the mandatory
reporting requirements of the RHPA but do not give the

```
name of the client, even if you know it, without
 1
 2.
     obtaining her written consent; leave it up to your
 3
     colleague to make the determination, I guess, whether
 4
     or not treatment should be ended and how soon; do not
 5
     make a mandatory report to the College unless you
     believe he has been sexually involved with the client
 6
     and is lying when he says nothing's happened between
 7
     them; maintain confidentiality and do not make a
 8
     report even if you believe there's been sexual
 9
10
     activity, as the RHPA provides an exception to --
11
     exemption to the mandatory reporting requirement to
12
     enable practitioners to seek guidance and advice from
13
     colleagues without fear of reprisal; or warn him that
14
     he may be on the path towards registration revocation
15
     and suggest he seek some counselling.
16
                    We're going to -- what advice do you
17
     have for your colleague in -- in this situation? One,
18
     two, three, four, five, six, or a creative seven?
19
                    We have a one, a four, and a six.
20
     Advise him to terminate immediately; don't make a
21
     report unless you believe that he's been lying to you;
22
     and warn him about registration revocation.
23
                    So we have a one, four, six.
                                                   Two,
24
     three and five?
                    So everyone -- this is that silence
25
```

or four or some reasonable amount of notice to the

25

Page 75 person, that really is -- would be appropriate, so I 1 2 would probably substitute number one for number three 3 and go with number three. That's really up to your 4 colleague to have some -- some professional judgement. 5 Nobody liked number two. Okay. Number 6 four, anybody like number four? Sure. I would think number four would -- would fit. I mean, there's no 7 mandatory reporting obligation for someone who you 8 don't have reasonable grounds to believe some sexual 9 10 activity took place. In this case, unless you think 11 that the person is lying to you, you wouldn't have 12 reasonable grounds to -- to report, so number four 13 would -- would probably work. 14 Number five is -- does not work. There 15 are no exemptions or exceptions in the RHPA, so that's 16 just a blanket statement. There are no exemptions. 17 So, you know, that's just the way it is. 18 And number six may be very -- may very 19 well be a good thing to advise your client, but not 20 only should they get counselling, but if they need 21 some motivation as to why they should get counselling, 22 you could talk about the path to registration 23 revocation, because if they do get sexually involved 24 with their client, that becomes an automatic. You 25 know, if one is found guilty of -- of sexual activity

Page 76 with a client, revocation is the only option if found 1 2. quilty that the discipline panel has. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [indiscernible] 4 consistent, because you can do both one and three. 5 What you're doing is offering advice. You're not -there's no mandatory thing. There's no mandatory 6 direction with advice, so I think one and three 7 8 actually go together very well. 9 MORRIS: So you're seeing one and DR. 10 three go together. Well, the first one you're saying 11 -- you're advising them to terminate immediately, so I quess you could be saying, my advice to you, if it 12 13 were me -- of course it wouldn't be me -- but if it were -- if it was me, I would drop the client. 14 15 would see them tomorrow or when they're next coming 16 in, or when they came in, that's it, here's a list of people you should be seeing. However, that's me and 17 18 that's my advice. You may want to consider whether 19 that works for you and whether you think that's 20 appropriate, because you know the client better than I 21 I don't know the client at all, so you know the do. 22 client, so yes, I could see it in terms of that, how 23 one and three could go together. 24 Okay. 25 True or false. These are the ones

Page 77

where you have the 50 percent chance of being right,

so if you're hesitating, go for a guess.

First, the Health Information

Protection Act now requires additional protection be given to clients' personal health information. Not only must files be stored in locked file cabinets, but these must now be both fireproof and waterproof. True or false?

Do I hear any truths? Oh, I hear a truth. I heard a true over here. I heard mostly falses. I would agree with false. PHIPA -- and I think Barry referred to this when he was talking about the emails. PHIPA doesn't say anything about the way in which you store your files. What PHIPA says, and what the College expects of members, is that you will take responsibility for the security of those files and you will do what you need to -- and you feel is appropriate for the security of the files.

I can tell you that -- this is a number of years ago, but after Katrina in New Orleans happened, those practitioners who were re-establishing their practices there, they got waterproof file cabinets, because they lost all of their files because they had, but really, most of us have those ones which are locked but they have, you know, water can get in.

24

25

SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 78 But there's nothing that says that -- I mean, it's a 1 2 matter of our taking responsibility for our -- our 3 files and being able to justify if there were a breach 4 somehow -- be able to justify the way in which we took 5 care of our files. So I've said facetiously in talking to 6 7 people about this, I mean, if you wanted to, you could store them in a Rubbermaid bin under your bed. 8 9 recommending that, but there's nothing saying that you 10 can't do that. What they're saying is that if you do 11 do that and then there's some kind of a breach, I hope 12 you can come up with a really good explanation as to 13 why that was a reasonable thing to do when you're 14 challenged on that particular decision. It's not a breach of confidentiality to 15 16 tell your client that you received an email about her 17 from her spouse. True or false? 18 True. I agree. It's true. 19 the -- the question about getting unsolicited 20 information from third parties about -- about a client 21 and what do we do with it? Well, you owe no duty of 22 confidentiality to that third party. They're not a

client of yours, and so any information you get, you

you know, it may very well be appropriate to speak

deal with it clinically as you think appropriate, and,

Page 79 with your client about this unsolicited email that you 1 2 received, even though the husband in the -- in the 3 subject line, the spouse said, you know this is private, confidential; don't share with her for sure, 4 5 da da da. None of that makes any difference. client is your client; the spouse is just, you know, 6 7 an interested third party. Recent changes to the RHPA now require 8 9 a member who declares personal bankruptcy to notify 10 the College and cease practicing until the matter is 11 resolved. True or false? 12 False? So we have three or four people 13 saying false, most people saying I have no idea. 14 RHP changed again and that's what they put in there? I like -- this one's false, just by the 15 16 I like putting in sort of the -- the legislation 17 or reference to a legislation because it makes it seem 18 that much more authoritative: the RHPA now says... 19 The RHPA says nothing about it. 20 nothing about -- there's no rules whatsoever about 21 personal bankruptcy and the College and that sort of 22 thing. 23 Due to risk of theft, the College 24 specifically prohibits storing confidential client 25 materials in the trunk of ones car. True or false?

Page 80 If that were true -- I've It's false. 1 2. said this before -- if that were true then many of the 3 people that do work for schoolboards where their 4 office is in their trunk and they do a lot of moving 5 from school to -- they would be in serious trouble. We do recommend that you not leave them there for long 6 periods of time, and as you heard, a number of years 7 8 ago, a physician -- researcher physician from one of 9 the University Avenue hospitals got in trouble because 10 they left the information in their van but the van, 11 you know, didn't have a trunk, and so there it was. 12 It was his -- he left his briefcase in the back seat 13 of his van -- locked van, and then somebody broke in 14 and stole -- stole it, so, you know, that's not a good idea, but a trunk of a car is reasonably safe because 15 16 nobody knows what's in there, but at the same time, I would suggest that it not be the permanent storage 17 18 place for confidential client information. 19 And the last one. It would not be a 20 breach of confidentiality for you to speak with your 21 lawyer about a lawsuit brought against you by your 2.2 client. 23 True? True. 24 Some people have the -- the idea that it would be a breach of confidentiality unless they 25

ask my client for permission. If your client is suing 1 2 you, there's a good chance they would not give you 3 permission. 4 So there are certain kinds of 5 exemptions like this. This is one of them. 6 So if the client's suing you, you can 7 certainly speak to your lawyer. We often will sometimes get a call from somebody saying that the 8 9 Canada Revenue Agency has -- is doing an audit of my 10 records, and that means that they want to see my 11 billing records, you know, but that's confidential 12 information; can I say no? 13 What I usually say is, well, you can 14 say no, but it's probably not going to get you very 15 The -- the most appropriate answer -- I can tell you that the CRA does have that kind of authority, but 16 17 the answer that we usually like to give because we 18 don't like to give legal advice, as Barry said, the 19 answer we usually give is you might want to ask them 20 for their authority to get this information. This is 21 confidential. And they should be able to then quote 22 for you or show you a section of the legislation that 23 says that they have the right to seal these things. So there certainly are situations like 24 Another one would be -- this talks about the 25 that.

Page 81

25

SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 82 Another one would be an accountant. If you 1 lawyer. 2 have an accountant, your accountant's going to know 3 who your clients are, your billing and your invoices 4 and all that sort of thing, and obviously, you know, 5 having -- being in the business of psychology, you have to be able to share that kind of information with 6 7 -- with your accountant. 8 Okav. Obligation to do an assessment. That's what I call this one. "I saw a client five 9 10 years ago once a week for eight months and then he 11 abruptly stopped coming. Although he had made some 12 progress, I was just as happy with this as I was 13 becoming increasingly fearful and uncomfortable seeing 14 him and had been contemplating referring him on. 15 have had no contact with him since then except for two 16 requests for information, which were promptly 17 provided. I was recently contacted by his lawyer as 18 he's before the courts on trial on charges related to 19 violence, and the lawyer wants me to provide a report 20 for the courts. I told the lawyer that I could only 21 offer five-year-old information, which I wasn't sure 22 would be very helpful. I suggested that since it had 23 been a long time since I saw the client, he really

should have a reassessment to speak to current issues.

The lawyer said that since I previously saw the

client, I had an obligation to conduct the 1 2. assessment." 3 Which of the following would be 4 as original treating psychological associate correct: 5 or psychologist, a lawyer -- the lawyer is correct in saying that she has an obligation to do the 6 reassessment; it would be inappropriate to prepare a 7 report speculating about the client's current 8 wellbeing based on the earlier treatment sessions; it 9 10 would be inappropriate to provide a report using 11 information that is at least five years old, any kind 12 of report; the psychological associate's previous 13 feelings of discomfort and fearfulness are reason 14 enough to refuse the request to refuse to undertake 15 the reassessment; although the psychological associate 16 felt that the client was becoming threatening towards her in the earlier sessions, at that time it would 17 have been professional misconduct for her to terminate 18 19 the services if he had not left treatment; or if the 20 psychological associate agreed to provide a report 21 related to the previous treatment, it would 22 appropriate to bill the client for this. 23 So there's a whole variety of -- of 24 issues stuck in here, but they're not all related to 25 one particular topic, so what do you think? In terms

Page 84 of our scenario, which ones of these would you say are 1 2 correct, or you could go the other way and which ones 3 are incorrect? 4 Two, three and four are incorrect or 5 correct? Two, three and four are correct? Six is also 6 correct? [indiscernible] 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, sorry. It's separate than using information to 8 9 speculate about his current well-being, but if they 10 were trying to create a life story puzzle that in fact 11 he did go to treatment at one time for these types of 12 issues, that could be appropriate for the whole legal 13 case, but you wouldn't be talking about what he's 14 currently doing; you're talking about what he did five 15 years ago. 16 So you're saying it would DR. MORRIS: 17 -- it's not just sort of a blanket no you can't write 18 a report about what happened in our sessions five 19 years ago? You're saying no --20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would say --21 yeah, you could be -- you could write about it, and I 22 think people very often do. 23 DR. Okay. All right. MORRIS: Well. 24 just running down them, and certainly number one is 25 wrong. Whether it's this situation or another, I

Page 85

mean, you have no obligation to provide service to anybody. Once you do agree to provide service, you've taken on a number of obligations and responsibilities, but prior to that point, generally speaking, client coming to us is voluntary; our taking them on is voluntary, and there may be reasons why you'll take some clients on and reasons why you won't take other clients on, so there's nothing that suggests that you have any kind of obligation in this situation or another situation to take on a -- a client.

I agree that number two, it would be inappropriate to prepare something about how a person — to guess how the person's doing now based on information that's five years old, but as you said in terms of number three, there's nothing wrong with providing information that's five years old, and maybe a report on treatment progress at that time. Really important to make sure that that's clearly laid out in the report, that it's indicated right at the beginning that, you know, this is provided for historical purposes only or something like that so there's no misunderstanding that this is somehow related to our — to current functioning.

Number four is true, but you really don't need a reason. I mean, that's a good -- that

Barbara Wand Seminar in Professional Ethics SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 86 may be the individual's reason for not wanting to do 1 2 it because she doesn't want to get back involved with 3 a client, but doesn't really need a reason other than 4 I don't want to do it. What about number five? Different topic 5 -- little different. "Although the psychological 6 associate felt the client was becoming threatening 7 towards her in earlier sessions, it would have been 8 professional misconduct for her to terminate services 9 10 if he hadn't decided to leave on his own." True or --11 is that right or wrong? 12 It is false. It is -- it would not 13 have been professional misconduct. It would have been 14 okav to do. Yeah. Yeah. It does. 15 If you look down Section 8 of the 16 Professional Misconduct Regulation, it talks about 17 termination and it talks about the things that you need to take into consideration, things like 18 19 reasonable notice and those kinds of things, but 20 there's one very clearly that says that, you know, you 21 can terminate with someone in -- if there's a personal

danger, if there's a concern about your own wellbeing,

occupational hazard that you can't do anything about.

and you don't -- you don't have to accept seeing a

violent or a potentially violent person as an

22

23

24

25

Page 87 And number six? Sure. No reason why we 1 2. shouldn't be able to get paid for the work that we do, 3 and if this was the report we talked about in number three, whatever would seem a reasonable time to -- to 4 5 take into the bill, why not, or to put it together, why not? 6 7 True or false -- oh. Question in the back? Back right. 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Just a related 10 Is it appropriate to bill for reviewing question. 11 case files if you're going to be testifying? 12 MORRIS: So if you're going to be DR. 13 -- if you're going to provide the report and it's 14 going to take you three hours to review the file in 15 order to write the report, I think it's all legitimate 16 time it could be billed for. Certainly, I mean, what 17 you want to do is get a sense of what that's going to 18 In this case let the lawyer know that I'm willing -- this is what I'm willing to provide, information 19 20 about what happened back then, nothing about now. 21 It's going to take me about this much time to do it 22 and this much time to write the report. It's going to 23 cost the client this much. And let them decide if they -- if it's -- the lawyer would then decide 24 25 whether it's worth it or not, really, from a -- in

terms of the use of the information. 1 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, thanks. Μv 3 strong advice is to bill the lawyer. Make it clear to 4 the lawyer at the beginning. The lawyer, depending on 5 whether it's a defence or a plaintiff lawyer, will pass the expense on to the client, but for a lot of 6 reasons you're more likely to get paid and -- and it's 7 not between me and the client, it's not a request from 8 9 the client, it's a request from the lawyer. 10 DR. MORRIS: Right. There's some --11 some professional practice advice. Business --12 professional business practice advice. Right. Okay. 13 True or false: it is inappropriate to 14 accept an invitation to a client's special family 15 event due to dual relationship and confidentiality 16 True or false? issues. 17 Inappropriate. Anybody think True. 18 it's not -- anyone say false? So we have a -- we have an "it 19 20 depends," so I'm going to interpret "it depends" as a 21 false because you're saying that it may not be 22 inappropriate in some circumstances. Correct. 23 A question or a comment in --? 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think there's 25 cultural issues involved as well. You know, for some

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 89

cultures a refusal can damage the relationship and the context is very different.

DR. MORRIS: Okay. So there's a reason -- something to take into consideration if you are going to decide that this is something you're going to do or not do.

The reason I use this -- I have a whole -- a whole scenario with a bunch of things that I What's important here, and the message often use. that I'd like to give people is -- and especially early career people is they'll -- often when I'm doing this for the early career members or -- or students, they'll often immediately go with "can't do it, never, won't do it, inappropriate," and my suggestion is please don't make that kind of a blanket rule, because you don't know what's going to come up. You can have a leaning: my leaning is for the most part I'm not going to get involved in family situations or family events, you know, nice ones like weddings and stuff or graduations or more sad ones like funerals and that sort of thing, but don't make yourself a rule because it will come back to haunt you, but the important thing is that it's not necessarily inappropriate. have to consider the client. You've got to consider the event. You've got to consider a whole bunch of

Page 90 things, I mean, and some of these you have to think 1 2. about it in that is, well, if you accept it because --3 the invitation because it's a -- an appropriate thing to do, then you've got to start thinking about, well, 4 what about confidentiality issues and how am I going 5 to handle that? Those are kind of logistical things to 6 7 worry about if you feel it's really important to 8 attend the event. 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Having lived in 10 a small community, I'd also say it's impossible to 11 practice in a small community and not be at events 12 where a client might be, so I considered this a 13 Toronto-centric kind of question. 14 DR. MORRIS: What about an Ottawa-15 centric? Why do you pick on Toronto? You know? I mean, 16 I don't think -- when you said "small community," you 17 weren't referring to Ottawa, were you? Okay. 18 I mean, what about -- we can talk about 19 Ottawa and London and Toronto. I'm willing to do 20 that. 21 I mean, I certainly -- when you Yeah. 22 start talking about dual relationships and you start 23 talking about small communities, it's a whole other 24 conversation, but it certainly happens all the time. 25 Yes?

Page 91

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 1 I think 2 irrespective of whether the community's a big 3 community or a small community, perhaps the decisions 4 made in the context of what's in the best interest of 5 the client and the professional judgement that you're making with respect to -- to that, and it is your 6 judgement, right, because it's our responsibility to 7 manage the relationship and prevent, you know, harm to 8 the client by making a decision that maybe the 9 10 client's not able to make themselves. DR. Right. So you want to go 11 MORRIS: 12 with the best interest of the client, and the other 13 thing that goes along with this is you don't have to 14 worry about inconsistency in that you can decide the particular event with a client is one that you decide 15 16 not to attend but a similar -- a similar event with a 17 different client it's important to attend, and you're 18 keeping the client in mind and keeping your 19 relationship in mind, so it's not a matter of, well, I 20 wish I could go but, you know, two weeks ago I told a 21 client no in the same situation so I can't do it for 22 this client. Those kind of rules don't apply. 23 Okay. Number three. One must 24 carefully consider the impact on the client before deciding whether to tell her that the USB containing 25

25

SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 92 her psychological report's been lost. 1 True or false? 2 Did I skip one? All right. We'll come 3 back to that one since I already read number three. 4 Number three. We have to carefully consider the 5 impact. What does PHIPA tell us? 6 False? We have to consider the impact and forget the "carefully"? 7 8 I quess from a -- from a best practice 9 point of view it's a good idea to carefully consider 10 the impact, but that can't come into your decision 11 making because there is no decision to be made. The 12 legislation says clients have the right to know if 13 their information has been lost, stolen, or accessed 14 by someone who is not authorized to have it. 15 And it's important to keep in mind when 16 we talk about -- and, you know, Barry was talking 17 about PHIPA and client. It's important to remember 18 that that's also us. You know, we think of ourselves 19 as the practitioner and then there's the client, but 20 in most other -- most -- many circumstances, were the 21 client or were the patient -- whether it's a family 22 physician or a pharmacist or our OT or PT or chiro, 23 whatever it might be, they have our personal health

information, and so these rules on the practitioners

are protections for us when we're the client, not just

```
Page 93
     us sort of trying to -- having to do certain things
 1
 2.
     for our clients.
 3
                    So I would certainly want to know if my
     family physician was carrying around my file and it
 4
 5
     got lost. I mean, I would certainly want to know
     that. I don't know what I would do about it other
 6
     than be upset, but I would want to know. I think I
 7
     have a right to know that, and the legislation says
 8
 9
     yes, I would have a right to know that information.
10
                    Number two: it is permissible to
11
     include a logo, motto, slogan or picture on letterhead
12
     or an advertising of practice.
13
                    True? True but be careful?
14
                    Generally true?
15
                    Okay. Sure. The -- the rules -- the
16
     rules -- although a lot of people feel that there are
17
     very restrictive rules around advertising, the main
     one -- and I don't think it's too restrictive -- is
18
19
     that it should be -- it has to be -- it has to be
20
     accurate. So a motto that says "we cure all," not
21
     okay, but some other motto might work, or some other
22
     slogan for your practice if you want to put a slogan
23
     on, that's fine. But, you know, it has to be -- it
24
     has to be an accurate kind of thing.
```

It can't -- we hope that it's

Page 94 professional, you know? I mean, it shouldn't be tacky, 1 2. but there's no rule against tacky, so if you want to 3 have your letterhead or your slogan be tacky, I guess 4 We like to think that it will be 5 professional, but certainly if you want to have -- if you have a logo or a motto or a slogan, if you want to 6 7 put your own picture on the letterhead, certainly a lot of people have their pictures up on their 8 9 websites, and here are the people in our practice, 10 quite common to do that. 11 And so there's no -- there are very few 12 restrictions really when it comes to -- to advertising 13 ones practice. 14 Number four: a summons to witness and 15 produce all notes, recordings, and documents in your 16 possess includes not only the formal file but also 17 tapes of sessions, rough session notes, and other 18 materials not routinely kept in the main file. 19 or false? 20 True? 21 Any brave person out there want to say 22 false? Oh, we have a couple of you saying false. 23 couple people saying false. Did you have a question 24 before --? 25 We have a question. She's bringing the

Page 95 microphone. 1 2. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would say 3 false for the rough session notes. DR. MORRIS: Rough session notes? 4 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think those should always be interpreted notes. 6 DR. MORRIS: So those should not be 7 included in the information that you would provide in 8 9 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So the tapes of 11 sessions, well, I don't know, but no. But rough 12 session notes, no. 13 DR. MORRIS: Not the rough session 14 notes, so you'd go along with, you know, the tapes of 15 sessions, other materials, that kind of thing, but the 16 rough sessions notes that aren't -- where -- where 17 would they keep them? Some people have a formal file 18 over here and they have the rough session notes that 19 they're going to transcribe and make all nice and 20 neat, and they're still -- they're still on their desk 21 or in their drawer. They have not yet been filed into 22 their, you know, fancy file, or they're still on paper 23 and they haven't yet been typed into the digital or 24 electronic file. 25 So very often you have these various

```
Page 96
     sessions.
 1
 2.
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Maybe I wasn't
 3
     paying attention earlier -- sorry, Barry -- but you
     know, if -- if -- do you have to report -- you know,
 4
 5
     for copyright material, you know, is that included as
     well --
 6
 7
                    DR. MORRIS: So you're asking --
 8
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- to the court?
 9
                    DR. MORRIS: So Barry was talking about
10
     the copyrighted material like the risk form and all of
11
     that.
12
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right.
13
                    DR. MORRIS: And -- okay. My
14
     understanding, and here once again I'll say, I'll give
15
     you my legal advice, because you're getting legal
16
     advice from a psychologist --
17
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                             Okay.
18
                    DR. MORRIS: -- keep that in mind.
19
     You're not getting the advice of the lawyer -- is that
20
     if you get a summons from the court and the court says
     to you, and the wording is usually bring with you all
21
22
     notes, documents -- notes, recording, and documents in
23
     your possession, then --
24
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Bring.
                    DR. MORRIS: -- the courts get really
25
```

Page 97 unhappy if you decide to interpret what you think 1 2 "all" means rather than letting the courts decide what 3 "all" means. So I would say "yes." 4 Now, if you speak to the test 5 publishers, they'll give you a whole routine that they would like you to follow with respect to how to handle 6 the raw test data, and, you know, some of it is 7 information that Barry presented, because they would 8 9 like you to do what you can to protect it, and there's 10 nothing wrong with that, but the bottom line is if the 11 court says turn it all over to us, I want it all, the 12 judge says I want it all, then that's an order of the 13 court, and the College would suggest that you go along 14 with that. 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I suggest a 16 variant of that? If it's not a summons or a subpoena but a court order where there's a request of 17 18 information from, you know, a parent or, you know --19 you know, but it's within a court order, is it then 20 still --21 There are a number of --DR. MORRIS: 2.2 there are a number of legal vehicles which have the 23 authority to get things without consent and get 24 everything, so certainly a summons, a subpoena is one.

The coroner's warrant. The coroner in the province

has a lot of power, so a coroner's warrant.

A search and seizure warrants, so a -a police officer could come to your office with a
search and seizure warrant, and all these things have
to be -- have to be signed off by a judge. A search
and seizure warrant that says they have the right to
search your premises and seize anything related to
whatever it says there.

And a court order is just that. A court order is an order of the court saying to you turn this information over to somebody or other, so any time it's an official court order, then, yes, you have an obligation to -- to appeal, or to -- to comply.

One of the things that with a summons to witness, you could be surprised. You know, some big summons service shows up at your office and says, oh, you're Rick Morris? Here. You've been served. So it comes as a surprise. You shouldn't be surprised by a court order, because in order to get a court order, the lawyer that wants it has to serve notice that they're going to bring a motion before the courts to get this -- to get this court order. You have to be -- have to be told that this motion is being brought forward, when it's going to happen, and you can go and

you can argue it if you want, so you can argue against 1 2. the court order. 3 It would be -- you shouldn't be 4 surprised by somebody just showing up and saying here 5 is the court order. Search and seizure warrants are 6 different. Coroner -- coroner -- you don't get a 7 8 choice on those. But a court order you shouldn't be 9 surprised when it comes unless you didn't watch your 10 mail or whatever. Okay? 11 Last one: according to the Personal 12 Health Information Protection Act of 2004, consent 13 from a client to access their record must be obtained 14 in writing. True or false? 15 I heard generally -- generally falses? 16 Yeah. It's false. There's nothing in 17 PHIPA that says anything about in writing or not in 18 You can certainly accept a -- a verbal writing. 19 consent. You would obviously want to document it for 20 good practice that you received this phone call. 21 And you could even ask them would they 22 mind sending you something in writing, but there's 23 nothing in PHIPA that requires it. And actually when 24 I look at -- look through legislation, the only place 25 where I've seen a requirement for written consent is

Barbara Wand Seminar in Professional Ethics SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 100 if you want to -- if you want -- wanted to include the 1 2 name of a client in a mandatory report to the College. 3 The legislation specifically says in 4 the CRHPA you need the written consent of the client. 5 That's the only place I've seen in the legislation where the words "written" go along with consent, 6 otherwise it's just good practice, something that we 7 We get written consent, because you want to have 8 the documentation, but there's nothing that requires 9 10 that of us legislatively. 11 Reporting colleague. You share Okay. 12 office space, admin staff, photocopier, or Internet, 13 etcetera with a colleague. You also sometimes share 14 referrals as appropriate for client needs. 15 you overheard the admin staff telling your colleague's 16 client that Dr. Jones will sign an invoice for service 17 in your husband's name if that will help you get more 18 insurance coverage. When you asked your client [sic] 19 about this, he boasts I do whatever I can to ensure my 20 clients get insurance reimbursement. It's in my 21 client's best interest, and the insurers never check. 22 You believe your client [sic] is acting in a manner

that constitutes professional misconduct and decide to

In this situation, which of the following

end your association and move to your own office

23

24

25

space.

under the RHPA, you're required to 1 would be true: 2 report your colleague to the College as you 3 discontinue your association with him for reasons of 4 professional misconduct; or under the Insurance Act, 5 you have an obligation to inform the various insurers with whom you work of the reasons for dissolving your 6 association but only provide a description of the 7 concern and not include the client's name without 8 9 written consent; having spoken with your colleague and 10 raised your concerns, you fulfil your ethical 11 obligations under the CPA Code of Ethics 244 and need 12 not take it further; you believe the matter is one of 13 insurance fraud and therefore are obliged to inform 14 the police; and/or the RHPA does not require one 15 member of the College to report another member for 16 suspected professional misconduct, incapacity or 17 incompetence. What am I going to do with these? Which 18 19 ones do you think are true or false? Yes or no? 20 One and five are true? We have a one 21 and -- we have a vote for one and five. And a three, 22 three referring to the CPA code? So I have one, three, 23 five on the table. Anybody want to add two and four? 24 Going once. Okay. So just going with two and four, 25

Page 102 although it says under the Insurance Act, that's just 1 2. a -- that's trying to sucker people in. You have no 3 obligation to inform the insurance company about this, 4 and similarly you have no obligation to inform the 5 police. 6 You could decide you want to tell the police. 7 I mean, you could always do that. You have to be very careful of confidentiality in terms of 8 information, but there's no -- there's no obligation 9 10 regarding any criminal activity, insurance fraud or 11 anything else. We have no obligation to report to the 12 police. So two and four don't work. 13 Number one is what the RHPA says. 14 RHPA says if you discontinue an association with a 15 colleague, if you're a supervisor and you restrict the 16 activities of a colleague, if you terminate a 17 colleague or a member -- I keep saying "colleague." If 18 you terminate a member from employment for reasons of professional misconduct or incompetence, that requires 19 20 then that -- that triggers a mandatory report to the 21 College. 22 The reason that -- and number -- so 23 that's two. Number three, this is a place where, you 24 know, if you look at the hierarchy that Barry talked 25 about in his hierarchy of obligations, this is where

Barbara Wand Seminar in Professional Ethics SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 103 the -- the legislation, which is the RHPA, would trump 1 2 the code of ethics. If you go down the legislation, 3 regulation, the College CPA Code, I mean, the Code 4 does say that your first thing you should do is speak 5 with your client -- with your member, but even if you speak with them, if you still decide that you're going 6 to dissolve your partnership or your association, you 7 still have an obligation, so number three, the CPA 8 Code doesn't really enter into it. 9 10 Some people might think there's an 11 inconsistency between number one and number five, and 12 it was said that they can't both be true because the 13 first one says yes, you're required to report your 14 colleague, and the second one -- and the last one says 15 the RHP doesn't require you to report your colleague. 16 In this situation, they're both true. 17 As a general rule, we do not have an obligation to 18 report suspected professional misconduct, incapacity, 19 or incompetence, but a member takes on a different 20 situation -- it becomes a different situation if there 21 are certain things that -- that you've done which 22 trigger the response.

So in the first one, what triggered the -- what triggered the mandatory reporting obligation is the fact that you actually split from the practice.

23

24

25

Page 104

1 If you decided to stick it out and didn't do anything,
2 you would not have an obligation to report, so it's

3 the actual trigger of discontinuing your association

4 with the person that triggers the report.

Or if you terminate someone, or if you were going to terminate someone or if you -- they're working in your practice and you put some restrictions on what they were doing for reasons of incompetence, professional -- so it needs a trigger, so it's the trigger that requires us to report about another member and without that trigger we don't have the obligation. If you decide to put up with it and turn your -- you know, turn a blind eye to it, you have no obligation.

Okay? Okay.

True or false: PHIPA requires that in the case of long-term clients, one must reaffirm their consent to speak to you with their family physician — this is supposed to say at least annually. True or false? What's your obligation with respect to reaffirming or reconfirming consent, we have to speak with a family physician or — or someone else about a client?

This suggests that PHIPA requires that this has to be done at least annually if not more

25

you have a moral obligation?

Page 105

1 regularly. 2 False, false, false. PHIPA doesn't say 3 anything about it. There's nothing in PHIPA about 4 timelines. What PHIPA says is you have to have the 5 client's informed consent, and as long as you believe that the consent that your received a year ago or 6 whenever it was, as long as you believe that's still 7 informed consent, then you can go on it. You could 8 9 find that consent that you have, it becomes valid one 10 week -- it becomes invalid one week later just a 11 because situation changes and you're thinking, I'm not 12 sure I still have informed consent so I better check 13 it out, so it could be any -- any timeframe in there, 14 but there's nothing to say you have to go back to the 15 client and say our year's up, we'll have an 16 anniversary party, and we'll also reconfirm this. 17 Yes? A question? A comment? 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sorry to do 19 this, but I wanted to go back to the previous 20 question. Would you say you have a moral obligation 21 to report the fraudulent action? Maybe you don't have 22 a legal obligation to report the colleague who's 23 defrauding the insurance company, but would you say

DR. MORRIS: I quess that depends on

24

25

SEMINAR on June 12, 2019 Page 106 the -- the individual in terms of whether or not --1 2 how strongly they feel about the activity that the 3 individual's doing and their -- our felt need to do 4 something about it, so it's really hard for me to --5 to tell you whether you have a moral obligation to 6 that. Certainly if one member knows some --7 another member is, you know, doing -- doing things 8 they shouldn't be doing, professional misconduct or 9 10 providing a service and they're really aren't 11 competent to do that and are doing harm, then we would 12 certainly like to know. At same time, the member has 13 to decide -- each member has to decide if that's a 14 step they want to take, and there's nothing that says 15 one has to -- can or can't. 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okav. 17 DR. MORRIS: Okay. We've decided number one was false. We'll have to reconfirm. 18 19 reconfirm whenever you get the concern that it's not 20 an informed consent anymore. That's when you have to 21 confirm. 22 A deceased client's record may only be

released through a court order coroner's warrant or some other legal vehicle. True or false? True? True? True. True. False.

25

Page 107 And the correct answer is false. 1 This 2 one makes a lot of people crazy because the deceased 3 client's record, the access to the deceased client's record moves to the legal representative of the 4 5 estate, and they then take on the -- the power, I quess, or the authority that the client would have had 6 when the client was alive. 7 Some people are very concerned about 8 that because they don't want to provide the 9 10 information to somebody and they know the client would 11 be upset if -- if somebody else in the family who is 12 the legal representative of the estate received it, 13 but PHIPA makes it very clear that the legal 14 representative of the estate in the same way that the banks will look to an executor, so a court order is 15 16 not the way to go about it. 17 And actually, you could have the experience that if you -- this is similar to the 18 19 earlier one when we had a court order situation. Ιf 20 you force the client to go to court and get a court 21 order, the judge may very well look and say you put 22 this client to all of this additional expense, the 23 lawyer and everything else, when it was totally

unnecessary because the law is very clear they have --

they -- this personal representative of the estate has

Page 108

the right to this. Therefore, Dr. Morris, I'm going
to award costs for their trouble and their lawyers and
everything else, court costs against you.

So number three: clients cannot be guaranteed the privacy of clinical information as the courts may not respect the confidential relationship between therapist and client. True or false?

True. Yeah. And that's why when you're doing someone's (ph) confidentiality you have -- you start off by saying, you know, everything that happens and transpires between us is strictly confidential between you and I except -- and then you pull out this long list and you start reading down this long list of exceptions, and one of them, as you know, is the courts. The courts may very well want you to provide information that was part of the confidential relationship.

If a supervisor describes his reasonable grounds to suspect child abuse, the obligation to report now rests with you rather than with him. So you have a supervisee. Supervisee tells you about this child abuse suspicion that they have. This basically says the obligation now transfers to you as the supervisor as opposed to them having obligation.

Page 109

True or false? 1 2 Technically false. This is suggesting 3 that it now rests with you rather than the supervisee. 4 Okay. So this is -- as its written, this 5 6 would be false because every person who has reasonable grounds to suspect has the obligation and you can't 7 delegate that to somebody else. So even though your 8 9 supervisee has brought it to you and explained it to you, that doesn't put him or her -- or get him or her 10 11 off the hook. Having provided you with this 12 information, you may very well now have a reasonable grounds to suspect, in which case you also would have 13 14 reported obligation, so the two of you would have a 15 reporting obligation as opposed to them being able to 16 sort of say, well, I told my supervisor about it; I 17 don't have to deal with it anymore; I'll let her worry about it. It doesn't work like that. 18 19 A principal may disclose the 20 psychological assessment in the OSR without consulting 21 with the psychological associate who conducted the 2.2 True or false? assessment. 23 I hear all the people out in the 24 webinars from all the different school boards where

they're all meeting as a group yelling, "True, true,

Page 110 1 true." 2. It is definitely true. The OSR Yeah. 3 belongs to the -- to the school, belongs to the 4 principal, and it's up to the principal to determine what gets released and what doesn't from the OSR, and 5 that would include psychological reports. 6 7 Okay. A colleague tells you about the following situation with which he's struggling. 8 9 client showed him a text he received from a friend. 10 The text clearly threatened that he planned to 11 seriously harm another person who was named. Although 12 the client didn't really believe his friend would follow through with the threat, the psychologist is 13 14 wondering if he has a duty to warn. The client is 15 very clear he doesn't want him, the psychologist, to 16 take any action and reminded him of the obligations of 17 confidentiality that he, the psychologist, had 18 promised at the start of treatment. 19 As well, since this is a third party 20 who's making the threats, he the psychologist has no 21 way of judging whether the danger, if present, is 2.2 imminent. 23 So what are you going to do? Are you since the client doesn't want any action 24 going to:

taken which would breach his confidentiality, one may

Page 111 not take any action; or even though the client doesn't 1 2 want any action taken which would breach his 3 confidentiality, one may takes some action to offset 4 harm; or if one is to breach confidentiality to warn, 5 the legislation requires that the risk of arm be imminent, since this can't be determined, the 6 threshold for action, imminent harm, cannot be met; if 7 one believes on reasonable grounds that disclosure is 8 9 necessary to offset harm, one must make a report; or 10 in situations -- in such a situation, the legislation 11 expects that one will err on the side of caution, that 12 is, make a report if one is unsure. 13 I open it to you. Two? I'm asking 14 What are you going to do? Which one of what's true. these things exactly describes what your -- what you 15 16 can do or could do or should do? I had someone saying number two, even 17 18 though the client doesn't want any action taken which 19 breaches confidentiality, one may take some action to 20 offset harm. 21 Two? 2.2 And we have a four: if one believes on 23 reasonable grounds that disclosure is necessary to 24 offset harm, one must make a report. 25 Not true?

Page 112 So we have a number of people that 1 2. don't like number four. 3 Cailyn? 4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Could you 5 clarify which of the two psychologists we're talking about here? So there's the psychologist who has 6 transmitted the information. 7 The psychologist has 8 DR. MORRIS: 9 shown -- has told you that --10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. So you're 11 on the receiving end of information from another party. You don't have any direct knowledge of this 12 13 client --14 DR. No. MORRIS: No. 15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- whatsoever? 16 DR. MORRIS: It's just he's said to 17 you, I don't know what to do, a client showed me this 18 text and the text says this, and I'm really concerned 19 about it. Do I have an obligation. What do I do? 20 What would you do? What do you suggest, my very 21 knowledgeable colleague? 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okav. 23 DR. MORRIS: So are we going to all go 24 with number two and say no to the rest? What about number -- number three? The breach of confidential --25

Page 113 legislation requires that the harm be imminent and 1 2. since we don't know enough about it and he doesn't 3 have -- the psychologist doesn't have enough 4 information to know if it's imminent, then that 5 threshold of imminent hasn't been met, so that would then not trigger the ability or the permission to 6 "warn"? What about that? 7 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. There's 9 been some court cases on when -- a psychiatrist, not a 10 psychologist, where the breach about risk, and usually 11 it's their own client --12 DR. MORRIS: Yes. 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- when they're 14 talking about it, but there is -- clearly you can breach confidentiality, but it's a very high standard, 15 16 and in case -- usually it's the intent identified 17 victim and imminence, it all being present. 18 DR. MORRIS: Okay. 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Would there be a difference on the threshold if it's a child? 20 21 Pardon? DR. MORRIS: 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: If the patient 23 is a child --24 DR. MORRIS: It changes the whole 25 scenario, yes.

Page 114 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But it's not 1 2 clear, so --3 DR. MORRIS: Yes. All right. Ιt 4 changes the whole scenario if we're concerned about 5 child protection. The -- I'll go along with number 6 Okay. 7 -- what did we say? We said number two. Number two. What PHIPA does is it gives us permission to -- to 8 9 There's no such thing as a duty to warn. 10 duty to warn suggests number four, which is one has a 11 duty, and one must do it, and there's no such thing in 12 Ontario as a duty to warn. There is a duty to warn in 13 California, for example. There is some Tarasoff 14 legislation, an obligation to warn, whether you like 15 it or not. It's kind of like child abuse reporting. 16 Take steps whether you like it or not. 17 In Ontario, one may disclose personal information if one is concerned about harm, but 18 19 there's no mandatory part to that. 20 In terms of the statement that was 21 made, it is -- you have to consider that it's a high 22 bar, and keeping confidentiality is a basic tenant and 23 principle of the profession, so it's a high bar, but 24 there's nothing in the legislation that says anything 25 about identified patient or a client, or an

Page 115

1 | individual, and there's nothing in the legislation

- 2 | that says anything about -- about imminent.
- 3 | Legislation talks more about likelihood: is it likely
- 4 to happen?
- 5 So it's likely to happen. It's not
- 6 going to happen tomorrow or the next day, but this is
- 7 | likely to happen, and so that's good enough. So it
- 8 | doesn't -- you don't have to wait until the day before
- 9 | the likelihood it's going to happen in order to do
- 10 | something about it, so it's likelihood, and also, it's
- 11 | not an -- you don't need an identified individual if
- 12 | you have very clearly someone who says that they're
- 13 | going to go out and they are going to do something to
- 14 | the first person they see who meets certain criteria
- 15 | because they're very angry at that particular group,
- 16 | you don't know who that person is, so you don't need
- 17 | an identifiable person.
- 18 And that's -- there tends to be some
- 19 | confusion that people have that -- this idea that it
- 20 has to be an imminent risk, and it can only be -- it
- 21 | has to be directed towards an identified person, and
- 22 | that's not the way it is.
- 23 If you have concerns about a risk of
- 24 harm, you have the permission of the legislation to
- 25 | take some steps to do something about it.

24

25

Page 116 True or false: 1 Okay. summons to 2 appear, a search and seizure and a coroner's warrant 3 all require one to release records without consent upon being served. True or false? 4 5 Trick question. I'll just give you So if you said true then you fell for 6 that warning. 7 the trick question. False. I mean, and what it is is summons to 8 9 appear -- a search and seizure warrant and a coroner's 10 warrant, those things could very well say you need to 11 turn the information over to whoever serves you, comes 12 into your office and serves you the papers. A summons 13 to appear, however, is strictly something that says 14 you are obligated or required to appear before the court this time, this place, and bring with you, as we 15 16 said before, notice -- there's nothing in there that 17 says anything about releasing the information. 18 And actually, if you were to go into 19 court and the defence lawyer or the other side were to 20 say to you, here you go, let's -- I'm glad you're 21 here. It would be really helpful for me to take a 22 look at it before the court case, or even if the

lawyer -- even if the Crown who seems to be on your

provide that information to a third party, the summons

side, if you don't have the client's consent to

www.neesonsreporting.com (416) 413-7755 (888) 525-6666

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 117 to appear is not a consent or an order to release 1 2 information, all it is is an invitation you can't 3 refuse to show up somewhere with certain things in 4 your hands. 5 Number two: if there's a disagreement between a parent and a capable child regarding the 6 parent's access to the child's treatment file, the 7 8 decision of the parent takes precedent. 9 PHIPA does allow parents of False. 10 children -- of children under 16 to access their file 11 but if the child says no then they're -- their veto 12 counts, so it's a flip of -- of this. 13 It would be professionally 14 inappropriate to send a report containing a diagnosis 15 to a client who preferred not to attend a feedback 16 session. True or false? False. It is false. We can't compel 17 We can't say, 18 someone to come back for the session.

well, I know you put in all this time and effort and I wrote out all this stuff and I'm just going to put it in my drawer and it's going to stay there forever until you decide to come in. I mean, that's, you know, the client is entitled to their information. You can do what you can to try and convince them to come back for a very important feedback session, but

25

there's no requirement. 1 2 One may accept the word of a father 3 that he has the authority to request treatment for his 4 son unless there's reason to -- to doubt his word. 5 True or false? 6 True or false? This is true. Legislation is actually 7 quite clear that we don't need documentation. If you 8 9 get a suspicion or a concern that wait a minute, this 10 is a really contentious situation and we should have 11 both parties -- or maybe I have to have both parties, 12 you can ask for documentation, but as a matter of 13 course, you don't have to -- have to have that kind of 14 information, or that kind of proof of the authority, 15 and the example I've used is, you know, when my --16 when my daughters were young enough to want their 17 father to take them to the dentist, I mean, I could 18 just take them, and I didn't need a note from my wife 19 saying it's okay for him to bring the kids. 20 You know? So the assumption is that 21 person has authority unless you have some reason to be 22 concerned otherwise. 23 And certainly in a contentious

situation, there may be good reason to be concerned.

As a witness in court, one must still

Page 119 maintain ones obligations of confidentiality towards 1 2. True or false? clients. 3 False. Yeah, that was sort of like the one we had before. The courts will not necessarily 4 5 respect the therapist-client confidentiality, so it may very well be that, you know, whatever it is that 6 7 you get asked about you're going to have to say. 8 Yes? 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What do you do 10 in a situation with the previous question if the other 11 parent comes back and complains that they weren't --12 they didn't give their consent or they want to cease 13 therapy? How do you handle a situation like that? 14 DR. MORRIS: Then you have a problem. 15 I mean, I think most --16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Would that be 17 considered a tricky issue that we --18 DR. MORRIS: Yeah. That's a sticky 19 issue, one of the tricky -- and I think that, you 20 know, what happens is you get the pull of what the 21 client, the child needs. At the same time, do you 22 want to enter into a therapeutic relationship with the 23 child and possibly, you know, and the father, knowing 24 the mother, who is an active participant in the

child's life, doesn't want any part of it and doesn't

Page 120

- 1 | want you to have any part of it, and you've got to
- 2 | really think about clinically does that make sense.
- 3 | So that's where it becomes more of a clinical
- 4 | judgement as to whether or not it really makes sense
- 5 | to continue on. That's provided that either parent
- 6 | independently would have the right to -- to request
- 7 | treatment.
- 8 You have to decide whether clinically
- 9 | it makes sense. You have the authority to do it, but
- 10 does it clinically make sense in this case, and, I
- 11 | mean, are you going to be -- you know, you have the
- 12 | father saying, you know, my wife doesn't really want
- 13 | this, but it's really important that -- and I've
- 14 | stressed upon our son to make sure he never tells his
- 15 | mother where he is Tuesday afternoons from three to
- 16 | five, I'm not sure you want to get involved in that
- 17 | sort of a secret collusion kind of thing.
- Okay. How am I doing for time, Barry?
- 19 | I have five minutes. All right. Five minutes. We'll
- 20 do this really quickly, and we'll be --
- 21 You're the last member of a private
- 22 | psychology department at a small children's mental
- 23 | health clinic. As each client left, you took on the
- 24 | responsibility for the security and retention of more
- 25 | and more of the psychology files. You're now thinking

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 121

To fulfil about leaving to pursue private practice. your obligation with respect to these files, you would: take the files home with you to be returned when another member of the College has been hired; insist that the files be stored in a locked cabinet, to which you will keep the only key, to be returned when another member of the College has been hired; ensure the agency understands the nature of the file contents and need for safe, confidential storage, maybe detailed in writing, but take no further action; offer to be available to come to the agency to review requests for access to the psychological files; or since you've taken on this responsibility, you can't leave until a replacement for you is found. stuck.

What are you going to do? And this -this happens on a fairly, you know -- this does happen where, you know, there's four people and then there's three people and two people, and suddenly you're the only one, and then you decide you'd really like to go and do something different. So what are you going to do?

One, two, three, four and/or five? Two? Three? And I heard two and four. Three. Three and -certainly you have no obligation to be there, so

number five doesn't work. I think it would be 1 2 inappropriate for you to back your van up to the -- to 3 the back loading dock and take away the files, and 4 that's basically the same as number two. 5 So I think what your obligation is, considering that in this situation, it would be the 6 agency that's the health information custodian. 7 You're really working as an agent. So your obligation 8 9 is to ensure that they understand what their 10 obligation is. It's probably a good idea to put it in 11 writing and tell them this is what's here, this is 12 what it is, this is who should access it. So that's 13 number three. And then you could certainly do number 14 four if they're willing to, you know, hire you to come 15 in when you get a request for information to look 16 through the file and to take some action in terms of 17 releasing it or whatever. You could certainly do 18 that. Or they may -- they may say no. But really 19 they have a problem and -- as opposed to you, except 20 that the right thing to do would be to ensure they 21 understand what their problem is, and that's number 2.2 three. 23 Wow. Look at that. 24 Any general questions? You have three I think I have three minutes. 25 minutes.

Page 123 All right. I'll be around for a few 1 2. minutes, and so will Barry be, if people want to catch 3 up afterwards. Thank you. 4 DR. GANG: So I want to thank you all for attending, taking time out of your day to do this. 5 I want to also thank the College staff, particularly 6 Stephanie Morton and Caitlin O'Kelly who are such 7 multi-talented people keeping us in the right place at 8 9 the right time focussed on the right things, and they 10 save us all every day. 11 Please, please fill out the surveys. Ι 12 understand Stephanie just pushed the button, so they 13 should probably be in your inboxes now. 14 We really read them, and we 15 particularly rely on them to plan the next symposium, 16 and that should be probably in December or January, 17 depending on speaker availability and all that kind of 18 stuff, and it will be in Toronto, but again, it will 19 be webcast, and again, thank you for making this a 20 part of your day and a part of your CPD. 21 2.2 ---Whereupon video concludes. 23 24 25

1	Page 124
2	COURT REPORTER/TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
3	I, Alana Trumpy, Court
4	Reporter/Transcriber, certify:
5	That the foregoing proceedings were
6	recorded on audio digital recording;
7	That the contents of the recordings
8	were thereafter transcribed by me;
9	That the foregoing is a true and
10	correct transcript to the best of my skill and ability
11	of the audio digital recording so taken.
12	
13	Dated this 9th day of August, 2019.
14	
15	
16	Alana Trumpy
17	- Toured Tourney
18	NEESONS, A VERITEXT COMPANY
19	PER: Alana Trumpy,
20	Court Reporter/Transcriber
21	
22	
23	

	<b>abide</b> 63:25	accurate	addressed	afoul 39:8
	ability 44:20	93:20,24	26:18	afraid 11:2
<b>upon</b> 2:1	113:6 <b>abruptly</b> 82:11	acknowledge 6:20	addresses 33:2	afternoons 120:15
whereupon 123:22	<b>absolutely</b> 38:8 42:23 43:1	acknowledgi ng 57:14	<b>adequate</b> 44:2 45:21	<b>age</b> 71:4
1	absolutes	ACPRO 60:7	Adjudicator	<b>agencies</b> 44:17,19
<b>1,200</b> 11:7	47:1 abuse 63:14	<b>act</b> 12:3 13:23 44:22 53:6,18	<b>admin</b> 100:12,	<b>agency</b> 81:9 121:8,11 122:7
<b>1,800</b> 3:2	68:16 108:19,22 114:15	54:14,15,16,18 56:8 57:20 77:4	administered	agenda 5:7
<b>10:20</b> 59:12	<b>abusive</b> 54:9	99:12 101:4	34:8	<b>agent</b> 41:13
<b>135</b> 3:1		102:1	administrativ	122:8
<b>15</b> 59:16	<b>accept</b> 66:5 67:14 86:23	acted 72:18	<b>e</b> 50:16 60:3	agents 41:11
<b>16</b> 117:10	88:14 90:2	acting 41:21 100:22	<b>ado</b> 60:16	<b>agree</b> 35:18
<b>1800</b> 59:15	99:18 118:2	action 36:2	ads 48:2,4	57:2 77:11 78:18 85:2,11
	access 2:22 12:25 15:1	63:16 105:21	adult 69:2	agreed 8:19
<b>1976</b> 2:13	19:20,21,23	110:16,24 111:1,2,3,7,18,	adults 69:4	83:20
<b>1991</b> 2:13,14	20:20,21,23 21:6 24:9 26:8, 12 36:9 37:24	19 121:10 122:16	advancing 13:15	<b>agreement</b> 39:2 67:9
2	43:18 44:5	actions 54:6	advertising	agreements
<b>2,000</b> 6:12	50:22 58:18 59:5 71:12,18,	<b>active</b> 119:24	13:10 93:12,17 94:12	33:16
<b>2000</b> 8:4	24 99:13 107:3	activities	advice 10:18,	<b>agrees</b> 62:10 74:1
<b>2000s</b> 27:24	117:7,10 121:12 122:12	14:15 102:16	23 12:10 25:21	ahead 10:8
<b>2004</b> 99:12	accessed	activity 32:10,	37:6 73:12,16	18:10 31:2
<b>2006</b> 53:21	92:13	22 54:13 73:10 75:10,25 102:10	74:22 76:5,7,12, 18 81:18 88:3,	52:21 56:6
<b>2008</b> 25:8	accessibility	106:2	11,12 96:15,16,	<b>air</b> 45:15
<b>2016</b> 2:18	4:22	Acts 27:12,14	19	<b>alcohol</b> 63:13
<b>244</b> 101:11	accessible 2:19 7:9 42:10	actual 56:7	<b>advise</b> 72:21 73:20 75:19	68:16 69:10
244 101:11	59:7 71:3	69:14 70:4 104:3	advises 10:17	alert 10:7
5	accomplish	adapt 35:19	53:25	align 12:16
	7:6	add 101:23	advising	<b>alive</b> 107:7
<b>50</b> 77:1	accordance	addendum	76:11	<b>allowed</b> 22:19 31:12 52:25
	34:12 52:9 53:7	23:9	advisory 9:4 10:3 11:7	amendment
8	account 29:25	addition 62:25	Affairs 2:7	56:8
<b>8</b> 86:15	<b>accountable</b> 45:3,6 58:7	additional 77:4 107:22	7:21	American 52:15
Α	accountant 82:1,2,7	address 3:9,	affect 39:18	amount 32:10
	accountant's	20,22 4:22	affecting 16:5	49:12 74:25
<b>A8</b> 5:14	82:2	11:25 13:20 14:2,7 27:21	affects 19:10	analogous
		,,,_,	afford 44:2	47:4

14:11	association	<b>avoid</b> 16:17	<b>bear</b> 16:19
approaching		award 60:14	<b>bed</b> 78:8
38:13		108:2	begin 6:3
approve 40:25	104:3	awkward 9:18	
	associations		<b>beginning</b> 23:10 40:14
alea 60.15			85:19 88:4
<b>areas</b> 12:18			
14:1	1	hack 6:21	<b>behalf</b> 6:8 34:19 41:21
		17:12 21:8 22:1	
		23:15 27:5	behaviour
106:10		31:12 37:9,19	54:9
<b>argue</b> 21:18			believes 63:1
57:17 99:1	118:20		111:8,22
argument	assurance		believing 8:2
	8:2	20 89:22 92:3	74:2
	attached	105:14,19	belongs 110
57:9 72:8	42:16	117:18,25	benefit 3:18
arguments	attend 90:8	119:11 122:2,3	31:4,5
25:13	91:16,17 117:15	<b>bad</b> 62:13	,
<b>arm</b> 111:5	attending	68:18 69:4,5	biases 16:4
arm's 53:24	123:5	74:20	<b>big</b> 40:3 91:2
	ottontion 45.0	balance 59:5	98:17
ascertain 67:5		bankruptcv	bigger 33:21
<b>asks</b> 25:1		79:9,21	bill 83:22 87:5
aspects 42:20		hanks 107:15	10 88:3
ΔSPPR 60:12			<b>billed</b> 87:16
	audience 6:20		
	audit 81:9		<b>billing</b> 40:18
35:6	authoritative	,	58:4 81:11 82:
assessment	79:18		<b>bin</b> 78:8
,	authority		<b>bit</b> 10:4 14:18
83:2 109:20,22	_		16:25 26:5 27:
assessments	,		29:15 45:14,15
24:11	97:23 107:6	I	54:20 56:9
<b>assist</b> 13:17	118:3,14,21		68:21
33:19	120:9	81:18 92:16	bizarre 30:6
assisting	authorization	96:3,9 97:8	<b>blank</b> 36:24
63:11	28:4	102:24 120:18	blanket 75:10
associate	authorized	123:2	84:17 89:15
	10:19,23 56:9	<b>Barry's</b> 61:14	
15,20 86:7	57:20 92:14	63:3	<b>blind</b> 104:13
109:21	automatic	<b>based</b> 16:18	block 48:2
associates	24:13 75:24	32:13 54:7 83:9	50:11
19:11	availability	85:13	Board 2:12,14
associate's	123:17	<b>basic</b> 114:22	56:18
83:12	Avenue 80:9	basically	boards 60:12
00.12			
	approaching 38:13 approve 40:25 area 60:15 areas 12:18 14:1 aren't 4:2 5:7 9:7 25:24 95:16 106:10 argue 21:18 57:17 99:1 argument 22:4 29:11 55:11,22 56:20 57:9 72:8 arguments 25:13 arm 111:5 arm's 53:24 ascertain 67:5 asks 25:1 aspects 42:20 ASPPB 60:12 assessed 35:6 assessment 26:9,12 82:8 83:2 109:20,22 assessments 24:11 assist 13:17 33:19 assisting 63:11 associate 69:23 70:8 83:4, 15,20 86:7 109:21 associates 19:11 associate's	approaching         39:6 60:7,9,11           38:13         100:24 101:3,7           approve         40:25           area         60:15           areas         12:18           14:1         associations           37:5 40:14         assume 62:4,           13         assume 62:4,           13         assume 62:4,           13         assuming           65:16         assumption           118:20         assurance           8:2         attached           42:16         assurance           8:2         attached           42:16         attend 90:8           91:16,17 117:15         attending           123:5         astending           123:5         attention 15:3           96:3         attraction           72:17         audience 6:20           assessed         35:6           assessment         26:9,12 82:8           83:2 109:20,22         authoritative           79:18         authority           26:23 52:3 67:6,         7,14 81:16,20           97:23 107:6         118:3,14,21           33:19         authorization           28:4	approaching 38:13         39:6 60:7,9,11 100:24 101:3,7 102:14 103:7 102:14 103:7 102:14 103:7 awkward 9:18           approve 40:25 104:3         associations 37:5 40:14 assume 62:4, 13 assuming 65:16 31:12 27:5 31:12 27:9,19 assuming 65:16 31:12 27:9,19 assuming 65:16 31:12 27:9,19 assuming 65:14 57:17 99:1 assumption 118:20 59:16 66:8 67:23 69:6,17 80:12 86:2 87:9, 72:8 42:16 argument 22:4 29:11 55:11,22 56:20 57:9 72:8 42:16 arguments 25:13 arm 111:5 attending 123:5 ascertain 67:5 asks 25:1 aspects 42:20 assessed 35:6 assessment 26:9,12 82:8 83:2 109:20,22 assessments 24:11 associate 69:23 70:8 83:4, 12:09 authority assisting 63:11 associate 69:23 70:8 83:4, 15:20 86:7 109:21 automatic associates 19:11 associate's         39:6 60:7,9,11 100:22 assesiting 62:14 103:7 awkward 9:18           area 60:15 area 60:15 area 12:18 13:17 associate's 19:11 associate's 19:12 asso

Index: ancillary..boards

**boasts** 100:19 burning 30:23 categories 60:1 120:22 22:15 23:17 25:21 30:15.19 11:9 **bodily** 21:3,23 business 3:5 child's 117:7 35:24 37:16 Category 5:14 5:10 42:20 82:5 119:25 **body** 53:24 40:25 47:13 88:11,12 49:2 50:10 52:1 caution **chiro** 92:22 **bonded** 47:20 busy 8:18 56:2, 66:5 67:24 111:11 choice 99:8 **bono** 12:10 78:10 84:17 **cease** 79:10 86:25 89:13 **choose** 35:17 37:5 **button** 123:12 119:12 92:10 93:25 41:24 border 46:4 **buv** 36:13.16 103:12 106:15 centric 90:15 choosing 13:8 37:3 39:13 109:7 111:6 borders 44:20 chair 60:7 117:2,17,18 47:11 Christine 6:23 45:20 46:8 121:13 challenge 7:1 **BWS** 3:22 **bottom** 49:2 39:14 capable 11:17 97:10 **circle** 17:11 69:1,3 117:6 challenged **box** 47:10 C circumstance 78:14 captioning **S** 10:25 23:19 brackets 48:5 4:20 challenging 29:25 32:14 cabinet 47:12 **brave** 94:21 55:7 35:1 88:22 car 79:25 80:15 121:5 92:20 chance 3:21 **breach** 45:23 cardboard cabinets 77:6, 37:8 62:18 77:1 65:21 78:3,11, **claim** 57:3 47:10 23 81:2 15 80:20.25 clarify 112:5 Cailyn 69:6 **care** 15:12 110:25 111:2,4 changed 34:17 78:5 112:3 112:25 113:10, **clear** 17:18,22 79:14 15 18:6 35:16 Caitlin 123:7 **career** 16:15 characteristic 37:15 64:20 breaches 89:11.12 Caitlin's **s** 15:23 70:14 88:3 111:19 careful 70:2 66:12 107:13,24 characters 93:13 102:8 break 3:25 110:15 114:2 calibrate 47:9 43:13 12:2 59:13 61:7 118:8 carefully 53:15 charge 7:9 15:16 24:6 breaks 14:5 click 4:6 California 91:24 92:4,7,9 charges 42:16 114:13 briefcase **client** 8:1 17:6, 82:18 carrying 93:4 80:12 12 18:18.24 **call** 12:10 15:3 Charter 46:18 19:5 22:23 **case** 4:14 17:24 49:18 **bring** 66:13 31:14 35:6 36:8 52:16 81:8 82:9 10:21 20:12 96:21,24 98:22 **check** 100:21 42:23 50:3 99:20 21:19 22:7 25:7, 116:15 118:19 105:12 51:15 52:6 58:8 17 26:16 32:14 called 8:25 bringing checked 63:7,23,25 65:6, 45:17 54:8 14:13 54:1 94:25 53:23 8,18 66:2,4,20 56:20 59:24 67:20,21 68:14, calling 11:23 65:17 69:8 **broadly** 72:6,7 checking 18 70:12,16,21 70:24 75:10 65:20 70:25 **broke** 80:13 71:14 72:22 84:13 87:11,18 **calls** 11:7,22 **chef** 48:7 73:1,6 75:19,24 104:17 109:13 brother 48:4 76:1,14,20,21, can/you 37:15 113:16 116:22 **child** 63:11 brought 80:21 22 78:16,20,23 120:10 66:17,21 Canada 44:7. 98:24 109:9 79:1,6,24 80:18, 108:19,22 **cases** 20:15 16,17,18,19 22 81:1 82:9,23 browser 4:12 22:4 23:5,22 113:20,23 45:24 52:18 83:1,16,22 85:4, 114:5,15 117:6, 26:2 29:24 41:6, 60:9 81:9 brushed 39:16 10 86:3,7 87:23 11 119:21,23 8 52:6 54:6 88:6,8,9 89:24 Canadian **bucks** 47:14 113:9 90:12 91:5,9,12, children 8:5 39:5 60:7 bumped 9:22 15,17,18,21,22, 68:5 117:10 **catch** 66:18 can't 9:21 24 92:17,19,21, 123:2 **bunch** 89:8,25 children's 12:2,23 16:17 25 99:13 100:2.

Index: boasts..client

4.14.16.18.22 103:5 104:23 105:15 107:6,7, 10,20,22 108:7 110:9,12,14,24 111:1,18 112:13,17 113:11 114:25 117:15,23 119:21 120:23 **clients** 13:17,

20 18:22 21:11 28:5 40:19 43:1 49:16,17,20 51:13 64:5 77:5 82:3 85:7.8 92:12 93:2 100:20 104:17 108:4 119:2

**client's** 18:20 21:20 37:22 49:7 63:19 65:17 68:4,11, 23 81:6 83:8 88:14 91:10 100:21 101:8 105:5 106:22 107:3 116:24

**clinic** 120:23

clinical 20:16 60:3 63:8 64:3,6 108:5 120:3

clinically 78:24 120:2,8,

**close** 6:11 47:14

10

closed 4:20 71:8

closer 26:5

**closet** 47:11 **cloud** 40:11

**coach** 48:6

**code** 14:24 15:4,15 101:11, 22 103:2,3,9

**codes** 11:13 41:23

**cogent** 56:20

cognition 55:17

**Cohen** 39:5 61:7

Cohen's 39:23

coherent 16:18

colleague 72:14,21 73:3,

17 75:4 100:11, 13 101:2,9 102:15,16,17 103:14,15 105:22 110:7 112:21

colleagues 61:1 73:13

colleague's 100:15

collected 20:14 41:10 67:1

collecting 42:17 66:24

college 2:7 3:17,24 4:17 6:2,7 7:15,21,24 8:3 9:9 10:17 17:10 27:25 28:1 32:5 34:3 42:24 48:9 50:4 51:2 55:5 56:16 57:12 58:20 59:23 60:1 71:17 72:15,24 73:5 77:15 79:10,21,23

colleges 27:9 collusion 120:17

97:13 100:2

101:2,15 102:21

103:3 121:4,7

123:6

commencing 2:1

comment 8:16 39:5 65:11

70:18 71:16 88:23 105:17

comments 18:9 65:12

commissione **r** 23:25 26:6,9 44:13,23 45:16, 24 46:22 48:17

committed 7:3 8:24

committee 12:21,24 17:14, 15 29:7 56:17

committees 60:10

common 10:13 20:19 25:17 27:13 94:10

communicate 40:19

communicati **on** 35:4 40:12 42:24 43:1

communities 90:23

57:12

community 6:15,16 90:10, 11,16 91:3

community's 91:2

companies 13:9 40:13 42:14

company 36:2 45:18 47:24 102:3 105:23

compared 58:23

**compel** 117:17 compelled 70:5

compelling 54:8

compensate 18:15

competence 53:12,14

competent 53:8 59:8,9 106:11

complaining 57:5

complains 119:11

complaint 19:6 23:24 26:21 31:6 45:18

complaints 17:14,15

complete 8:15

completed 35:3

completely 29:18

completing 34:25

complex 18:25

complicated 12:22 53:3

**comply** 14:16 98:14

component 3:2

composite 32:12

comprise 12:13,22

concern 40:4 74:9 86:22 101:8 106:19 118:9

concept 50:3

concerned 50:13 63:14 72:19 74:18 107:8 112:18 114:4,18

118:22.24

concerns 21:9 22:10 58:20 63:20,23 72:5 101:10 115:23

concludes 123:22

conclusion 29:16.19

condition 35:18

conduct 2:15 11:13 14:13.14

27:22 83:1 conducted 14:15 109:21

confidence 47:24

confidential 49:19 79:4.24

80:18 81:11,21 108:6,12,17 112:25 121:9

confidentialit **y** 65:22 72:4 73:8 78:15,22 80:20,25 88:15 90:5 102:8 108:9 110:17,25 111:3,4,19 113:15 114:22 119:1,5

confides 72:15

confirm 106:21

confirmation 5:20

conflict 15:8,9 66:17

conflictual 67:10

confusion 115:19

connect 4:15

conscience 16:14,16

consent 46:5
64:3 65:18
67:25 70:20
73:2 97:23
99:12,19,25
100:4,6,8 101:9
104:18,21
105:5,6,8,9,12
106:20 116:3,24
117:1 119:12

consequence

**S** 54:4,10

consideratio n 64:5 86:18

89:4

**considered** 49:19 90:12

consistent

119:17

constitute

constitutes

**consultation** 46:10,17 54:1

**consulting** 74:15 109:20

consumed 34:8

**contact** 5:15 50:17 63:22 72:24 82:15

contacted 82:17

**contemplatin g** 37:1,7 82:14

content 46:1

63:24 contentious

118:10,23

**contents** 63:21 121:9

**context** 16:2 54:10 89:2 91:4

contexts 16:2

**continue** 22:8 46:21 59:16 120:5

continuing 5:11

continuous 7:4

**contract** 45:8, 10 48:22 61:13, 16

contrary 12:4 contributes

16:1

contributions 2:16

**control** 35:25 46:9 48:20,22 50:10 56:7

controlled 13:23 53:6,18 54:14,15,16,18 57:20

controls 45:21

**conversation** 22:8 27:8 90:24

**convince** 29:9 117:24

**convinced** 29:7 54:3

**cool** 5:5

cooler 5:4

**copied** 47:13 **copies** 34:25

**copy** 5:22 18:20 63:8

35:9

**copying** 32:11 35:3 37:1

copyright

32:10 33:4,18 35:17 36:3 39:9 96:5

copyrighted 13:6 34:16 35:19 36:1 96:10 corner 5:4

**coroner** 97:25 99:7

**coroner's** 97:25 98:1 106:23 116:2.9

**correct** 26:8, 14 31:12,23 57:17 83:4,5 84:2,5,6 88:22 107:1

corrected 31:16

**correction** 26:20 31:18,22 32:1

corresponde nce 5:22

cost 87:23

**costs** 30:16 108:2,3

**council** 6:9,19, 22 7:2,3

counsel 27:25

**counselling** 73:15 75:20,21

countries 44:5,19

country 43:20

**counts** 117:12

**couple** 13:9 40:20 47:14 94:22.23

courier 47:20

**court** 20:10 25:8 54:6 64:23 69:13,15 70:20, 23,24 71:7 96:8, 20 97:11,13,17, 19 98:9,10,12, 20,23 99:2,5,8 106:23 107:15, 19,20 108:3 113:9 116:15, 19,22 118:25

**courts** 56:18

63:18 71:3 72:8, 10 82:18,20 96:25 97:2 98:22 108:6,15 119:4

**court's** 68:9

coverage 100:18

covered 43:10

**CPA** 15:4 61:7, 10 101:11,22 103:3.8

**CPD** 123:20

**CRA** 81:16

**crazy** 107:2

**create** 34:18 84:10

created 20:14

creative 73:18

creature 24:18

credibility 49:9

credible 45:1

**credit** 5:14 45:17

**credits** 5:12,16

**CRHPA** 100:4

criminal 102:10

criteria 115:14

Crown 116:23

**cultural** 16:3 88:25

cultures 89:1

**cure** 93:20

**current** 27:23 46:10 63:11 82:24 83:8 84:9 85:23

custodian

26:11 41:7 122:7 custodian's

**custody** 26:8, 11 48:20,21 63:11

customer 36:5,10 61:12 cutesy 8:23

D

**da** 79:5

damage 89:1

damaging 63:15

danger 86:22 110:21

dangerous 30:19

**data** 13:5 24:4, 10 27:16,17 28:2,3,12 32:15 33:5,7 44:9 49:1,7 54:5 69:25 97:7

data's 44:1

daughter 67:25

daughters 118:16

**day** 2:19 53:3 100:14 115:6,8 123:5,10,20

**days** 4:17,18 43:18

**deal** 27:11 51:1,2 56:10 78:24 109:17

dealt 26:21

**debate** 27:18 44:4 53:3,20

decades 2:10 deceased

106:22 107:2,3

December 123:16	delegate	determined	disciplinary 54:5	distorted 19:3
<b>decide</b> 18:2 55:20 67:21	deliberated	determining	<b>discipline</b> 56:17 76:2	21:21
68:21,24 72:9 87:23,24 89:5	deliver 47:20	development 5:11 7:24	disclaimer	distribute 34:19
91:14,15 97:1,2 100:23 102:6 103:6 104:12	<b>Delivered</b> 55:13	diagnosis	disclose 38:5	distributed 72:7
106:13 117:22 120:8 121:20	demand 8:14 demanding	dictionary	51:20 109:19 114:17	<b>divorce</b> 63:11 66:17
<b>decided</b> 86:10 104:1 106:17	39:3 <b>denied</b> 25:13	9:14,15,16 28:21,22	disclosed 41:10 46:8	<b>Dizazzo</b> 6:23 <b>dock</b> 122:3
decides 71:23	31:7 <b>denies</b> 72:18	didn't 5:21 26:12,23 31:17 36:6 37:15 39:7	<b>disclosing</b> 39:12 46:4	doctors 25:18
deciding 91:25	denominator	69:11 80:11 99:9 104:1	disclosure 20:11 42:14	document 15:16 38:19
<b>decision</b> 3:14 25:8,9 26:5,13	<b>s</b> 27:14 <b>dense</b> 15:18	110:12 119:12 difference	discomfort	54:1 99:19 documentati
69:5 72:12 78:14 91:9 92:10,11 117:8	dentist 118:17 deny 63:17	66:15 79:5 113:20	83:13 discontinue	<b>on</b> 5:19 64:2 66:6 100:9 118:8,12
decision-	department	difficult 9:17 22:24 24:25	101:3 102:14 discontinuin	documents 51:8 94:15
<b>decisions</b> 10:20 17:3	depending 10:24 53:14	28:18 33:15 62:20	g 104:3 discretion	96:22 doesn't 4:22
47:23 69:4 91:3 <b>declares</b> 79:9	88:4 123:17 <b>depends</b>	difficulties 18:25	20:1 <b>discuss</b> 51:19	12:18 21:11 24:16 25:18
deductions	88:20 105:25	<b>digital</b> 46:18 71:1,4 95:23	63:23 discussion	30:7,9 45:1 48:10 58:11 59:14,23 77:13
<b>defence</b> 37:11 88:5 116:19	<b>Deputy</b> 2:6 7:20	dignity 15:11 diligence	22:20 38:7,19 <b>discussions</b>	86:2,3 103:9,15 105:2 109:10,18
<b>defend</b> 11:5 37:10 55:21	describes 108:18 111:15	17:19 18:1 61:10,14	32:21 disorder	110:5,15,24 111:1,18 113:2,
<b>define</b> 29:2	describing 39:25	dilute 58:21 direct 8:6 60:2	55:16 disposed	3 115:8 119:25 120:12 122:1
<b>defined</b> 34:11 54:24	description 4:6 101:7	112:12 directed	41:10  disrupted	domain 39:11,
defining 55:6 definitevely	<b>desk</b> 47:17 95:20	115:21 direction	51:23 dissolve	don't 3:20 4:1, 8 11:18,23,24 12:16 15:21
27:6  definition	detail 40:1	14:15 76:7 <b>Directions</b>	103:7	20:22 32:5,6,7 35:24 38:3 46:7
28:19 54:18,19, 22,23,24 56:21	121:10 determinatio	54:2 directly 27:20	101:6	48:11 52:2,15 59:3,4,25 62:4 66:6 68:24
57:7,15 58:21 <b>definitions</b>	n 73:3	Director 2:6	distinction 70:9	73:20 75:9 79:4 81:18 85:25
9:11 28:24 <b>defrauding</b>	53:10 55:3,5 110:4	disagreement	distinguish 33:5	86:23 89:15,16, 21 91:13,22 93:6 99:7
105:23				33.3 33

Index: December..don't

effects 16:11 100:19 121:8 **events** 89:19 **expects** 77:15 102:12 104:11 105:21 107:9 122:9.20 90:11 111:11 effort 40:1 109:17 112:2,12 eventually 117:19 **enter** 103:9 expense 88:6 113:2 115:8,11, 119:22 56:5 107:22 16 116:24 electronic 118:8,13 42:4 43:2 49:1 entertain everybody's experience 95:24 37:21 41:3 3:18 4:21 22:21 51:21 54:8 **doubt** 118:4 24:23 65:16 70:19 107:18 entire 5:17 **email** 3:16 43:2 drawer 95:21 exact 35:1 experiencing 49:15,18 52:17 42:10 71:1 117:21 59:17 78:16 72:16 entitled 23:15. examination **drop** 47:16 79:1 expertise 23 30:6 37:5 25:19 76:14 emailing 66:7 64:5 117:23 48:11 examinations **drug** 63:13 **emails** 77:13 entitlement 25:24 experts 48:10 68:16 69:10 20:8 emphasize Examiners explain 52:25 **dual** 88:15 54:15 envelope 2:13 90:22 explained 47:17,20 employment examples 109:9 **due** 17:19 18:1 102:18 Equifax 45:17 9:18 28:18 61:10,13 62:19 explains 63:9 29:24 30:1,2 79:23 88:15 **empty** 34:15 err 111:11 explanation Excellence **enable** 73:12 **duty** 52:3 78:21 establish 45:8 18:2 78:12 60:14 110:14 114:9. encountered established express 46:5 10,11,12 exception 39:25 38:16 21:12 24:9,14 expressed dynamic encourage establishing 73:10 46:1,5 46:25 22:17 62:16 45:9 exceptions extent 33:2 encouraging 19:23,24 30:9 **estate** 107:5, Ε external 40:12 75:15 108:14 46:3 12,14,25 48:23 encryption excited 3:6 etcetera 41:23 earlier 27:25 extremely 43:11,12 48:6,7 55:17,25 83:9,17 86:8 **Excuse** 24:13 12:21 55:7 100:13 96:3 107:19 **end** 33:4 45:5 executor extremes 52:13 74:20 ethical 14:24 **early** 27:24 107:15 47:7,15 100:24 112:11 16:19 50:8 89:11,12 exemption 69:16 101:10 **eye** 104:13 end-to-end **easily** 11:25 73:11 ethically 52:9 43:11 **easy** 12:25 exemptions F 53:17 **ended** 48:1 52:16 62:17 75:15,16 81:5 73:4 ethics 2:15 **ebay** 39:13 exercise 11:13 14:24 **faced** 25:22 endorse 40:25 15:4,15 41:23 29:20 27:2,9 edition 15:5 endorsing 60:15 101:11 exiting 4:11 facetiously educate 50:12 48:3 103:2 78:6 expanded educated **EULETT** 6:4 enforcement facilitate 35:4 57:13 11:16 44:6,17 Eulette 6:2 **expect** 11:18 facilitating education engaged evaluations 16:21 58:4 23:2 32:21 43:22 8:14 expected 33:3 facing 19:5 effective 6:17 engaging event 2:19,20 41:19,21 7:8 12:25 22:20 **fact** 6:11,14 60:25 88:15 expecting 7:6,7,8 69:24,25 effectively **ensure** 42:3,5, 89:25 90:8 24:23 70:1,4,6 84:10 13:11 9 63:20,23 64:2 91:15,16 103:25

Index: doubt..fact

factors 30:8	<b>feed</b> 59:15	<b>find</b> 11:20,21	<b>found</b> 26:18	103:17 122:24
facts 69:15	feedback	15:2 20:11 27:4 30:4 52:17	45:24 70:25 75:25 76:1	generally 85:4
fair 10:4 13:14	117:15,25	63:12,13 67:19	121:14	93:14 99:15
16:25 28:25 32:3,9 40:10	<b>feel</b> 14:9 53:16 68:7 74:22,23	105:9	fours 64:12	generating 16:6
fairly 32:4	77:17 90:7	finding 11:11,	fourth 15:5	germane
121:17	93:16 106:2	findings 45:17	62:9	25:15
fake 43:3,4	<b>feelings</b> 72:17,19 74:19	fine 5:20 52:10	frankly 17:8	give 3:24 9:20,
false 62:16	83:13	65:13,14 93:23	fraud 101:13	25 10:1,10 12:9
76:25 77:8,11	fees 42:15,17	finicky 50:24	102:10	14:12,17 15:7, 19 20:1 21:6
78:17 79:11,12, 13,15,25 80:1	<b>fell</b> 116:6	<b>FIPPA</b> 19:15	fraudulent 105:21	23:7,18 24:1
86:12 87:7	Fellow 60:13	fireproof 77:7	free 7:9 14:9	25:21 28:17 30:19 32:14
88:13,16,18,21 92:1,6 94:19,22,	felt 83:16 86:7	fit 21:18 75:7	19:21	35:24 37:15,24
23 95:3 99:14,	106:3	fits 54:21	frequently	46:6,9 47:7 50:20 62:5
16 101:19 104:16,20 105:2	field 54:7	five-year-old	10:4 60:4	72:25 81:2,17,
106:18,24,25	fifteen 67:20,	82:21	friend 48:7 110:9,12	18,19 89:10 96:14 97:5
107:1 108:7 109:1,2,6,22	21	<b>flip</b> 117:12	fulfil 101:10	116:5 119:12
116:1,4,7 117:9,	<b>fifty</b> 62:18	fluid 46:20	121:1	giving 7:18
16,17 118:5,6 119:2,3	<b>figure</b> 32:6 67:13	focussed	full-day 2:20	19:1 22:18 23:14 38:14
falses 77:11	figured 3:10	123:9	fully 33:3	<b>glad</b> 64:19
99:15	figuring 56:3	follow 13:19	functioning	116:20
familiar 56:7		27:18 39:23 51:13 97:6	85:23	<b>Goo</b> 58:10
families 8:6	<b>file</b> 18:20,21 21:11,20 24:2	110:13	funerals 89:20	<b>good</b> 6:4 9:15
family 71:7	30:18 36:20	force 64:23	future 33:14	12:9 28:17 37:3, 6,8,11 38:9,17
88:14 89:18	38:4,23 63:12, 24 65:7 69:9,19	107:20	36:20	50:9,12 51:17
92:21 93:4 104:18,22	71:1 77:6,22	foreign 43:20	<b>G</b>	60:19 62:1,17
107:11	87:14 93:4 94:16,18 95:17,	forever 117:21		65:3 69:21 75:19 78:12
fancy 66:8	22,24 117:7,10	forget 53:22 92:7	<b>Gang</b> 2:3,5	80:14 81:2
95:22	121:8 122:16	forgotten	7:16,20 8:5,9 31:9,13,23,25	85:25 92:9 99:20 100:7
fantasy 48:5	<b>filed</b> 95:21	53:23	38:8,11,21,24	115:7 118:24
<b>father</b> 67:10 118:2,17 119:23	<b>files</b> 19:7 20:17 47:10 69:12	form 42:4 64:1	39:1,16 40:6 49:21 50:1 59:1,	122:10
120:12	77:6,14,16,18,	66:3,8 96:10	21 123:4	govern 19:13
fear 20:20	23 78:3,5 87:11 120:25 121:2,3,	formal 6:6	garden 28:6	<b>government</b> 53:25 54:3
51:21 73:13	5,12 122:3	23:20 94:16 95:17	gather 19:22	graduations
fearful 82:13	<b>filing</b> 47:12	formally 6:3	<b>gauge</b> 47:11	89:20
fearfulness 83:13	<b>fill</b> 123:11	fortunately	<b>gave</b> 26:18	granting
Federal 25:8	filling 42:15	27:8	56:8	20:20,21
44:23 45:16	filtered 5:5	forward 7:10 8:7 98:25	<b>general</b> 14:11, 13 15:8 21:19 22:6 44:25	<b>great</b> 2:16 8:13 9:7 27:11 51:12 60:19,25 61:3

Index: factors..great

Index	: greaterimproving
nierarchy 14:20 102:24,25	
nigh 20:21 21:4,22 22:12 47:12 113:15 114:21,23	icon 4:5
nigh- 66:16 nighest 15:10 nighly 51:5	idea 12:9 15:19 37:8,16,22 38:9, 17 50:9,12 51:17 68:19
67:10 nint 14:12 nire 122:14	70:15 79:13 80:15,24 92:9 115:19 122:10 identifiable
nired 121:4,7	115:17 identified 113:16 114:25
85:20 nistory 51:20 nit 30:8	115:11,21 illegal 65:4
nold 46:16 66:7	<b>imaginary</b> 69:22
nolding 23:15 nolds 57:10 nome 26:5 121:3	immediately 72:22 73:20 74:8,9 76:11 89:13 imminence
nook 109:11	113:17
10pe 10:10 15:15 40:24 78:11 93:25	imminent 110:22 111:6,7 113:1,4,5 115:2, 20
noping 61:19 nospitals 80:9	<b>impact</b> 91:24 92:5,6,10
nosted 43:19 nosting 40:11	<b>impair</b> 55:24 57:6
Hotmail 50:22 nours 87:14 HPRAC 53:24 nuge 39:11 49:11	important 44:25 50:3 65:14 67:3,5 70:8,13 85:18 89:9,22 90:7 91:17 92:15,17 117:25 120:13
nundred 47:14	importantly 39:8
nusband 79:2	impossible

SEMINAR on Ju
62:6
greater 44:16
ground 25:17
<b>grounds</b> 75:9, 12 108:19 109:7,13 111:8, 23
<b>group</b> 5:13,21 109:25 115:15
<b>groups</b> 5:13 60:5
<b>group's</b> 15:23
guaranteed 108:5
guess 2:10 21:25 27:22,24, 25 29:11 32:15 35:16 38:18 45:22 50:4 54:8 56:6 57:13

61:18 65:2 67:17 68:11 71:15 73:3 76:12 77:2 85:13 92:8 94:3 105:25 107:6

## guessing 62:16.17

quidance 73:12

#### guidelines 11:14 14:24

guilty 75:25 76:2

**guy** 8:18

# gymnastics

25:5

#### Н

**H&r** 48:2 **HA147** 31:7 hacked 50:15

hacker 50:21

hacks 43:15

**hadn't** 61:4 86:10

**half** 7:16,17 12:14

**half-** 2:18 hall 47:11

handle 90:6 97:6 119:13

handled 49:7

handles 40:18 handling

42:19

25:12

hands 117:4 handwritten

**happen** 98:25 115:4,5,6,7,9 121:17

happened 26:15 47:18,19

70:15,24 73:7 77:21 84:18 87:20

## happening 71:18,21

happy 2:3 11:20 23:6 25:2 37:25 50:13.15 82:12

hard 27:3 28:15 29:23 30:1 106:4

**harm** 20:23 21:3,22,23 22:1, 2 91:8 106:11 110:11 111:4,7, 9,20,24 113:1 114:18 115:24

harmful 20:21

hasn't 22:11 25:9 39:2 113:5

**haunt** 89:22

haven't 6:24 15:14 35:14 59:1 63:7 95:23 hazard 86:25

**heads** 24:5 26:3

**health** 19:21, 22 26:10 34:21 41:7,9 43:7 50:5,6 53:22 56:17 60:2 77:3, 5 92:23 99:12 120:23 122:7

#### healthcare 25:25

**hear** 10:4.14 16:24 18:18,20 20:16 21:15 40:24 50:13 53:1 62:12 66:13 77:9 109:23

heard 8:24 42:23 43:3 60:24 64:11 77:10 80:7 99:15 121:24

hearing 8:8 20:18

Hearings 7:22 heavy 47:11

**held** 44:15

**helpful** 38:14 55:14 63:15 82:22 116:21

**helps** 7:10

here's 50:17 76:16

hesitating 77:2

**hey** 37:23

he'd 57:1

he's 6:21 8:4 57:4 60:6,10 72:16 73:21 82:18 84:13 110:8 112:16

hierarchical

15:7

hiera 14:20

high 21:4 47:12 114:2

highhigh high

67:1 hint

hire

hired histo 85:20

histo

hit 30

hold

holdi holds

home

hook

hope 15:1 78:1

hopi hosp

hoste hosti

Hotm hour

**HPR** 

huge 49:1

hund 47:14

husband 79:2 husband's

100:17

62:20 90:10

improving

58:18

Inde	ex: inadvertentlyit's
	54:20 74:16 119:17,19
al	issues 8:11, 14,17,21,25 10:5,13 13:2 14:5,12 39:7 60:16 61:22 82:24 83:24 84:12 88:16,25 90:5
on	IT-RELATED
	4:1
	<b>it'll</b> 4:18
	it's 3:22 6:18
J	7:7,8,9 8:18 9:24 11:18 12:3,
n	9,21 13:2 15:18
-	16:23 17:1,11 18:5 19:15
	20:21 21:6
	22:20 24:12,14,
10	15,18 25:9,17, 21 27:4 28:10,
n	15,18 29:17,18,
	19,23 30:5 31:4
	34:13 35:8 36:4 37:2,8 38:12,17
	39:18,19,20,23
	40:4,5,7 41:3,6
	43:3,4,6 44:24
:16	45:7 46:19 47:3, 4 48:16,21 49:3
2:3	50:1,9,12,18 51:17 52:8,10,
13	11,15,16 53:1,5,
	10 54:19 55:1,7,
12	9 56:15,19
3:6	57:17 58:15 59:4 60:19,22
	62:20 65:2,5,24,
	25 66:10,17,21
.17	67:11,19,21
:17	68:12,21 69:5 70:7,8,12,14,16,
9	23 71:21 78:1,
	15,18 80:1
):2	81:14 84:8,17,

inadvertently 45:20 inappropriate 31:21 33:12 43:7 64:22 83:7, 10 85:12 88:13, 17,22 89:14,23 117:14 122:2 inboxes 123:13 incapacity 101:16 103:18

incidents 54:9 include 7:23 93:11 100:1 101:8 110:6

included 95:8 96:5 includes

94:16

including 72:5

inclusion 54:13

incompetenc

**e** 101:17 102:19 103:19 104:8

inconsistenc

**V** 91:14 103:11

incorrect 84:3,4

increasingly 82:13

independent 25:19,23

independentl **y** 120:6

indexed 11:20

indication 22:19

indiscernible 76:3 84:7

individual 15:23 21:1,3

61:14 106:1 115:1,11

individuals 7:15 46:1.6 52:4

individual's 55:16,24 86:1

106:3

**inform** 72:24 101:5,13 102:3,

informal 9:16 information

3:25 13:3 14:18 15:18 18:12,22 19:1,3,21 20:1, 2,9,13 21:11,16, 17,24 22:3,11, 16,24 23:3,14, 19,24,25 26:6,9, 11,13 30:4,22, 23 33:13,21 35:5 37:14

38:15 39:21 40:7 41:7,9,12, 14 43:7,18 44:12,15,18 45:3,4,13 46:2, 4,6,7 48:17,20 50:5,6,14,16,20 51:5 53:2 58:11 63:14,18,21,24 64:1,4,21,22 65:8 66:2,22,23 67:6,18,19,20, 22 68:15,19

70:3,11,12,13 71:25 72:6,10 77:3,5 78:20,23 80:10,18 81:12, 20 82:6,16,21 83:11 84:8 85:14,16 87:19 88:1 92:13,24 93:9 95:8 97:8, 18 98:11 99:12 102:9 107:10 108:5,16 109:12

17,25 117:2,23 118:14 122:7,15 informed 70:20 105:5,8,

12 106:20

112:7.11 113:4

114:18 116:11,

Inquiries 17:14

inquiry 17:8 **insert** 23:10

insight 55:24

insignificant 30:17

**insist** 121:5

inspections 20:18

instituted 2:14 27:19

instruments 34:18,19 35:1,7

insurance 37:4 45:19 100:18,20 101:4,13 102:1, 3.10 105:23

insurers 100:21 101:5

integrity 15:12 33:13 39:18

intended 43:8, 16

**intent** 113:16 inter-collegial

interaction

5:15

5:16

interactive 61:23 62:25

interest 17:6. 12 22:21 68:23 91:4,12 100:21

interested 49:17 79:7

interesting 50:1 65:1 68:6

interests 10:25 15:25 63:19 68:4,5,12, 13

interference

31:1

internally 28:16 30:2

International 60:11

Internet 100:12

interpret 10:21 88:20 97:1

interpretation 56:13

interpreted 95:6

introducing 59:22

introduction 7:19 59:24

intuitively 33:12

**invalid** 105:10 investigation

**s** 7:22 20:19

invitation 88:14 90:3 117:2

invite 6:1 **invoice** 100:16

invoices 82:3

**involve** 30:13

involved 10:11 20:5,7,12

23:5 35:13 73:6 75:23 86:2 88:25 89:18 120:16

involving 9:17 irrespective

91:2

**isn't** 5:2,3 10:2 17:22 25:15 29:3 30:20 34:6 44:11

**issue** 14:9 16:6,13 20:20 37:19 39:8 50:2 81:14 84:8,17, 25 85:19 87:13,

15,21,22,24,25

89:23 90:3,7,10,

88:5,7,8,9,18

23 91:7,17,19

92:9,15,17,21

93:18,25 97:16,

19 98:12,25	<b>job</b> 8:18 17:23	kind 4:8 5:22	23	118:7
99:16 100:7,20	74:15	9:5 36:19 37:17	lawyers 10:18	legislation's
104:2,9 106:4,	joining 4:9 7:7	38:6,16 52:18	20:6 70:22	22:12
19 110:4 112:16	8:3	62:9 65:25 66:2	108:2	
113:4,11,15,16,		67:23 70:9 71:8,		legislative
20 114:1,15,21,	Jones 100:16	18 72:7 78:11	lawyer's 37:8	44:14 56:13
23 115:5,9,10 117:12,21	judge 20:13	81:16 82:6	lax 44:5	legislatively
118:19 120:13	25:17 71:23	83:11 85:9 89:15 90:6,13	<b>lead</b> 19:1 56:13	100:10
122:10	72:9 97:12 98:5	91:22 93:24		legislators
	107:21	95:15 114:15	leadership	12:20
<b>l'd</b> 6:1,16,20	judgement	118:13,14	7:23	
8:11,23,24 14:4	10:6 16:24 17:1	120:17 123:17	leads 28:23	legitimate
53:19 65:7,10, 11 89:10 90:10	34:11 50:25	<b>kinds</b> 10:13	looning 00.47	17:11 22:10
	55:24 72:20	11:6 12:6,7	leaning 89:17	34:10 87:15
<b>I'll</b> 14:7 18:10	75:4 91:5,7	16:3,5 27:10	<b>learn</b> 6:14,15	legitimately
31:1 37:10 38:4	120:4	37:17 41:16	learning 6:16,	23:13
49:14,15 96:14	judgements	81:4 86:19	17 7:4,12	length 53:24
109:17 114:6 116:5 123:1	20:24	knew 34:3,23	·	_
	judge's 72:12	71:3	leave 47:10	<b>letter</b> 63:6 66:3,5
<b>l'm</b> 2:5,6 4:17	-	_	73:2 80:6 86:10 121:14	
6:24 7:11,18	judging	knowing		letterhead
10:8 11:11	110:21	38:11 119:23	leaving 121:1	93:11 94:3,7
13:21 15:17 18:8,14,25 19:2,	Juli's 57:2	knowledge	<b>led</b> 7:16 28:6	letters 33:23
5 24:21,22,23	<b>jump</b> 15:21	10:21 42:7	loft 50.00	43:13
29:16,17 35:15	-	53:12,14 112:12	<b>left</b> 52:22 80:10,12 83:19	letting 97:2
37:25 40:2,16,	<b>jumps</b> 21:8	knowledgeab	120:23	•
20 42:1 47:6	22:1	<b>le</b> 112:21		<b>let's</b> 21:9 40:9
48:3 49:15	jurisdiction	10 112.21	<b>leg</b> 22:7	51:7,9 72:13
50:13 52:21,25	52:5,14,16		legal 10:18,22,	116:20
54:21 55:8	jurisdictions	L	23 12:10 20:4,5	<b>level</b> 9:21
62:12 64:19	51:10 52:3,17,	_	25:21 30:8 37:5	liability 37:4
65:16 68:3	20	<b>lag</b> 3:11	50:8 81:18	-
69:10 87:18,19		laid 85:18	84:12 96:15	<b>life</b> 84:10
88:20 89:11,17 90:19 105:11,18	justify 78:3,4		97:22 105:22	119:25
108:1 111:13		large 30:18 39:14	106:24 107:4,	likelihood
112:18 116:20	K	39.14	12,13	115:3,9,10
117:20 120:16		<b>law</b> 10:21 20:10	legislated	<b>likes</b> 64:14
<b>I've</b> 9:8 18:19,	Karen 39:5	21:7 25:7 33:11,	19:20	74:3
24 19:6 28:6	61:7	18 39:9 44:6,17	legislation	
39:24 42:23	<b>Karen's</b> 61:19	64:24 69:13,16 107:24	12:4,18 14:22,	<b>limits</b> 12:15
48:2 51:14			23 19:10,13,18	<b>list</b> 46:15 76:16
53:23 64:11	Katrina 77:20	lawsuit 80:21	21:8,13 23:18	108:13,14
68:25 78:6 80:1	keeping 40:23	lawyer 10:24	24:13,18 27:3	listserves
99:25 100:5	91:18 114:22	18:18 37:22	41:5 43:24	32:6
118:15 120:13	123:8	38:7,20 63:9,10,	57:25 64:20 79:16,17 81:22	
	<b>key</b> 43:17 47:13	20 64:4 65:19,	92:12 93:8	<b>litigation</b> 19:4 26:1
J	121:6	20 80:21 81:7	99:24 100:3,5	
		82:1,17,19,20,	103:1,2 111:5,	litigious 23:5
January	Keyes 6:23	25 83:5 87:18,	10 113:1	live 46:13
123:16	<b>kids</b> 48:6	24 88:3,4,5,9 96:19 98:21	114:14,24	lived 90:9
	118:19	107:23 116:19,	115:1,3,24	11 <b>75</b> 4 90.9
		i	i e	

Index: I'd..lived

SEMINAR OF SUR	6 12, 2019		""	uex. loadingviolin
loading 122:3	<b>made</b> 3:13	<b>map</b> 29:20	72:15 79:9	misconduct
located 44:4	12:24 20:25	March 8:4	101:15 102:17,	83:18 86:9,13,
52:4,7	22:5 25:12		18 103:5,19	16 100:23
	31:11 33:17	Marilyn 6:22	104:11 106:7,8,	101:4,16 102:19
locked 77:6,25	35:1 45:16	7:1	12,13 120:21	103:18 106:9
80:13 121:5	72:11 82:11	mark 4:5 8:20	121:4,7	misleading
locks 47:12	91:4 92:11 114:21	morked 54.40	members 6:19	22:18
logging 2:5		marked 54:12	7:2 11:8,16	mission 7:6,
	<b>mail</b> 66:8 99:10	<b>match</b> 12:19	13:16 34:2	10
logical 29:11	<b>main</b> 93:17	matching 27:5	35:12 51:3	
logistical 90:6	94:18		60:20,21,23 61:4 72:3 77:15	mistake 69:2,3
logo 93:11 94:6	maintain 73:8	<b>material</b> 33:8 96:5,10	89:12	misundersta
	119:1	·		nding 22:2
London 90:19		materials 13:6	membership	23:2 44:10
long 8:4 30:7	make 2:19,20	14:4 33:6 35:17,	7:4	85:22
42:11 53:7,21	3:10 4:22,23 8:11 16:17 23:6,	19 36:1 49:3	memorized	misundersta
56:2 58:1 61:4	23 26:13 29:22	79:25 94:18 95:15	11:19	ndings 19:2
80:6 82:23	31:18,21 35:8		mental 25:4	
105:5,7 108:13,	36:12,17 40:21	matter 10:16	60:1 120:22	misundersto
14	42:18 49:5	30:9 38:12	mention 39:7	<b>od</b> 21:25
long-term	51:24 52:20	56:19 71:23 78:2 79:10		misused 19:4
16:11 104:17	55:10 57:9	91:19 101:12	message 43:6	21:25 22:3,11
loss 42:12	61:12 69:2,4,5	118:12	46:8 56:12 89:9	misusing 23:3
	70:9,13 73:3,5, 8,20 85:18 88:3		messages	
<b>lost</b> 77:23 92:1, 13 93:5	89:15,21 91:10	meaningful 38:15	33:23 49:16	mitigated 22:15 23:17
	95:19 111:9,12,		messaging	
<b>lot</b> 8:19 10:9	24 120:2,10,14	<b>means</b> 9:13	42:22	mitigating
11:10,18 12:22	<b>makes</b> 29:21	17:2 43:12 45:7	mot 00.44	42:8
13:7,15,21,22 16:2,20 20:3	60:4 79:5,17	48:21 49:5 55:3 69:13 81:10	<b>met</b> 22:11 30:14 111:7	monitor 46:21
24:5,17 30:21	107:2,13 120:4,	97:2,3	113:5	<b>month</b> 48:18
40:13,23 41:16	9			
42:2 43:21	making 16:18	<b>meant</b> 10:17	<b>metal</b> 47:11	<b>months</b> 51:16
44:21 49:11	41:13 42:6,11	measures	<b>MFIPPA</b> 19:15	82:10
50:24 51:11	47:23 70:3 72:7	40:1	<b>mic</b> 66:13	<b>mood</b> 55:17
53:2 59:23	91:6,9 92:11	mechanism		moral 15:24
64:11 70:6 80:4	110:20 123:19	26:19	microphone 31:3 95:1	50:8 105:20,24
88:6 93:16 94:8 98:1 107:2	<b>manage</b> 13:11	<b>media</b> 43:2		106:5
	91:8		<b>mic's</b> 49:13	morning 5:18
love 48:2,4	management	medical 25:19	middle 47:8	6:3,4 7:11,15,16
loved 28:17	8:1,6 13:8 40:17	meet 8:20	58:14	8:19 12:14,15
lying 73:7,21	61:9,11,17	21:12 54:17,19	Middleton	13:21 60:19
75:11		55:22	6:21	Morris 7:17
	mandatory 72:14,24 73:5,	meeting 40:23		59:22 60:18
Lynette 6:2	11 75:8 76:6	109:25	mind 11:1	66:18,22,25
	100:2 102:20	<b>meets</b> 56:21	91:18,19 92:15 96:18 99:22	67:3 68:6 69:18,
M	103:24 114:19	57:6 115:14		21 71:6,11,20
	manner		<b>minute</b> 118:9	76:9 84:16,23
machinations	100:22	member 6:22	minutes 52:22	87:12 88:10 89:3 90:14
25:4		14:14 17:18 18:18 21:10	59:16 120:19	91:11 95:4,7,13
	manuals 33:9	60:5 61:15	122:25 123:2	96:7,9,13,18,25
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Index: loading..Morris

97:21 98:18 105:25 106:17 108:1 112:8,14, 16,23 113:12, 18,21,24 114:3 119:14,18 **Morton** 123:7 mother 67:6, 23 119:24 120:15 **motion** 98:22. 24 motivation 75:21 **motor** 31:2 **motto** 93:11, 20,21 94:6 mouthful 11:14 move 37:19 58:17 72:13 100:24 moves 107:4 **moving** 58:21 80:4 **Multi** 34:21 multitalented 123:8 multiple 36:16 56:13 Ν **named** 60:12 110:11 **names** 72:23 National 60:8 **nature** 121:8 navigate

22:23 24:7

**neat** 95:20

necessarily

62:5 89:23

119:4

needed 57:16 negative 29:3 negligent 54:4,9 news 43:3.4 **nice** 60:22 89:19 95:19 nobody's 56:14 non-member 60:5 **North** 52:15 **note** 59:19 61:6 118:18 **notes** 25:12 63:9,10,12,13 64:3,6 69:24 95:3,4,6,12,14, 16,18 96:22 nothing's 73:7 **notice** 23:20 74:25 86:19 98:21 116:16 notify 79:9 number 3:7 13:2,14 32:3 40:10 49:18 50:17 60:24 61:4,25 64:18, 77:19 80:7 84:24 85:3,11, 3 91:23 92:3.4 93:10 94:14 97:21,22 102:13,22,23

103:8,11 106:18

108:4 111:17

112:1,2,24,25

117:5 122:1,4,

114:6,7,10

13,21

70:3,5 94:15,17 19 65:13,14,15, 18,19,23 68:8,9 74:7,12,14 75:2, 3,5,6,7,12,14,18 15,24 86:5 87:1,

45:19 116:14 obliged

numbers 3:7 0 objected 29:1 obligated obligation 62:24 68:11,23 75:8 82:8 83:1,6 85:1.9 98:13 101:5 102:3,4,9, 11 103:8,17,24 104:2,12,14,20 105:20,22,24 106:5 108:20, 23,25 109:7,14, 15 112:19 114:14 121:2,25 122:5,8,10 obligations 50:7.8 85:3 101:11 102:25 110:16 119:1

101:13 obscure 19:17 **obtain** 10:22 obtained 46:1 99:13 obtaining 73:2 **obvious** 34:13 54:5 67:12 occupational 86:25 occur 25:5 54:10 **offer** 82:21 121:11 offering 76:5 **office** 45:16 80:4 98:3,17 100:12,24 116:12

officer 98:3

official 59:13 66:6 98:12 **offset** 111:3,9, 20,24 offside 41:25 onerous 36:4 one's 65:1

79:15

ongoing 19:4 **online** 2:5,21 3:8,19 5:14 6:10 7:13 31:5 40:17 62:22 70:24 71:5,12 Ontario 2:12

13:16 26:6,7 40:13 44:7 60:5 114:12,17

Ontario's 58:17 **OPA** 60:14

open 57:9 71:9 111:13

opinion 17:25 26:1 37:8 56:25 59:4

opportunity 7:12

opposed 65:20 108:24 109:15 122:19 **option** 62:1,2

options 11:4 16:5.10 17:20 46:6 61:25 62:6

76:1

**order** 15:8 20:10,13 63:19 64:23 71:12 87:15 97:12,17, 19 98:9,10,12, 20,23 99:2,5,8 106:23 107:15, 19,21 115:9 117:1

organization 45:3 60:13

organizations 45:1 60:8

Index: Morton..papers

organized 5:23 40:24

original 83:4 Orleans 77:20

**OSR** 109:20 110:2,5

**OT** 92:22

Ottawa 2:4 60:23 61:4 90:17,19

Ottawa- 90:14 out-of-

province 13:19

outcome 35:25

outrageous 30:7,15

overheard 100:15

overlap 27:11 overruled 25:10

oversee 9:4 overwhelm 72:19

overwhelmed 74:19

owe 78:21

Oxford 9:15 28:23

O'kelly 123:7

Ρ

paid 87:2 88:7 **panel** 76:2 **paper** 36:25 57:8 95:22

**papers** 116:12

<b>PAR</b> 35:14	past 4:11 14:2	period 42:10	phenomenal	<b>place</b> 22:13
paralegal	patch 9:19	periods 80:7	8:18	28:1 29:4 38:14 52:6 69:11
10:25	patchwork	permanent	<b>PHIPA</b> 19:10,	75:10 80:18
paraphrase	12:18 27:4	80:17	18,19 23:19	99:24 100:5
15:17	path 28:7 73:14	permissible	24:8,16,19,21 25:6,14,18	102:23 116:15
pardon 28:7	75:22	93:10	26:20,23 27:7,	123:8
42:24 74:4			19 37:23 41:6	places 12:7
113:21	patient 92:21 113:22 114:25	<b>permission</b> 12:1 34:6,14	77:11,13,14	plaintiff 88:5
parent 66:16,		52:5 81:1,3	92:5,17 99:17,	-
21 97:18 117:6,	patients 72:17	113:6 114:8	23 104:16,24 105:2,3,4	<b>plan</b> 123:15
8 119:11 120:5	Patriot 44:22	115:24	107:13 114:8	planned
parented	<b>pay</b> 30:16	<b>permit</b> 35:11	117:9	110:10
14:17		44:5 57:25	<b>phone</b> 50:17	<b>plea</b> 72:8
<b>parents</b> 117:9	paying 96:3	<b>permits</b> 23:18	99:20	pleasurable
_	peers 29:7	<del>-</del>	photocopier	61:5
parent's 117:7	<b>pen</b> 57:8	permitted 21:7	100:12	pleasure
	people 2:4,19,			59:22
parse 9:12	22 3:1,2,8,15	perpetual 9:6	photocopy 32:18	
part 23:21	5:7 6:12 8:15,16	person 21:3		pocket 37:9
33:15 36:12	11:1,10,22 13:4,	23:23 29:1	photocopyin	<b>point</b> 9:13 14:8
55:23 66:19	19 15:11,24	30:16 36:6	<b>g</b> 34:15	19:24 29:12,13
68:8 89:17 108:16 114:19	17:2,8,9,24 18:5,6,8 24:3,5	41:13 47:19 50:10 51:21	physically	50:3 85:4 92:9
119:25 120:1	26:2,18 28:8	53:10 55:18	42:5	pointed 54:2
123:20	31:5 32:8,23	58:7 60:23	physician	police 98:3
participant	33:17 36:8,9	62:11 66:15	25:11 80:8	101:14 102:5,7,
119:24	37:3 40:23 45:8	69:1 74:21 75:1,	92:22 93:4	12
participated	49:8,16,20,23 50:10 51:2,11,	11 85:12 86:24 94:21 104:4	104:18,22	policy 7:23
5:17	12 52:11 53:5,	109:6 110:11	physician's	46:12
narticinating	19 54:17 56:3,	115:14,16,17,21	25:12	policy-
participating 3:6 6:12	10,23 59:6,9,15	118:21	pick 90:15	makers 12:20
	60:25 62:1,22	personal 16:8,	<b>picked</b> 47:18	political 9:20
<b>parties</b> 78:20 118:11	76:17 78:7 79:12,13 80:3,	14,16 41:9 43:7	64:19	-
	24 84:22 89:10,	46:2,4 48:20	<b>pickup</b> 47:18	popular 13:1
partnership	11 93:16 94:8,9,	49:7 50:5,6 51:5		18:11
103:7	23 95:17 102:2	77:5 79:9,21 86:21 92:23	<b>picture</b> 93:11	portions 38:2
parts 24:2	103:10 107:2,8	99:11 107:25	94:7	<b>pose</b> 18:15
<b>party</b> 41:13	109:23 112:1 115:19 121:18,	114:17	pictures 94:8	position 46:12
46:10 78:22	19 123:2,8	personally	<b>piece</b> 19:17	52:8 56:14
79:7 105:16		61:2	23:16 36:24	57:14
110:19 112:12	<b>people's</b> 16:15 20:16	person's	68:9 74:8	positions
116:25	45:19	20:22 47:16	<b>pieces</b> 18:16	56:16 60:4
<b>pass</b> 88:6		50:22 85:13	19:12 21:17	possess
password	percent 62:18 77:1	<b>ph</b> 108:9	24:18	94:16
43:11,18		<del>-</del>	<b>PIPEDA</b> 24:16	
password-	perform 57:20	pharmacist 92:22	25:14 44:24	possession 96:23
51:7	performing	92.22	45:1	
	25:18			possibly

Index: PAR..possibly

21:18 22:23 119:23 **post** 3:17 potential 22:1 58:23 potentially 86:24 power 98:1 107:5 **powers** 44:18 practical 14:18 practice 8:12 9:4 10:3 11:7 12:19 13:8 17:7 41:22 42:20 52:14 54:4 60:6 61:9,11,16 65:3, 24,25 88:11,12 90:11 92:8 93:12,22 94:9, 13 99:20 100:7 103:25 104:7 121:1 practices 13:11 77:22 practicing 41:24 79:10 practitioner 92:19 practitioners 54:7 72:23 73:12 77:21 92:24 precedent 117:8 predicted 13:22

13:22

prefer 51:6,7

preferred
 117:15

premises 98:7

prepare 83:7
 85:12

present
 110:21 113:17

inar in Professiona 2 12, 2019

presentation
8:11 10:16
46:14 63:3

presentation
5 60:4 69:1

presented
97:8

President 6:2,
7

pretty 6:11
8:18 21:4 37:13

prevent 71:17,
21 91:8

prevent 71:17, 21 91:8 previous 83:12,21 105:19 119:10 previously 82:25 primarily 8:5 20:14

principal 109:19 110:4 principle

15:10 114:23 **principles** 10:11 15:5,21 16:19 44:25 **printed** 49:3

prior 8:3 34:6 85:4 privacy 19:13

privacy 19:13 23:25 24:18 26:6,9 43:24 44:2,12,23 45:16,23 46:22 48:17 72:4 108:5 private 32:7

79:4 120:21 121:1 privilege 6:18 20:4 59:21 privileged

7:14 **pro** 12:10 37:5

problem 4:7 14:3 27:2 54:23 61:24 74:7,12 119:14 122:19, 21 problematic

65:5 **problems** 9:17,21

proceeding 20:5,15

proceedings 4:16,24 63:12

**process** 16:18 37:17 45:12

**produce** 35:18 94:15

**produced** 20:17 54:1

products 41:2 profession 2:17 17:2 39:14

114:23 **professional** 2:7,15 5:11 7:2, 21 8:12 10:6,24 11:13 12:19 13:8 16:24,25 22:17 27:21 32:17,18 34:11 35:10 37:3,4 40:17 48:14 53:25 60:6.15

40:17 48:14 53:25 60:6,15 75:4 83:18 86:9, 13,16 88:11,12 91:5 94:1,5 100:23 101:4,16 102:19 103:18 104:9 106:9

professionall y 117:13 professionals

rofessiona 19:22 35:5 57:19

professions 53:22 56:17 program 3:4

**program** 3:4 7:24 14:17 58:19,23

programs 8:2 progress 82:12 85:17 prohibit 41:1

**prohibit** 41:1 45:1

21:7 prohibiting

prohibited

20:11 prohibition

24:15 44:14 **prohibitions** 19:25

**prohibits** 42:23 43:1 79:24

promised 110:18

promptly 82:16

**proof** 118:14

**proper** 28:4 29:2 64:1

proportionate ly 52:19

proprietary 13:5

prosecuted 52:13

**protect** 33:3 45:7 48:19 49:1 52:4 63:19 68:3, 11,12 97:9

**protected** 42:12 51:8

**protection** 43:11 77:4 99:12 114:5

protections 92:25 protest 11:23

protocol 34:9 protocols 33:10 39:24

40:4

provide 7:11
9:6 15:12 18:1,
21,22 21:10
22:9,16 23:8,22
24:12,15 26:1
28:11 29:11,23
32:12,15 39:21
41:12 45:4
46:21 53:12,13
61:25 72:2
74:24 82:19
83:10,20 85:1,2
87:13,19 95:8
101:7 107:9
108:16 116:25

**provided** 28:19 82:17 85:20 109:11 120:5

provider 60:3 providers 4:4

providing 13:16 19:25 21:19 23:1 25:25 50:5 51:10 72:2 85:16 106:10

**province** 2:22 13:18 19:12 58:17,21 97:25

Provincial 60:11

provision 27:19

**provisions** 30:11 52:18,20

**psych** 69:23 70:8

psychiatrist

**psychologica**I 18:13 19:11
24:10 28:3 34:5
39:6 40:14
47:16 60:2 83:4,
12,15,20 86:6
92:1 109:20,21
110:6 121:12

psychologist 18:23 26:7,10, 14 31:7,15,17, 21 40:13 69:9, 11,23 70:8 83:5 96:16 110:13, 15,17,20 112:6,

# 8 113:3,10 psychologist

**S** 6:14 15:4 19:11 72:15 112:5

#### psychology

2:13,17 13:4 60:8,9,12 82:5 120:22,25

## psychothera pists 55:6

**57:21** 55:6

### psychothera

**py** 13:24 52:23 53:19 54:11,12, 15,16,17,18 55:3,4,11 58:16, 19,22

**PT** 92:22

**public** 6:22 16:19 39:11,15 51:3 70:23 71:4, 9,19 72:11

### publisher 36:14

## publishers

33:21 34:20 35:21 36:7,15, 17 97:5

**pull** 108:13 119:20

**pun** 12:23

#### purchase

33:16 35:17,18 36:5

# purchasing 36:9,13

**purpose** 25:24 34:7 35:20 58:3

# purposes

27:12 85:21

**pursue** 121:1

**push** 18:10 56:23

# pushed

123:12

put 3:16 4:5 20:13 22:13 23:9,11 28:1 33:13 36:1,24 38:22 41:25 46:14,16 48:17 50:20 68:8 69:25 71:12 79:14 87:5 93:22 94:7 104:7,12 107:21 109:10 117:19.

**putting** 33:13 79:16

20 122:10

**puzzle** 84:10

#### Q

# qualified

10:19,23 22:16 32:17 35:4,9 59:6

**quality** 8:1 47:12

#### query 36:17

auestion 3:15 4:5 17:11 18:11 24:3,20 28:13, 15 30:5 31:2 32:12 34:4 35:2, 23 36:18 39:23 40:7,15 41:5 44:8 49:12,15, 23 51:14 52:1, 24 58:16 66:12 68:1,7 69:16,22 71:16 78:19 87:7.10 88:23 90:13 94:23,25 105:17,20 116:5,7 119:10

# questioning

68:3

## questions 3:7,9,11,12,17,

21 4:1 9:2,5,6 11:6 13:7,12,15, 18,23 14:6,7,10

17:8,16 18:9,14,

15,16 22:22 30:24 32:4 33:9 37:14,18 39:10

40:10 51:11 58:13 59:17 62:19,21,23

122:24

# Questions@cpo.on.ca

3:23

**queue** 4:8,9

**quick** 37:13 58:16 61:6

**quickly** 18:8 24:22 39:17 120:20

**quote** 81:21

## R

race 52:21

raise 71:22

raised 101:10

rally 61:5

**rarely** 19:17

rating 45:18

# rationale 32:13

----

**raw** 13:5 24:4, 10 27:16,17 28:2,12 32:15 33:7 36:23 97:7

## reestablishing

77:21

reach 44:20 51:3

#### reached 14:8

**read** 15:15 24:6 27:3 40:2 41:20 43:15 49:2 92:3 123:14

# reading

108:13

ready 61:20

#### reaffirm 104:17

reaffirming

104:21

real 6:13 18:16 44:22

realized 63:2

realizes 21:1

reason 3:13 23:22 26:3

31:16 37:6 43:9 66:1,5 68:8 83:13 85:25

86:1,3 87:1 89:4,7 102:22

89:4,7 102:22 118:4,21,24

#### reasonable

28:2,10,14,20, 25:29:1,8:32:13 33:6:39:20 48:19:55:9 74:25:75:9,12 78:13:86:19 87:4:108:19 109:6,12:111:8,

reasoned 18:2 55:10 56:19

# reasoning

23

17:4 25:20

reasons 12:8 30:3,7 36:6 85:6,7 88:7 101:3,6 102:18 104:8

#### reassessmen

**t** 82:24 83:7,15

receive 66:1

**received** 26:8 63:6 66:3 78:16 79:2 99:20 105:6 107:12 110:9

receiving 3:11 13:7 49:15 52:7 58:7 112:11

Index: psychologists..records

recent 13:1 32:4 56:8 57:12 79:8

recently 2:10 13:7 18:11 32:21 82:17

recentlyappointed 6:22

# **RECESS**

59:19

# recipient

43:16 50:6 60:14

#### recipients 43:9

recognition 2:16 5:15

# recognize

29:19 recommend

80:6

recommenda tions 40:21

recommendi

**ng** 48:4 78:9

#### reconfirm 105:16 106:18,

reconfirming

record 18:13 22:9 26:15,16 39:12 47:17 70:22,23 71:13 99:13 106:22 107:3,4

#### recording 96:22

recordings 94:15

records 13:4 40:11,18 42:4,9 48:18 70:19 71:2 72:2 81:10, 11 116:3 regulations

14:16,22 41:22

9:10 11:12

57:21

119:22

**s** 9:18

110:5

122:17

reliability

relieved 27:10

relinquishing

39:10

46:9

23:18 32:14

83:14 117:3

refusing 23:21

register 5:21

registered 2:9

6:24 36:8 57:21

Registrar 2:6,

12 7:20 8:17

registration

5:20 73:14,22

59:23

75:22

105:1

57:18

103:3

regularly

regulated

regulation

53:25 86:16

Barbara Wand Se SEMINAR on Ju
recovery 20:25 21:23
reduce 10:5
reductions 48:7
redundant 3:13
refer 54:17
<b>reference</b> 36:20 79:17
referrals 100:14
<b>referred</b> 69:9 77:12
referring 82:14 90:17 101:22
refreshing 4:12
refreshments 59:13
<b>refusal</b> 23:24 89:1
<b>refuse</b> 19:7 21:8 22:15

reluctant regulators 54:6 60:9 rely 62:22 regulatory 56:8 60:8 remain 15:6 reimburseme nt 100:20 related 21:16, 17 63:3 82:18 83:21,24 85:22 87:9 98:7 relates 45:13 relations 8:1 relationship 51:22 55:14,15 88:15 89:1 91:8, 19 108:6,17 relationships 15:13 90:22 relationship' **release** 13:3,5 18:12 19:7 20:2 21:16 22:21 30:22 37:14 63:22 64:1,3 66:2 67:24 116:3 117:1 released 28:4 33:8,10 45:22 64:6 68:17 70:22 106:23 releasing 18:13 116:17 relevant 11:11 30:5 38:2

**remains** 46:20 remember 12:23 16:22 24:24 31:14,17 70:25 92:17 remind 53:4,5, 19 72:22 reminded 110:16 reminder 58:6 replacement 121:14 report 31:8,9, 11,14 39:25 40:2,5 73:5,9,21 75:12 82:19 83:8,10,12,20 84:18 85:17,19 87:3,13,15,22 96:4 100:2 101:2,15 102:11,20 103:13,15,18 104:2,4,10 105:21,22 108:20 111:9, 12,24 117:14 reported 109:14 reporting 72:14.25 73:11 75:8 100:11 103:24 109:15 114:15 reports 17:14 110:6 report's 92:1

reloading

4:12

51:19

123:15

41:9

17:23 80:8 representativ 79:11 **e** 107:4,12,14,25

research researcher resolved

respect 15:10 34:21 35:13.15 responsibiliti responsibility 15:12 17:3 41:9, retained 25:25 retention 42:10 120:24 returned 121:3.6

revealed 54:8

02.0			••	idoxi i to romaciido
Revenue 81:9 review 32:16	route 30:4 43:13 49:6	school 80:5 109:24 110:3	self-interest 16:9	<b>sessions</b>   83:9,17 84:18   86:8 94:17
56:18 65:7 87:14 121:11	<b>routine</b> 36:13 97:5	schoolboard s 80:3	selling 36:10 semi-annual	95:11,15,16 96:1
<b>reviewing</b> 63:12 87:10	routinely 94:18	<b>score</b> 32:18 35:3	2:18 <b>seminar</b> 2:8,	setback 51:21
reviews 54:6	Rubbermaid 78:8	scrambled 43:14	23 3:23 6:8,12 7:5	setting 50:18 settings 19:14
revise 46:12 revocation 73:14,22 75:23	rule 44:11,22 89:15,21 94:2	<b>scratch</b> 24:5 26:3	Seminars 2:15	<b>sever</b> 23:13,16 24:11
76:1 <b>RHP</b> 79:14	103:17 <b>rules</b> 11:11,12, 15,24 12:2,16	<b>screen</b> 4:5,13, 21 32:9	<b>send</b> 3:15 4:1 32:8,19 33:22 43:10 50:10	<b>sexual</b> 73:9 75:9,25
103:15 <b>RHPA</b> 54:14	14:20 42:13 61:23 79:20	screenshot 48:16	63:8 64:1,3 117:14	<b>sexually</b> 73:6 75:23
72:25 73:10 75:15 79:8,18,	91:22 92:24 93:15,16,17	script 61:6 scrutiny 16:19	sender 43:16	<b>share</b> 8:19 33:22 34:22,23
19 101:1,14 102:13,14 103:1	run-through 37:13	seal 81:23	<b>sending</b> 46:2 50:14 99:22	35:5,9,15 79:4 82:6 100:11,13
rich 7:12 15:16	running 39:8	sealed 47:20	senior 60:3	shared 35:13
<b>Rick</b> 57:1 59:10,22,25	84:24	sealing 20:12 search 98:2,4,	<b>sense</b> 16:18 29:21,22 87:17	<b>sharing</b> 34:2, 7,8 35:15
60:13 98:18 <b>Rick's</b> 56:25	S	5,7 99:6 116:2,9	120:2,4,9,10 <b>sensitive</b> 49:7	sheet 49:2
rights 15:24	<b>sad</b> 89:20	season 48:1	51:5	<b>sheets</b> 32:11, 19 34:15 35:3
38:13	<b>safe</b> 27:13	<b>Sec</b> 66:13	separate 84:8	<b>She's</b> 94:25
risk 20:23 21:2 22:14 30:8 33:13 36:2 42:7	80:15 121:9 <b>safeguarding</b> 48:18	sec 66.13 seconds	serve 98:21 served 60:10	short-term 16:11
44:14,16 52:12 79:23 96:10	safeguards 49:1	<b>secret</b> 120:17	98:18 116:4 <b>serves</b> 46:15	shots 32:9 shouldn't
111:5 113:10 115:20,23	<b>salient</b> 25:16	<b>section</b> 81:22 86:15	116:11,12 <b>service</b> 8:6 9:4	19:16 33:10,14 34:15 50:20,22
risks 16:11 23:2,8,17 30:12 42:7	<b>sample</b> 25:3 <b>sat</b> 17:13 32:23	sections 27:5	10:4 36:5,10 37:7 43:19 44:4 51:25 52:7 53:9	51:23 57:1 69:14 87:2 94:1 98:19 99:3,8
risky 54:12	satisfied	51:25	58:7 60:2 61:12	106:9
robust 44:18	45:10 <b>save</b> 123:10	<b>security</b> 33:3 42:3 48:14	85:1,2 98:17 100:16 106:10	<b>show</b> 81:22 117:3
role 34:3 room 5:1 31:4	says 79:18	77:16,18 120:24 <b>seek</b> 73:12,15	services 13:16 34:5	<b>showed</b> 110:9
62:23 64:8	scanning 35:3	seize 98:7	40:20 42:16 51:10 58:18	showing 99:4
<b>rough</b> 94:17 95:3,4,11,13,16,	<b>scenario</b> 4:14 67:23 68:15,21	<b>seizure</b> 98:2,4, 6 99:6 116:2,9	59:5,7 83:19 86:9	<b>shown</b> 112:9
18	84:1 89:8 113:25 114:4	self-	serving 46:12	<b>shows</b> 98:17
roughly 2:21 11:9	schedules 40:18	governing 17:1	<b>session</b> 94:17 95:3,4,12,13,18 117:16,18,25	sic 100:18,22 side 38:12
,				

Index: Revenue..side

**sixth** 62:6 spending 53:2 stayed 5:16 71:13 111:11 **spate** 32:4 116:19.24 **skill** 53:14 **speak** 17:23 spitting 24:22 **step** 66:9.11 106:14 **sign** 5:8,9 64:1 27:20 41:16 **skills** 53:12 **split** 103:25 100:16 51:6 63:19 Stephanie **skip** 56:6 92:2 65:18,19 78:25 spoil 40:1 signature 123:7,12 80:20 81:7 47:21 70:7 slogan 93:11, **spoken** 101:9 **steps** 48:19 82:24 97:4 22 94:3,6 signed 98:5 114:16 115:25 103:4,6 104:18, **spouse** 78:17 **small** 3:2 23:16 21 79:3,6 stick 104:1 significant 31:4 48:15 45:23 51:20 speaker 31:6, **staff** 3:24 28:16 90:10,11,16,23 **sticky** 8:11,25 10,20,24 37:20 100:12.15 123:6 signing 40:16 91:3 120:22 9:8,13,16,19 38:10.18.22.25 10:13 13:1 stakeholder **Smith** 57:4 39:4,22 49:14, silence 62:10 46:10 14:11 16:6 22 58:15 66:14, 73:25 social 16:3 37:19 54:21 20,23 67:1 68:2 stakeholders **silly** 62:9 45:19 57:21 58:9 119:18 69:8,19 70:18 46:12 71:10,15 76:3 stimuli 33:9 similar 27:15 **society** 15:13 **stand** 42:21 84:7,20 87:9 91:16 107:18 **stole** 80:14 software 58:1 88:2,24 90:9 similarly 13:10 61:9,11, 91:1 95:2,5,10 **stolen** 92:13 standard 44:18 102:4 17 96:2,8,12,17,24 14:13 28:1 33:1 **stop** 39:3 97:15 105:18 **simple** 3:22 **sole** 34:7 56:5 58:3 106:16 112:4, 5:19 10:1 11:21 stopped 82:11 113:15 **solely** 67:7,8 10,15,22 113:8, 47:3 52:11 53:4 standardized storage 80:17 13,19,22 114:1 somebody's simpleminde 24:10 28:2 121:9 119:9,16 123:17 17:25 21:20 **d** 47:3 standards **store** 77:14 speakers 7:15 someone's 2:15 9:10 11:13 78:8 simpler 11:21 108:9 speaking 2:4 12:5 14:12,19, stored 44:1 simplest 17:5 34:17 85:4 son 118:4 23 21:5,6,13 77:6 121:5 27:20,21 28:9 simply 4:11 120:14 speaks 25:7 **stores** 40:17 34:12 41:15,16, 10:2 20:1 32:18 sooner 4:18 special 66:2 23 42:2 48:9.13 44:9 situation 88:14 50:4 52:10 53:7 **sort** 9:20 10:11 storing 79:24 11:25 19:9 24:7 60:15 11:9 14:17 17:4 specific 32:13 27:7 39:24 **story** 27:17 19:16 24:22 36:18 **start** 7:18 18:12 46:20,25 47:4 25:3,4,16 26:2 84:10 19:9 41:4 61:7 63:16 67:14,16 specifically 27:13,18 28:6 90:4,22 108:10, strategies 72:3.20 73:17 24:9 59:2 79:24 30:8,18 32:11, 13 110:18 42:8 74:20 84:25 100:3 21 37:13 39:22 85:9,10 91:21 started 46:13 45:15 47:9 stressed spectrum 100:25 103:16, 53:20 50:18,19 67:11, 120:14 47:23 20 105:11 17 72:4 79:16, **State** 60:11 strictly 5:6 107:19 110:8 speculate 21 82:4 84:17 50:16 108:11 111:10 118:10, 89:21 93:1 84:9 statement 24 119:10,13 116:13 109:16 119:3 25:16 26:23 speculating 122:6 120:17 75:16 114:20 stringent 83:8 57:16 situations sorted 9:21 statutes 11:12 speculations 12:6,15 35:13 14:16 41:22 **strong** 32:15 69:14 **sounds** 40:15 39:20 47:7 72:16 88:3 statutory 67:13 71:7,8,9 speech 72:4 **space** 32:7 30:11 strongly 59:8 81:24 89:18 100:12,25 **spend** 51:16 111:10 106:2 **stay** 61:19 **span** 16:15 117:21

Index: sign..strongly

| suggests 85:8 | suspending

structured

58:19	104:24 114:10	46:16	42:12	
				territory 50:19
<b>struggle</b> 40:8 49:23	<b>suing</b> 81:1,6	suspicion 108:22 118:9	<b>tapes</b> 94:17 95:10,14	test 12:15 13:5
	suitable 29:2	100.22 110.9	,	24:4 28:3 33:4,
struggling	summarize	<b>swamp</b> 10:12	Tarasoff	6,9,13,21 35:9
32:24 110:8	15:17	<b>sway</b> 23:12	114:13	36:5 63:3 97:4,7
<b>stuck</b> 83:24	summary 3:16	symposium	tax 48:1,5	test-takers
121:15		123:15	technical	33:14
students	summons		48:24	tested 56:16
89:12	94:14 96:20	<b>system</b> 40:17	Technically	testified 70:20
<b>stuff</b> 11:18	97:16,24 98:15, 17 116:1,8,12,		109:2	
25:14 32:10	25	systems		testifying
42:8 49:5,8	supervise	34:21 48:10	technique	87:11
89:19 117:20	52:25 53:6		55:4,12	testimony
123:18	57:18	Т Т	technology	6:13 7:7
<b>subject</b> 33:16	cuporviced		4:4 6:13 13:14	tests 24:10
79:3	supervised 59:9	<b>T-BALL</b> 48:6	51:12 59:14 62:20	28:3 33:4,17
submit 4:6	3313	<b>T4s</b> 48:6		36:9,11,16
subpoena	supervisee 108:21 109:3,9	table 101:23	telephone 51:6	39:10
97:16,24	·			text 110:9,10
•	supervising	tables 5:3	telling 61:8	112:18
subpoenaed 70:19	53:11 58:3,6	tacky 94:1,2,3	100:15	that's 12:4
	supervision	takes 74:22	tells 10:17	14:16 16:20,21
subscribe	13:23 52:23	103:19 111:3	15:22 33:7	18:4 21:1 23:5
32:5 46:15	53:13,15 54:20 58:2	117:8	108:21 110:7 120:14	24:4 25:8 26:1,4 27:16 28:14
substandard		taking 37:7		32:7 37:12 38:8,
54:4	supervisor	48:19 78:2 85:5	<b>ten</b> 4:17,18	15 40:8 41:8
substitute	102:15 108:18, 24 109:16	123:5	tenant 114:22	44:3 45:22
75:2		talk 10:8 12:13	term 9:16 51:13	46:13 47:19
successfully	support 21:13	19:18 28:16		49:11 52:10
4:11,15 33:20	supporting	44:21 70:10	terminate 72:22 73:20	55:2,4,8,14 56:1,21 59:3,9
sucker 102:2	7:3	75:22 90:18	74:8 76:11	61:24 62:6,24
	supposed	92:16	83:18 86:9,21	66:9 68:6,9
suddenly	104:19	talked 30:10	102:16,18	69:21 75:3,15,
121:19	surprise 98:19	59:2 63:4 87:3	104:5,6	17 76:16,17,18,
sufficient	-	102:24	terminating	19 79:14 80:14 81:11 82:9
49:19	<b>surprised</b> 98:16,19 99:4,9	talking 9:1	74:18	85:14,16,18,25
suggest 32:16	,	18:13 37:22	termination	87:17 92:18
37:2 65:3 73:15	survey 54:5	43:23 48:19	86:17	93:23 97:12
80:17 97:13,15 112:20	surveys	55:15 61:9 74:2 77:12 78:6	terms 9:9	100:5 102:1,2,
	123:11	84:13,14 90:22,	48:13 55:21	23 105:7
suggested	suspect	23 92:16 96:9	61:8 69:22 70:3,	106:13,20 108:8 115:7,18,22
82:22	108:19 109:7,13	112:5 113:14	20 71:24 72:21	117:22 119:18
suggesting	suspected	talks 9:16,20	76:22 83:25	120:3,5 122:4,7,
61:14 74:6	69:10 70:1	15:19 19:19	85:15 88:1	12,21
109:2	101:16 103:18	24:11 41:6	102:8 106:1 114:20 122:16	theft 79:23
suggestion		48:24 81:25	114.20 122.10	
89:14		86:16,17 115:3		
	l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Index: structured..theft

terrible 27:1

| tampering

therapeutic	52:7 61:11,12	third-party	told 4:17 82:20	10.5
51:22 55:13	· ·	58:4		19:5
	62:23 76:15	36.4	91:20 98:24	treated 18:19
119:22	78:10,22 83:24	thought 8:21	109:16 112:9	
therapist	95:19,20,22	9:1 31:15,21	tomorrow 4:3	treating 18:24
108:7	98:22 103:16	32:23 53:19	76:15 115:6	55:1,2 83:4
	104:6 106:10	55:17		treatment
therapist-	109:25 113:13		topic 25:15	20:25 21:22
client 119:5	115:12,15	thoughts 31:1	83:25 86:5	73:4 83:9,19,21
therapy 63:9	117:11 122:14	72:18 74:11,19	topics 60:6	84:11 85:17
119:13	they've 3:9	threat 39:11	-	110:18 117:7
119.13	15:6	110:13	Toronto	118:3 120:7
there's 3:4,			90:15,19 123:18	
10,11 5:3,4 8:13	thing 10:22	threatened	Toronto-	<b>trial</b> 82:18
10:7 12:22,23	11:2 14:21	19:6 110:10		trick 116:5,7
14:3,13 15:8	26:22 29:18	threatening	centric 90:13	110K 110.5,7
20:3,8,10,12,23,	32:3 35:16 36:3		totally 107:23	tricky 8:14,17,
24 21:2,12	37:3 47:4 50:2	83:16 86:7	_	20 54:20 60:16
23:13 25:6 26:4,	51:4 52:18 55:7,	threats 110:20	touchy 50:19	61:22 119:17,19
16 27:10 28:19	9 61:18 65:6,25	there are according	trade 50:15	
30:22 31:3	67:4,5,10 68:2	threes 64:11		trigger 10:7
37:11 38:1	70:1 71:18 72:5	threshold	trail 28:8	103:22 104:3,9,
42:13 43:21	74:13 75:19	22:12 111:7	trained 18:21	10,11 113:6
44:3,10,12,13,	76:6 78:13	113:5,20		triggered
15,22 46:10,17	79:22 82:4	i i	21:10	103:23,24
49:11 50:24	89:21,23 90:3	thrown 45:15	training 21:14	
51:12 52:19	91:13 93:24	time 2:21 3:10,		triggers
53:1 54:22	95:15 103:4	14 8:4 17:7	transcribe	102:20 104:4
56:10 58:2 65:4	114:9,11 120:17	18:20,21 29:4,	36:24 95:19	trouble 80:5,9
	122:20	19 30:2 34:24	transcript	108:2
66:1,4 71:17	_		4:23	100.2
73:9 74:6 75:7	<b>things</b> 3:5 4:10	36:13,14 49:12		<b>true</b> 62:16
76:6 78:1,9,11	5:10 9:3,11	53:21 56:2,11	TRANSCRIPT	70:16 76:25
79:19,20 81:2	11:19 12:21,24	59:13 61:2,5	IONIST'S	77:7,10 78:17,
83:23 85:8,15,	13:6 15:19 16:4,	80:7,16 82:23	59:19	18 79:11,19,25
21 86:20,21,22	9 17:5 20:19	83:17 84:11		80:1,2,23 85:24
88:10,24 89:3	21:16 24:2	85:17 87:4,16,	transferring	86:10 87:7
92:19 94:2,11	27:10 30:12,13	21,22 90:24	45:2	88:13,16,17
97:9,17 99:16,	31:2 34:18	98:12 106:12	transfers	92:1 93:13,14
22 100:9 102:9	35:22 37:23	116:15 117:19	108:23	94:18,20 99:14
103:10 105:3,14	41:17 42:17	119:21 120:18		101:1,19,20
106:14 112:6	44:16 54:16,19	123:5,9	transmission	103:12,16
113:8 114:9,11,	60:24 63:4 66:7	timeframe	42:14	104:16,19
19,24 115:1	67:11 81:23	105:13	transmitted	104:10,19
116:16 117:5	86:17,18,19			108:7,8 109:1,
118:1,4 121:18	89:8 90:1,6 93:1	timelines	44:2 45:20	
46 ovill 40.40	97:23 98:4,15	30:14,15 105:4	112:7	22,25 110:1,2
they'll 12:10	103:21 106:8	times 5.7 0.5	transparent	111:14,25
23:6 46:21	111:15 116:10	times 5:7 6:5	45:12	116:1,4,6
89:11,13 97:5	117:3 123:9	16:16 17:22		117:16 118:5,6,
they're 12:24		35:10 36:21,22	transpires	7 119:2
13:17 16:5	thinking	title 8:10,24	108:11	trump 103:1
19:25 23:23	61:15,16 62:24	·	trauma 51:20	
27:9 36:14	90:4 105:11	titles 9:23		trumps 14:22
38:12 42:9,12,	120:25	today 3:1 4:4	trauma-	trunk 79:25
19,20 46:3,11,	thinks 62:13	5:2 25:15	related 18:25	80:4,11,15
16,20 50:5	02.10		traumatize	
51:16,18,19,24				

Index: therapeutic..trunk

undertake

unethical 65:5

unhappy 97:1

UNIDENTIFIE

**D** 31:6,10,20,24 37:20 38:10,18,

22,25 39:4,22

66:14,20,23

49:14,22 58:15

67:1 68:2 69:8,

19 70:18 71:10,

15 76:3 84:7,20

90:9 91:1 95:2, 5,10 96:2,8,12,

105:18 106:16 112:4,10,15,22

113:8,13,19,22

114:1 119:9,16

University

unlocked 47:10

**unnecessary** 19:2 66:9,11

68:10 107:24

**unpaid** 42:17

30:15

43:14

47:17

unsealed

unsolicited

unsure 111:12

**unusual** 18:17

updates 46:21

**upheld** 69:15

**upset** 93:7

107:11

**URL** 49:1

**USB** 91:25

78:19 79:1

unreasonable

unscrambled

80:9

87:9 88:2,24

17,24 97:15

83:14

trust 38:16

**truth** 77:10

**truths** 77:9

**tuned** 5:17

**turn** 10:9 97:11

98:11 104:12,13

Tuesday

120:15

61:19

116:11

**type** 38:7

typed 95:23

**types** 84:11

typical 51:14

ultimately

unattended 47:17

unauthorized

44:5 50:22

uncertainty

uncomfortabl

52:14

10:8,9

**e** 82:13

20:24

123:12

**gs** 56:14

121:8

undefined

understand

31:13 40:25 49:4,8 122:9,21

understandin

understandin

understands

63:21,24 68:14

**g** 41:11 49:20 96:14

18

U

35:25 45:4 56:4,

48:13

	inde	x: trustwhatsoeve	
v		webinar 60:22 webinars 109:24	
<b>valid</b> 105:9	<b>wait</b> 115:8 118:9	website 3:18	
validity 39:9	waiting 60:17	4:17 15:2	
valuable 41:17	walk 10:12 25:2	websites 94:9	
values 15:25	Wand 2:8,11,	weddings 89:19	
van 80:10,13	12,14 3:22 6:8	week 82:10	
122:2	7:5 60:14	105:10	
variant 97:16	<b>wanted</b> 15:18 31:16 78:7	weeks 91:20	
variety 60:6	100:1 105:19	weight 70:7	
83:23 <b>vehicle</b> 106:24	<b>wanting</b> 38:14 86:1	well-being	
		84:9	
vehicles 97:22	<b>warn</b> 73:13,22 110:14 111:4	wellbeing 16:1 83:9 86:22	
vendor 41:20 42:22 48:23	113:7 114:9,10, 12,14	weren't 2:20	
vendors 40:12	warning 23:8 32:16 116:6	20:17 53:20	
41:1,17 43:23		90:17 119:11	
venue 6:10	warrant 97:25	Western 34:5 we'd 12:25	
verbal 99:18	98:1,4,6 106:23 116:2,9,10	51:6	
verified 35:14	warranted	<b>we'll</b> 4:23 12:7	
<b>veto</b> 117:11	31:25	19:18 46:23 48:12 59:16 63:4 92:2 105:15,16 106:18 120:19, 20	
victim 113:17	warrants 98:2		
<b>video</b> 51:25 123:22	99:6		
view 50:4 92:9	<b>wasn't</b> 17:18 26:10,20,21		
viewed 43:8	27:17 31:25	we're 2:3 3:6	
viewed 45.6 viewing 62:21	82:21 96:2	5:6 6:11,18 7:14 9:11 10:18,19	
violating 36:2	<b>watch</b> 32:6 34:7 99:9	11:20 12:13	
violence	watched	14:8 24:23 25:2 27:10 30:21	
82:19	17:16	48:10 57:23,25	
violent 86:24	watching 3:3	59:12 70:3 73:16 74:2	
voluntary	5:12 60:21 63:2	92:25 112:5	
85:5,6	<b>water</b> 5:2,5 57:10 77:25	114:4	
<b>vote</b> 74:5 101:21	waterproof	<b>we've</b> 3:8,9,13 13:7 14:8 26:17	
	77:7,22	30:10 43:3,22	
<b>vulnerable</b> 21:20 51:15,18	<b>ways</b> 6:17 7:5	57:13 106:17	
,	57:6	whatnot 39:13	
		1	

whatsoever

79:20 112:15

webcast 3:6

123:19

<b>what's</b> 15:25	worked 8:5	<b>years</b> 17:15	98:18 103:21	
17:5,12 22:1	59:25	18:19 51:15	120:1 121:13	
30:19 32:6 38:3	workers 57:22	59:25 63:7 67:2		
55:4,17,18,20	working 40:44	77:20 80:7		
68:15 80:16 89:9,16 91:4	<b>working</b> 19:14 51:15,16 57:4,	82:10 83:11 84:15,19 85:14,		
104:20 111:14	15 61:10 104:7	16		
122:11	122:8			
whoever's	works 47.05	<b>year's</b> 105:15		
5:22	<b>works</b> 17:25 43:5 58:10	yelling 109:25		
<b>who's</b> 11:3	76:19	yes/no/you		
35:6 36:10 40:2	worried 18:25	37:15		
41:24 58:7	19:3	York 51:16		
71:24 74:6				
105:22 110:20	<b>worry</b> 17:9 90:7 91:14	<b>young</b> 118:16		
who've 43:23	109:17	<b>you'd</b> 51:7		
		95:14 121:20		
<b>Wi-fi</b> 5:1	Worst 4:14	<b>you'll</b> 16:24		
<b>wife</b> 118:18	worth 9:1	19:14 20:5		
120:12	16:23 87:25	21:15 30:4		
William 6:21	wouldn't	36:15 40:24		
window 56:9	18:17 48:3	43:6 85:6		
	75:11 76:13	you're 5:21		
WISCS 39:13	84:13	7:13 16:5,21,22		
wisely 15:22	<b>Wow</b> 122:23	19:14 20:4,7,8, 12 21:7 22:19		
wishes 63:25	write 67:23	23:7,14,20		
wondering	70:14 84:17,21	25:22 27:12		
66:14 69:10	87:15,22	37:5 38:13 42:3,		
110:14	writing 29:16,	18 45:6 46:4,8		
won't 4:14	17 69:9,14	47:7,23 50:5,11 52:9 53:2,11,13		
10:9 13:20 14:6	99:14,17,18,22	55:15 57:4,7		
38:2 39:2,3 41:2	121:10 122:11	58:6 61:15,16		
43:15 58:12	written 23:8,20	63:14 67:22		
85:7 89:14	34:6 73:2 99:25	74:18 76:5,9,10,		
word 11:12	100:4,6,8 101:9	11 77:2 78:13		
55:22 57:9	109:5	84:14,16,19 87:11,12,13		
67:17 118:2,4	wrong 11:2	88:7,21 89:5		
wording 96:21	17:24,25 31:15	91:5,17 96:7,15,		
	47:19 62:4	19 98:18 101:1		
<b>words</b> 16:20 28:24 34:8	84:25 85:15 86:11 97:10	102:15 103:6,13		
54:23 100:6		105:11 108:9		
	wrote 117:20	112:10 116:20 119:7 120:21,25		
work 3:10		121:14,19 122:8		
19:11,13 24:8, 17 26:24 44:24	Υ	·		
45:9 51:13 55:9		<b>you've</b> 5:16		
58:12 61:13	year 2:21 6:13	17:25 25:25 33:17 34:7		
74:23 75:13,14	11:7 40:11 61:2,	38:16,19 60:16		
80:3 87:2 93:21	20 67:20,21	74:16 85:2		
101:6 102:12	105:6	89:24,25 90:4		
109:18 122:1				
	1	I	1	I

Index: what's..you've