# BULLET BOARD • OF • EXAMINERS • IN • PSYCHOLOGY

## **APPOINTMENT TO THE BOARD**

The Board is pleased to announce the appointment by the Lieutenent-Governor in Council of Dr. George Phills of London, Ontario, to a five year term on the Board, effective June 1, 1987. Dr. Phills replaces Dr. John McGrory whose appointment expired on May 31.

Dr. Phills was born and educated in Nova Scotia, receiving degrees from the University of King's College and Dalhousie University. His doctorate is from the University of London. Presently Chief of Psychological Services with the London Board of Education, he has served this school board as a consulting psychologist since 1964. Before coming to Ontario, Dr. Phills worked in a mental health centre and a hospital in Cape Breton. He has taught part-time at St. Francis Xavier University and the University of Western Ontario, where he also participates in the psychology department's internship program. His experience includes a year in Trinidad with the Canadian International Development Agency, teaching at the University of Trinidad, working on a mental health team, and assisting in the training of nurses in informal assessment techniques.

#### BOARD ACTIVITIES AS THEY AFFECT PSYCHOLOGISTS' FEES

The reported increase in the number of complaints lodged against psychologists in Ontario, published in the February 1987 issue of The Bulletin, parallels the trend noted by professional regulatory bodies across North America. In part, the greater frequency of complaints may be due to the greater knowledge and sophistication of the public in respect to their rights and the quality of services they are entitled to receive. To that can be added the heightened visibility of the various professional regulatory bodies, as well as the existence of other organizations concerned with the public welfare, the Office of the Ombudsman in Ontario, for example, or the provincial and federal human rights commissions.

The Board's forecast of the expense entailed in investigating these complaints, and in laying charges and holding hearings where necessary, led the Board to apply to the government for an amendment in the Regulation respecting fees. An increase in the renewal fee paid by psychologists from \$255 to \$300 has now been approved and represents an increase of 17.6 percent, an amount somewhat in excess of the rate of inflation over the two-year period since the last increase in psychologists' fees.

Professional regulatory bodies like the Ontario Board of Examiners in Psychology differ significantly from the voluntary or fraternal professional organizations, such as the Ontario Psychological Association, in the degree of independence with which they can set goals, develop sources of revenue or spend money.

The Board's revenue is derived mainly from psychologists' fees. For psychologists in On-

tario, however, fees for application, examination, renewal or reinstatement of certificates or registration are set in Regulation and may be amended only after the Board's request is reviewed and approved by a committee of the legislature. In a similar manner, some of its expenditures are also determined by Regulation, such as payment of Board members attending Board meetings and psychologists serving as examiners of candidates.

Within limits the Board controls the extent of its office operations. On the other hand it must have sufficient staff to deal effectively with its business, including complaints. And obviously the Board is unable to control the number of complaints it receives, or the expense entailed in dealing with these complaints. Under the Psychologists Registration Act, the Board is obligated to investigate complaints against psychologists and to take appropriate disciplinary action. In some cases this action may be protracted and expensive. (The cost to the Board in holding one hearing can, and has, exceeded \$30,000.) Although the noted percentage increase in renewal fees exceeds the rate of inflation over the relevant two-year period, the rate of increase does not exceed the 100 percent increase in the number of complaints or the fifty percent increase in the cost of legal services for the same two-year period. The present legislation does not permit the Board to recover any of these expenses by, for example, requiring psychologists found guilty of professional misconduct to pay costs.

To the extent that both revenue and expenses and the duties of the Board are set in legislation, and as the Board itself is appointed by the Lieutenant Governor in Council, rather than elected by the members of the profession, it cannot be claimed that the regulation of the profession of psychology in Ontario is "self regulation" in the sense of being under the control of the members. Although it is expected that with new legislation psychologists will be able to elect the "council" that will administer the psychology Act, the legislature of Ontario will continue to set the principles of professional regulation and insert any "checks and balances" in this process that are required to ensure the public interest is served.

All groups and individuals who study professional regulation agree that it is expensive, particularly if it is done properly. In the fiscal year ending on May 31, 1987 it appears that approximately 25 percent of the Board's expenditures, excluding staff salaries, were directly related to complaints and discipline. The Board has directed considerable effort to providing information through The Bulletin that could assist psychologists in avoiding the kinds of mistakes that lead to complaints. In addition to publishing notes on problem areas, the Board staff provides a service to psychologists who present ethical or other professional problems or questions. Some descriptive statistics summarizing the Board's activities are presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

#### TABLE 1

Complaints Against Psychologists Received Between June 1, 1986 and May 31, 1987 Categorized by Subject

NUMBER

CUD IECT OF COMPLAINT

SUBJECT OF COMPLAINT	NUMBER
SERVICES	
Testing procedures	2
Custody & access assessments	4
Vocational assessment	1
Substance abuse	1
Termination of services	3
Sexual impropriety	6
Obligations to parents	1
Confidentiality	2
Conflict of interest	1
Practising outside area of competence	1
Unprofessional language	1
PROFESSIONAL RELATIONS	
Interference with professional	
automony	1
Improper supervision	3
Unprofessional remarks about	
colleagues	2
CONDUCT OF PRIVATE PRACTICE	
Public statements	1
Advertising and announcements	6
Fees and billing	4
Incorporation	4
Improper vocational designation	2
Unprofessional business practices	3
Violation of the psychology act in	
Ontario	1
In another jurisdiction	2
TOTAL	52

#### TABLE 2

Questions Directed to the Ontario Board of Examiners in Psychology from June 1, 1986 to May 31, 1987

Source of QuestionsSUBJECT OF QUESTIONSPsychologists NOthers NOthers NPROBLEMS IN ORGANIZATIONS Professional conflict3200Professional conflict3200Protection of records and confidentiality3200OTTAL6400PROBLEMS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE Advertising and announcements412Partnerships & incorporations1112Billing and collection8646Referrals2200TOTAL1713610INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General977General97711Right of client to see report321See report3212Obligation to provide raw data10835Complaints and discipline86812Close of a practice3212TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice insurance1112QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE LE OPA, CPSO9700					
QUESTIONSN%NPROBLEMS IN ORGANIZATIONS Professional conflict200Protection of records and confidentiality3200TOTAL6400PROBLEMS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE Advertising and announcements412Partnerships & incorporations1112Billing and collection8646Referrals2200TOTAL1713610INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General97711Right of client to see report3212Records and confidentiality171312Obligation to provide raw data10835Consent, release of information4323Retention of files2212Obligation to provide raw data10846Close of a practice3212TotAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice insurance11123OUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,1123					
PROBLEMS IN ORGANIZATIONS Professional conflict7777Professional conflict3200Protection of records and confidentiality3200OTUTAL6400PROBLEMS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE Advertising and announcements6412Partnerships & incorporations1112Billing and collection8646Referrals2200TOTAL1713610INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General97711Right of client to see report3212Records and confidentiality171312Obligation to provide raw data0835Complaints and discipline86812Expert testimony5435Supervision10846Obligation to provide raw data0012Testing43233Research86233TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice insurance1112QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,1		PSyc	-		
Professional conflict3200Protection of records and confidentiality $3$ $2$ 00TOTAL6400PROBLEMS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE Advertising and announcements6412Partnerships & incorporations1112Billing and collection8646Referrals2200TOTAL1713610INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General97711Right of client to see report3212Records and confidentiality171312Consent, release of information4323Retention of files2212Obligation to provide raw data10846Close of a practice3212Testing4300Dual relationships4323Research8623TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice insurance1112QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,1102539	ANESHONS	N	<b>%</b> 0	N	70
Protection of records and confidentiality $3$ $2$ $2$ $0$ $0$ PROBLEMS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE Advertising and announcements $6$ $4$ $1$ $2$ Partnerships & incorporations $1$ $1$ $1$ $2$ Billing and collection $8$ $6$ $4$ $4$ $6$ Referrals $2$ $2$ $2$ $0$ $0$ $0$ INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General $9$ $7$ $7$ $7$ $11$ Right of client to see reportSee report $3$ $2$ $2$ $1$ $1$ $2$ Obligation to oprovide raw data $10$ $8$ $4$ $3$ $2$ $3$ $2$ $1$ $2$ Obligation to oprovide raw data $10$ $8$ $4$ $3$ $2$ $3$ $2$ $2$ $1$ $2$ LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act $0$ $1$ $12$ $1$ $2$ $2$ QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE 1.E. $1$ $12$ $1$ $25$ $39$					
records and confidentiality $3$ 6 $2$ 4 $0$ $0$ TOTAL $6$ $4$ $0$ $0$ PROBLEMS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE Advertising and announcements $6$ $4$ $1$ $2$ Partnerships & incorporations $1$ $1$ $1$ $2$ Billing and collection $8$ $6$ $4$ $6$ Referrals $2$ $2$ $0$ $0$ TOTAL $17$ $13$ $6$ $10$ INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General $9$ $7$ $7$ Records and confidentiality $17$ $13$ $1$ $2$ Consent, release of information $4$ $3$ $2$ $3$ Retention of files $2$ $2$ $1$ $2$ Obligation to provide raw data $10$ $8$ $3$ $5$ Complaints and discipline $8$ $6$ $8$ $12$ Expert testimony $5$ $4$ $3$ $5$ Supervision $10$ $8$ $4$ $6$ Close of a practice $3$ $2$ $1$ $2$ Testing $4$ $3$ $2$ $3$ Research $8$ $6$ $2$ $3$ TOTAL $87$ $67$ $35$ $54$ LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act $0$ $1$ $2$ Section 11 $12$ $9$ $23$ $35$ Malpractice insurance $1$ $1$ $1$ $2$ Malpractice		t 3	2	0	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccc} cccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
TOTAL     6     4     0     0       PROBLEMS IN PRIVATE PRACTICE Advertising and announcements     6     4     1     2       Partnerships & incorporations     1     1     1     2       Billing and collection     8     6     4     6       Referrals     2     2     0     0       TOTAL     17     13     6     10       INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General     9     7     7     11       Right of clien to see report     3     2     1     2       Consent, release of information     4     3     2     3       Retention of files     2     2     1     2       Obligation to provide raw data     10     8     4     6       Close of a practice     3     2     1     2       Obligation to provide raw data     3     2     3     5       Supervision     10     8     4     6       Close of a practice     3     2     3     5		3	2	0	0
PROBLEMS IN PRIVATE PRACTICEAdvertising and announcements6412Partnerships & incorporations1112Billing and collection8646Referrals2200TOTAL1713610INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General97711Right of client to see report3212Records and confidentiality171312Consent, release of information4323Retention of files2212Obligation to provide raw data10835Complaints and discipline86812Expert testimony5435Supervision10846Close of a practice3212Dual relationships4323Research8623TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice insurance11123QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,10102539					
Advertising and announcements6412Partnerships & incorporations1112Billing and collection8646Referrals2200TOTAL1713610INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General97711Right of client to see report3212Records and confidentiality171312Consent, release of information4323Retention of files2212Obligation to provide raw data10835Complaints and close of a practice3212Testing43000Dual relationships43233Research86233TOTAL8767355454LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 11129233535Malpractice insurance1112QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,1123			-	0	0
announcements6412Partnerships & incorporations1112Billing and collection8646Referrals2200TOTAL1713610INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General97711Right of client to see report3212Records and confidentiality171312Consent, release of information4323Retention of files2212Obligation to provide raw data10835Complaints and discipline86812Expert testimony5435Supervision10846Close of a practice3212Testing4300Dual relationships4323Research86233TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice insurance1112TOTAL13102539QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,55		FE PRAC	TICE		
Partnerships & incorporations 1 1 1 2 Billing and collection 8 6 4 6 Referrals 2 2 0 0 TOTAL 17 13 6 10 INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General 9 7 7 11 Right of client to see report 3 2 1 2 Records and confidentiality 17 13 1 2 Consent, release of information 4 3 2 3 Retention of files 2 2 1 2 Obligation to provide raw data 10 8 3 5 Complaints and discipline 8 6 8 12 Expert testimony 5 4 3 5 Supervision 10 8 4 6 Close of a practice 3 2 1 2 Testing 4 3 0 0 Dual relationships 4 3 2 3 Research 8 6 2 3 TOTAL 87 67 35 54 LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of 1 2 Section 11 12 9 23 35 Malpractice insurance 1 1 1 1 2 2 TOTAL 13 10 25 39 QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,		G	4	1	0
incorporations1112Billing and collection8646Referrals2200TOTAL1713610INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General97711Right of client to see report3212Records and confidentiality171312Consent, release of information4323Retention of files2212Obligation to provide raw data0835Complaints and discipline86812Expert testimony5435Supervision10846Close of a practice3212Testing4323Research8623TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice insurance11123QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,539		0	4	1	2
Billing and collection8646Referrals2200TOTAL1713610INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDSGeneral97711Right of client to see report3212Records and confidentiality171312Consent, release of information4323Retention of files2212Obligation to ulscipline86812Expert testimony5435Supervision10846Close of a practice3212TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice insurance1112QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE 1E553		1	1	1	2
collection     8     6     4     6       Referrals     2     2     0     0       TOTAL     17     13     6     10       INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS     General     9     7     7     11       Right of client to     see report     3     2     1     2       Records and					-
TOTAL   17   13   6   10     INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General   9   7   7   11     Right of client to see report   3   2   1   2     Records and confidentiality   17   13   1   2     Consent, release of information   4   3   2   3     Retention of files   2   2   1   2     Obligation to provide raw data   10   8   3   5     Complaints and discipline   8   6   8   12     Expert testimony   5   4   3   5     Supervision   10   8   4   6     Close of a practice   3   2   1   2     Testing   4   3   2   3     Research   8   6   2   3     TOTAL   87   67   35   54     LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act   0   0   1   2     Section 11   12   9   23   35     Malpractice insurance		8	6	4	6
INTERPRETATION OF STANDARDS General 9 7 7 11 Right of client to see report 3 2 1 2 Records and confidentiality 17 13 1 2 Consent, release of information 4 3 2 3 Retention of files 2 2 1 2 Obligation to provide raw data 10 8 3 5 Complaints and discipline 8 6 8 12 Expert testimony 5 4 3 5 Supervision 10 8 4 6 Close of a practice 3 2 1 2 Testing 4 3 0 0 Dual relationships 4 3 2 3 Research 8 6 2 3 TOTAL 87 67 35 54 LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act 0 0 1 2 Section 11 12 9 23 35 Malpractice insurance 1 1 1 2 2 TOTAL 13 10 25 39 QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE 1E.,	Referrals	_2	2	0	_0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TOTAL	17	13	6	10
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	INTERPRETATION OF	STANDAI	RDS		
Right of client to   see report   3   2   1   2     Records and   0   13   1   2     confidentiality   17   13   1   2     Consent, release   0   1   2   3     confidentiality   17   13   1   2     Consent, release   0   3   2   3     retention of files   2   2   1   2     Obligation to   0   8   3   5     complaints and   0   8   4   3     discipline   8   6   8   12     Expert testimony   5   4   3   5     Supervision   10   8   4   6     Close of a				7	11
see report     3     2     1     2       Records and confidentiality     17     13     1     2       Consent, release of information     4     3     2     3       Retention of files     2     2     1     2       Obligation to provide raw data     10     8     3     5       Complaints and discipline     8     6     8     12       Expert testimony     5     4     3     5       Supervision     10     8     4     6       Close of a practice     3     2     1     2       Testing     4     3     0     0       Dual     -     -     -     2     3       relationships     4     3     2     3     3       TOTAL     87     67     35     54       LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act     0     0     1     2       Section 11     12     9     23     35          Malpractice			-	-	
confidentiality     17     13     1     2       Consent, release		3	2	1	2
Consent, releaseof information4323Retention of files2212Obligation to835provide raw data10835Complaints and35discipline86812Expert testimony5435Supervision10846Close of apractice3212Testing4300Dual323relationships4323Research8623TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS2Interpretation of12the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice11insurance1112TOTAL13102539QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHERRESOURCE I.E.,					_
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		17	13	1	2
Retention of files     2     1     2       Obligation to     provide raw data     10     8     3     5       Complaints and		4	0	0	2
Obligation to provide raw data     10     8     3     5       Complaints and discipline     8     6     8     12       Expert testimony     5     4     3     5       Supervision     10     8     4     6       Close of a practice     3     2     1     2       Testing     4     3     0     0       Dual		-			
provide raw data     10     8     3     5       Complaints and discipline     8     6     8     12       Expert testimony     5     4     3     5       Supervision     10     8     4     6       Close of a		4	2	1	2
Complaints and   discipline   8   6   8   12     Expert testimony   5   4   3   5     Supervision   10   8   4   6     Close of a		10	8	3	5
Expert testimony     5     4     3     5       Supervision     10     8     4     6       Close of a					
Supervision   10   8   4   6     Close of a   -   -   -   2   1   2     practice   3   2   1   2   -   2   -   2   1   2   -   -   2   -   3   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   1   2   -   3   -   3   -   3   -   3   -   3   -   0   0   0   1   -   2   -   -   3   -   -   3   -   3   -   3   -   3   -   -   3   -   -   3   -   -   3   -   3   -   -   3   -					
$\begin{array}{c c} Close of a & & & \\ practice & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ Testing & 4 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ Dual & & & \\ relationships & 4 & 3 & 2 & 3 \\ Research & 8 & 6 & 2 & 3 \\ TOTAL & 87 & 67 & 35 & 54 \\ \hline \\ LEGAL QUESTIONS & & \\ Interpretation of & & \\ the Act & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ section 11 & 12 & 9 & 23 & 35 \\ Malpractice & & \\ insurance & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ TOTAL & 13 & 10 & 25 & 39 \\ \hline \\ QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER \\ RESOURCE I.E., & \\ \end{array}$		0		~	-
practice     3     2     1     2       Testing     4     3     0     0       Dual		10	8	4	6
Testing     4     3     0     0       Dual     -		3	2	1	2
Dualrelationships4323Research8623TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONSInterpretation ofthe Act001the Act0012Section 111292335Malpracticeinsurance1112TOTAL13102539QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHERRESOURCE I.E.,Interpretation of the Act other and the Act					
Research8623TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice insurance11239QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,TOTAL131025			č	0	0
TOTAL87673554LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act0012Section 111292335Malpractice insurance11223TOTAL13102539QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,VIESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,VIESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER CONTRALVIESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,	relationships	4	3	_	3
LEGAL QUESTIONS Interpretation of the Act 0 0 1 2 Section 11 12 9 23 35 Malpractice insurance <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>2</u> TOTAL 13 10 25 39 QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,	Research	8	6	_2	3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TOTAL	87	67	35	54
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LEGAL OUESTIONS				
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} \text{the Act} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2\\ \text{Section 11} & 12 & 9 & 23 & 35\\ \text{Malpractice} & & & \\ \text{insurance} & 1 & 1 & 2\\ \text{TOTAL} & 13 & 10 & 25 & 39\\ \end{array}$ QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,					
Malpractice insurance 1 1 2   TOTAL 13 10 25 39   QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E., RESOURCE I.E., 10 10			0	1	2
INSURANCE 1 TOTAL 13 10 25 39 QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,		12	9	23	35
TOTAL 13 10 25 39 QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,		,			~
QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER RESOURCE I.E.,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_
RESOURCE I.E.,	TOTAL	13	10	25	39
	QUESTIONS REFERRED TO ANOTHER				
OPA, CPSO 9 7 0 0	4				
	OPA, CPSO	9	7	0	0
TOTAL 132 100 66 100	TOTAL	132	100	66	100

## STANDARDS OF PRACTICE Closing or sale of a practice

At the meeting of February 11, 1987 the Board approved the following guidelines dealing with the closing or sale of a psychologist's practice.

 Psychologists who intend to close their practice must provide clients with reasonable notice of their intention and must make alter-

#### TABLE 3

Disposition of Complaints and Disciplinary Action Taken: June 1, 1986 to May 31, 1987

Action taken	Number of Complaints
COMPLAINTS NOT REQUIR Withdrawn Dismissed Resolved/deficiency recti	2 15
INVITATION TO MEET WITH DISCUSS CONCERNS Invitation held Invitation held, undertaki	4
COMPLAINTS REQUIRING I Charges pending Charges laid Hearings held' Registration refused (hearing possible under TOTAL <sup>2</sup>	3 3 3
<sup>1</sup> The three hearings held oo the presence of three Board <sup>2</sup> Of the 69 complaints cases	0

Of the 69 complaints cases active during the noted period, 17 had been lodged in the previous year.

native arrangements for the continued care of the client in accordance with Principle 2.6 of the Standards of Professional Conduct.

- 2. Psychologists selling a practice must satisfy themselves that the psychologist taking over the practice has the appropriate background and training to provide services to their clients.
- 3. If the practice has been sold the psychologist may provide the client with the name of the purchaser or the name of an appropriate referral source.
- 4. Records should not be turned over to the psychologist purchasing the practice or to another psychologist selected by the client without the consent of the client. If the client does not wish the psychologist to transfer these records, the psychologist is responsible for the preservation and security of the records for a minimum of six years after the date of last entry in accordance with Principle 7.8 of the <u>Standards of Professional Conduct.</u>

#### Instructions to Executors on Disposition of Psychological Files

An Ontario psychologist raised the question of whether instructions on the disposition of client files in the event of unexpected death should be left with his/her Executor. An informal survey conducted by the psychologist had indicated that many psychologists believed that in such circumstances their files should be disposed of, by burning or shredding. To the contrary, the Board considers that psychologists have the responsibility to keep their files both accessible and confidential for the six years required in the <u>Standards of Professional Conduct</u> which in Principle 7.8, state:

Where a psychological service discontinues functioning, the directing psychologist is responsible for the preservation and security of each client record for a minimum of six years after the date of last entry in a client's record. Where the records must be transferred to another person, this must be to another psychologist.

A psychologist should therefore make prior provision for the preservation and security of client files for six years from the date of last entry in the event of his/her demise. This may be through a documented agreement with another qualified psychologist, or alternatively by instruction to his/her Executor naming a qualified person or persons to be contacted by the Executor after his or her death.

The Board noted with interest the following statement in the March, 1987 issue of the APA <u>Monitor</u> which appears to parallel the Board's position.

The APA Ethics Committee recently broached the question of what should be done with a therapist's records after his or her death. Committee members agreed to strongly recommend that psychologists establish a process for the transfer and/or retention of their records in the event of their deaths. The committee felt that not to do so could be construed as a violation of Principle 5.c of the Ethical Principles of Psychologists, which states that psychologists "make provisions for maintaining confidentiality in the storage and disposal of records." and the Preamble to Principle 6. which mandates that psychologists "protect the welfare of the people and groups with whom they work."

#### Listing with the Canadian Register of Health Service Providers in Psychology

Over four hundred Ontario psychologists are now listed with the Canadian Register of Health Service Providers in Psychology (CRHSPP) and many have approached the Board for direction on how they may properly inform others of this listing. After discussion at its February meeting, the Board considered that it would be appropriate for psychologists to refer to listing by CRHSPP in a resume, but that it would not be acceptable to include this information in advertising, on letterhead or business cards, listings in the telephone directory, or other announcements of services.

This decision is consistent with Principle

4.4. of the <u>Standards of Professional Conduct</u> which states:

4.4 In announcing or advertising the availability of psychological services or products, psychologists do not display any affiliations with an organization in a manner that falsely implies the sponsorship or certification of that organization. In particular and for example, psychologists do not offer membership or fellowship in the Canadian Psychological Association, the Ontario Psychological Association, or other associations as evidence of qualification.

In creating its list CRHSPP has identified those psychologists who have testified that they have acquired a given set of experiences. CRHSPP however is not a licensing or examining body.

#### REFERRAL SERVICE FOR LAWYERS

The Board has learned of a company whose business is operating a referral service for lawyers in search of expert witnesses.

The Board wishes psychologists to be aware of this company and the business arrangements it requires an expert to agree to. According to our sources, the expert must submit his or her account to the company which in turn bills the lawyer, after adding an "overhead factor" that may be as high as 70 percent. The expert must agree not to divulge any details of this financial arrangement to the client. The expert must also agree that any future referrals he or she receives, directly or indirectly, through the company, during the life of the agreement and for 18 months thereafter, will be billed through the company.

Psychologists should be aware of the following Principles of the <u>Standards of Professional</u> <u>Conduct</u> that could be violated by entering into this type of arrangement:

- 2.7. A psychologist shall seek to work with other professions in a cooperative manner for the good of the user and the benefit of the general public.
- 6. A psychologist shall respect the user's right to know what fees and charges are to be levied, shall set reasonable fees and collect these with consideration for the welfare of the user.
- 6.3 A psychologist must not knowingly submit a false or misleading account for services.
- 6.4 A psychologist must submit promptly an itemized account statement or receipt when requested by the user.
- 8.5 A psychologist shall not receive or confer a rebate or other benefit by reason of referral or transfer of a client from or to another person.

#### WRITTEN EXAMINATIONS

The Examination for Professonal Practice in<br/>Psychology was administered on April 10,<br/>1987 in Ottawa, Toronto and Windsor. TheBoard appreciates<br/>Ledingham, Ph.D. a<br/>served as proctors.

Board appreciates the assistance of <u>Jane E.</u> <u>Ledingham, Ph.D.</u> and <u>G. Ron Frisch, Ph.D.</u> who served as proctors.

#### **ORAL EXAMINATIONS**

The oral examinations were held in Toronto on June 3, 4 and 5. Assisting the Board in conduct-

<u>HELEN ANNIS, PH.D.</u>, Head of Psychology, Clinical Institute, Addiction Research Foundation;

<u>KATHLEEN BERG, PH.D.</u>, Psychologist, University Counselling Centre, University of Western Ontario;

<u>PATRICIA DEFEUDIS, PH.D.</u>, Psychologist, Department of Psychology, Credit Valley Hospital; <u>GILLES DESMARAIS, PH.D.</u>, Psychologist, Ottawa Board of Education;

<u>HENRY EDWARDS, PH.D.</u>, Dean, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Ottawa; <u>PHILIP FIRESTONE, PH.D.</u>, Director, Child Study Centre, University of Ottawa;

MARGARET HOVANEC, PH.D., Psychologist, Consultant in Oncology, Sunnybrook Medical Centre; ing these examinations were the following psychologists:

LUCIA MANDZIUK, PH.D., Psychologist, Regional Children's Centre, Windsor; WILLIAM MCDERMOTT, PH.D., Executive Director, The Child's Place, Windsor; JOHN MCGRORY, PH.D., Chief, Department of Psychology, Windsor Western Hospital Centre: WILLIAM MELNYK, PH.D., Professor, Department of Psychology, Lakehead University: DAVID NOZICK, PH.D., Director, Division of Psychology, Ottawa Civic Hospital; BRUCE QUARRINGTON, PH.D., Professor, Department of Psychology, Consultant, Counselling and Development Centre, York University: LAURA RICE, PH.D., Professor (retired), Department of Psychology, York University; TREVOR SMITH, PH.D., Consulting Psychologist, University Hospital, London.

#### SUPERVISION OF CANDIDATES FOR REGISTRATION

The Board wishes to thank psychologists who have generously provided their time to supervise and monitor the performance of candi-

Jack Albin Robin Alter Jeanette Amdur John Armenia Larry Arnold Janice Baker Howard Barbaree Stephen Barker William Barnes Pierre Baron William Barry Edward Bassis Glenn Bauberger Lynne Beal Eugene Beaumaster Gerald Beckerle John Berek Michael Blacha Carson Bock Kenneth Breitman

Mary Broga Harvey Brooker Denton Buchanan Carol Bullard-Bates Stephen Buttrum Elizabeth Calder Paula Caplan Raymond Cardey Stephen Chris Vaughan Church Nancy Cohen Barry Cook Deborah Cooper Ann Croll Steven Cronshaw Herman Crowe Janice Currie-Jederman **Richard Dart** Paul Derry **Gilles Desmarais** 

dates admitted to the permanent register in November, 1986 and June, 1987. The Board takes pleasure in listing their names below:

**Glenn DiPasquale** Neville Doxey Ruth Droege Krystyna Dronsejko James Dudeck Jean Dumas Margaret Durnford Barbara Dydyk William Eull Mark Eveson Joseph Ferencz **Gregor Finlayson** Stephen Fleming Kenneth Foley James Forde Barbara Fradkin Karen Galbraith David Garner Lorna Gendreau Leonard Gignac

Gail Golden Leonard Goldsmith David Graham Avrum Green **Catherine Grimes** Walter Hambley Gregory Hamovitch Arthur Hoddinott Timothy Hogan Frederick Horton James Inglis Jane Irvine Paul Issacs Maureen Joyce **Richard Kaley** Ronald Kaplan Michael Kim Doreen Kimura Morrie Kleinplatz Judy Kobrick

Marguerite Kuiack Nedra Lander James Lane Ron Langevin Terrence Laughlin Glen Lawson James Lawson Larry Leach Robert LeClerc Peter Legate Paul Lerner Marsha Lomis Samuel Luker **Robert MacIntyre** George MacKinnon Jeanette McGlone Ashton McKinnell John McLachlan Allan Mandel Howard Marcovitch Alan Marcus

George Matheson Harold Miller Beth Mitchell Oleksander Mitzak Bertha Mook Charles Netley **Richard Neufield** Charles Newstrom Anne Nicholls David Nozick Mark Olioff Mark Pancer Marjorie Perkins Sergio Piccinin Susan Pisterman Herbert Pollack Nathan Pollock John Platt Xavier Plaus Jeffrev Price Manfred Pruesse

Bruce Quarrington John Racinskas **Glenn Rampton** Martin Rappeport Jaan Reitav David Rennie Martin Resnick **Reginald Reynolds** Barbara Richardson Jeanne Ridgley Warren Robinson Judith Rodin **Richard Rogers** Jeremy Saffran Ronald Samuda Salek Sandberg Sidney Saunders Ken Scapinello Brian Shaw Ronald Skippon **Michael Spence** 

Fred Staples Eugene Stasiak Steven Stein **Carey Stevens** Nalini Stiemerling David Streiner James Sweeny Paul Swingle Sarah Usher Paul Valliant Muriel Vogel-Sprott Howard Waiser Paul Wang Otto Weininger David Weiss Gary Weisz Elizabeth Werth Heather White Bruce Whitehouse Elizabeth Yates Gerald Young

#### NEW PERMANENT REGISTRANTS SINCE FEBRUARY, 1987

Lynne Angus **Ruth Berman** Heinz Biedermann Robert Bosso Leslie Bryant Patricia Canning **Robin Cappe** Phillipe Cappeliez Wayne Connelly Dorothy Cotton Tom Davidson **Ronald Davis** Sylvia Geist Marilen Gerber Cheryl Gibson Rhonda Gilby Erica Gold Kathryn Greenaway

Louise Hartley Knolly Hill **Robin Holloway** Ahmed Ijaz John Johnston John Kell Paul Kelly Lawrence Kopman Herbert Koplowitz Ester Krimer Godwin Lau Rikardur Lindal Larry Litman James Leonidas Pamela McRoberts Samuel Minsky Marlene Moretti Marilyn Morinis

Ross Norman Mel Perlmutter Terry Prociuk Eric Rothmar Reuben Schnayer Lee Smith Mary Smith Ann Sprague Avrom Steinman John Suffield Lorne Switzman Tom Tavares Catherine Thompson Cindy Wahler **Richard Walsh** Harvey Weingarten

#### NEW TEMPORARY REGISTRANTS SINCE FEBRUARY, 1987

Linda Baker James Bowman Zdenek Cernovsky Mary Crawford Stephen Dukoff David Duncan Ann Dver **Rick Graff** Mary Haber Kathryn Hall Karl Hanson Carol Heusser Kathleen Hofmans Lynne Hollander Hazel Ipp Rosalinde Klempan Nira Kolers Connie Kushnir Frederick Mathews Thomas Mawhinnev Michael Minden Avrum Miller Mary Rees Nishio Pamela Paris Schrine Persad Philip Ricciardi Paul Robinson Molly Shainfarber Wanda Smith Michael Teehan Ester Wanger Valerie Whiffen Dickie Yu Marilyn Zivian

# BULLETIN

The Bulletin is a publication of the Ontario Board of Examiners in Psychology.

CHAIRMAN James E. Alcock, Ph.D.

SECRETARY-TREASURER E. June Rogers, Ph.D.

MEMBERS Elspeth W. Baugh, Ph.D. George H. Phills, Ph.D. V. Marta Townsend, Ph.D. REGISTRAR Barbara Wand, Ph.D. STAFF Susan Brooks Connie Learn Anisa Janmohamed Teresa Westergaard

#### **CORRECTION**

The Board regrets that the name of DR. VAL-ERIE JANE KNOX was omitted in error from the 1987 Directory of psychologists registered in Ontario. Dr. Knox is registered and in good standing.

# THE BULLETIN

*The Bulletin* is published quarterly. Subscriptions for Ontario psychologists are included in their registration fee. Others may subscribe at \$10.00 per year, or \$2.50 per single issue. We will also attempt to satisfy requests for back issues of *The Bulletin* at the same price.